

Environmental Impact Statement in Support of Rulemaking on Radiological Criteria for License Termination of NRC-Licensed Nuclear Facilities,” (NUREG-1496) Volumes 1–3 (ML042310492, ML042320379, and ML042330385). The staff finds there were no significant environmental impacts from the use of radioactive material at the Facility. The NRC staff reviewed available docket file records and the survey results to identify any non-radiological hazards that may have impacted the environment surrounding the Facility. No such hazards or impacts to the environment were identified. The NRC has identified no other radiological or non-radiological activities in the area that could result in cumulative environmental impacts.

The NRC staff finds that issuance of the proposed amendment is in compliance with 10 CFR Part 20. Based on its review, the staff considered the impact of the residual radioactivity at the Facility and concluded that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

#### *Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action*

Due to the largely administrative nature of the proposed action, its environmental impacts are small. Therefore, the only alternative the staff considered is the no-action alternative, under which the staff would leave things as they are by simply denying the amendment request. This no-action alternative is not feasible because it conflicts with 10 CFR 30.36(d), requiring that decommissioning of byproduct material facilities be completed and approved by the NRC after licensed activities cease. The NRC's analysis of the Licensee's final status survey data confirmed that the Facility meets the requirements of 10 CFR 20.1402 for unrestricted release. Additionally, denying the amendment request would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the no-action alternative are, therefore, similar; and the no-action alternative is accordingly not further considered.

#### *Conclusion*

The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is consistent with the NRC's unrestricted release criteria specified in 10 CFR 20.1402. Because the proposed action will not significantly impact the quality of the human environment, the NRC staff concludes that the proposed action is the preferred alternative.

#### *Agencies and Persons Consulted*

NRC provided a draft of this Environmental Assessment to the Indiana Emergency Response Program for review on March 24, 2008. By response dated May 12, 2008, the State agreed with the conclusions of the EA, and provided no comments.

The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action is of a procedural nature, and will not affect listed species or critical habitat. Therefore, no further consultation is required under section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. The NRC staff has also determined that the proposed action is not the type of activity that has the potential to cause effects on historic properties. Therefore, no further consultation is required under section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

#### **III. Finding of No Significant Impact**

The NRC staff has prepared this EA in support of the proposed action. On the basis of this EA, the NRC finds that there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed action, and that preparation of an environmental impact statement is not warranted. Accordingly, the NRC has determined that a Finding of No Significant Impact is appropriate.

#### **IV. Further Information**

Documents related to this action, including the application for license amendment and supporting documentation, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. From this site, you can access the NRC's Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. The documents related to this action are listed below, along with their ADAMS accession numbers.

1. Mary L. Westrick, Covance Clinical Research Unit Inc., NRC Form 313 dated February 1, 2008 (ADAMS Accession No. ML080810513);

2. Title 10 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 20, Subpart E, “Radiological Criteria for License Termination”;

3. Title 10 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 51, “Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions”;

4. NUREG-1496, “Generic Environmental Impact Statement in Support of Rulemaking on Radiological Criteria for License Termination of NRC-Licensed Nuclear Facilities”;

5. NUREG-1757, “Consolidated NMSS Decommissioning Guidance.”

6. NUREG-1556, Volume 7, “Program-Specific Guidance About Academic, Research and Development, and Other Licenses of Limited Scope Including Gas Chromatographs and X-Ray Fluorescence Analyzers—Final Report,” Appendix Q, “Radiation Safety Survey Topics.”

If you do not have access to ADAMS, or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov). These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's PDR, O 1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee.

Dated at Lisle, Illinois, this 23rd day of June 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Christine A. Lipa,**

*Chief, Decommissioning Branch, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region III.*

[FR Doc. E8-15118 Filed 7-2-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

---

#### **OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT**

#### **Federal Employees Health Benefits Program: Medically Underserved Areas for 2009**

**AGENCY:** Office of Personnel Management.

**ACTION:** Notice of Medically Underserved Areas for 2009.

---

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) has completed its annual determination of the States that qualify as Medically Underserved Areas under the Federal Employees Health Benefits (FEHB) Program for calendar year 2009. This is necessary to comply with a provision of the FEHB law that mandates special consideration for enrollees of certain FEHB plans who receive covered health services in States with critical shortages of primary care physicians. Accordingly, for calendar year 2009, the following states are Medically Underserved Areas under the FEHB Program: Alabama, Arizona, Idaho, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, New Mexico, North Dakota, South Carolina, South Dakota, and Wyoming. For the 2009 calendar year the State of Illinois is being added.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* January 1, 2009.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ingrid Burford, 202-606-0004.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** FEHB law (5 U.S.C. 8902(m)(2)) mandates special consideration for enrollees of certain FEHB plans who receive covered health services in States with critical shortages of primary care physicians. The FEHB law also requires that a State be designated as a Medically Underserved Area if 25 percent or more of the population lives in an area designated by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) as a primary medical care manpower shortage area. Such States are designated as Medically Underserved Areas for purposes of the FEHB Program, and the law requires non-HMO FEHB plans to reimburse beneficiaries, subject to their contract terms, for covered services obtained from any licensed provider in these States.

FEHB regulations (5 CFR 890.701) require OPM to make an annual determination of the States that qualify as Medically Underserved Areas for the next calendar year by comparing the latest HHS State-by-State population counts on primary medical care manpower shortage areas with U.S. Census figures on State resident populations.

U.S. Office Of Personnel Management.

**Linda M. Springer,**  
Director.

[FR Doc. E8-15087 Filed 7-2-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6325-39-P**

---

## OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

### Generalized System of Preferences (GSP): Notice of the Results of the 2007 Annual Product and Country Practices Reviews

**AGENCY:** Office of the United States  
Trade Representative.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces: (1) The disposition of the product petitions accepted for review in the 2007 GSP Annual Product Review; (2) the results of the 2007 *de minimis* Waiver and Redesignation Reviews; (3) the results of the 2007 Competitive Need Limitation (CNL) Waiver Revocation Review; and (4) the results of the 2007 Country Practices Review.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Regina Teeter at the GSP Subcommittee, Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR), Room F-220, 1724 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20508. The telephone number is (202) 395-6971 and the facsimile number is (202) 395-9481.

The results of the 2007 GSP Annual Review are available for review by appointment in the USTR public reading room, 1724 F Street, NW., Washington, DC. Appointments may be made from 9:30 a.m. to noon and 1 p.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, by calling (202) 395-6186. The results of the 2007 GSP Annual Review are also available at: [http://www.ustr.gov/Trade\\_Development/Preference\\_Programs/GSP/GSP\\_2007\\_Annual\\_Review/Section\\_Index.html](http://www.ustr.gov/Trade_Development/Preference_Programs/GSP/GSP_2007_Annual_Review/Section_Index.html).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The GSP program provides for the duty-free importation of designated articles when imported from beneficiary developing countries. The GSP program is authorized by Title V of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2461, *et seq.*), as amended (the "Trade Act"), and is implemented in accordance with Executive Order 11888 of November 24, 1975, as modified by subsequent Executive Orders and Presidential Proclamations.

In the 2007 Annual Product Review, the Trade Policy Staff Committee reviewed petitions to change product coverage of the GSP. The disposition of the petitions considered in the 2007 GSP Annual Review is described in List I (Decisions on Petitions to Add Products to GSP Eligibility in the 2007 GSP Annual Review); List II (Decisions on Petitions to Remove Duty-Free Status from a Beneficiary Developing Country for a Product on the List of Eligible Articles for GSP); and List III (Decisions on CNL Waiver Petitions in the 2007 GSP Annual Review).

Certain articles for which a waiver of the application of section 503(c)(2)(A) of the 1974 Act was issued at least five years ago, but which are revoked pursuant to section 503(d)(5) are listed in List IV (Products for which a Waiver of the Application of section 503(c)(2)(A) of the 1974 Act is Revoked).

In the 2007 Product Review, the GSP Subcommittee evaluated the appraised import values of each GSP-eligible article in 2007 to determine whether an article from a GSP beneficiary developing country exceeded the GSP CNLs. *De minimis* waivers were granted to certain articles that exceeded the 50 percent import share CNL, but for which the aggregate value of the imports of that article was below the 2007 *de minimis* level of \$18.5 million. List V (Products Receiving *De Minimis* Waivers) provides the list of the articles and the associated countries granted *de minimis* waivers. No eligible products were redesignated to GSP eligibility.

Articles that exceeded one of the GSP CNLs in 2007, and that are newly

excluded from GSP eligibility for a specific country, are listed in List VI (Products Newly Subject to CNL Exclusions).

The disposition of petitions considered in the 2007 Country Practices Review is described in List VII ("Decisions on Country Practice Petitions in the 2007 GSP Annual Review").

**Marideth J. Sandler**

Executive Director, Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) Program Chairman, GSP Subcommittee.

[FR Doc. E8-15156 Filed 7-2-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3190-W8-P**

---

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. IC-28322]

### Notice of Applications for Deregistration Under Section 8(f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

June 27, 2008.

The following is a notice of applications for deregistration under section 8(f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 for the month of June, 2008. A copy of each application may be obtained for a fee at the SEC's Public Reference Branch (tel. 202-551-5850). An order granting each application will be issued unless the SEC orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing on any application by writing to the SEC's Secretary at the address below and serving the relevant applicant with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the SEC by 5:30 p.m. on July 22, 2008, and should be accompanied by proof of service on the applicant, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Secretary, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Diane L. Titus at (202) 551-6810, SEC, Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-4041.

### OFI Tremont Market Neutral Hedge Fund [File No. 811-21109]

*Summary:* Applicant, a closed-end investment company, seeks an order