

Activity/operator	Location	Date
CGG Veritas, Geological & Geophysical Prospecting for Mineral Resources, SEA L07-06.	Located in the central Gulf of Mexico south of Fourchon, Louisiana.	3/8/2008
LLOG Exploration Offshore, Inc., Structure Removal, SEA ES/SR 08-025.	East Cameron, Block 81, Lease OCS-G 01477, located 27 miles from the nearest Louisiana shoreline.	3/11/2008
Chevron U.S.A., Inc., Structure Removal, SEA ES/SR 08-024 ..	Eugene Island, Block 338, Lease OCS-G 02118, located 76 miles from the nearest Louisiana shoreline.	3/11/2008
Apache Corporation, Structure Removal, SEA ES/SR 07-155 ...	South Timbalier, Block 161, Lease OCS-G 01248, located 32 miles from the nearest Louisiana shoreline.	3/18/2008
Chevron U.S.A., Inc., Structure Removal, SEA ES/SR 08-023 ..	Vermilion, Block 245B, Lease OCS-G 01146, located 67 miles from the nearest Louisiana shoreline.	3/18/2008
Maritech Resources, Inc., Structure Removal, SEA ES/SR 06-163.	West Cameron (South Addition), Block 528, Lease OCS-G 16202, located 91 miles from the nearest Louisiana shoreline.	3/18/2008
W & T Offshore, Inc., Structure Removal, SEA ES/SR 08-016 ..	Main Pass, Block 141, Lease OCS-G 09710, located 17 miles from the nearest Louisiana shoreline.	3/19/2008
Petrobras America, Inc., Initial Development Operations Coordination Document, SEA N-9015.	Walker Ridge, Blocks 206, 249 & 425, Leases OCS-G 16965, 16969 & 16987 respectfully, located 165 miles to the nearest Louisiana shoreline.	3/20/2008
Millenium Offshore Group, Inc., on behalf of ATP Oil & Gas Corporation, Structure Removal, SEA ES/SR 08-041.	Eugene Island, Block 190, Lease OCS-G 08434, located 35 miles from the nearest Louisiana shoreline.	3/21/2008
McMoran Oil & Gas, LLC, Structure Removal, SEA ES/SR 08-029.	Eugene Island, Block 203, Lease OCS-G 22670, located 50 miles from the nearest Louisiana shoreline.	3/21/2008
Energy Resource Technology GOM, Inc., Structure Removal, SEA ES/SR 08-032, 08-033.	South Pelto, Block 20, Lease OCS 00074, located 7 miles from the nearest Louisiana shoreline.	3/24/2008
Apache Corporation, Structure Removal, SEA ES/SR 08-038, 08-039.	High Island, Block 169, Lease OCS-G 14161, located 30 miles from the nearest Texas shoreline.	3/24/2008
BP Exploration & Production, Inc., Revised Exploration Plan, SEA R-4803 AA.	Mississippi Canyon, Block 775, Lease OCS-G 19997, located 79 miles south of The Venice, Louisiana shoreline.	3/25/2008
Stone Energy Corporation, Initial Exploration Plan, SEA N-9106	Main Pass, Block 72, Lease OCS-G 03417, located 6 miles from the nearest Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana shoreline.	3/26/2008
Coastal Technology Corporation, Geological & Geophysical Prospecting for Mineral Resources, SEA E07-02.	Located off the coast of St. Lucie County, Florida on the Federal OCS of the Atlantic Ocean.	3/27/2008
GOM Shelf, LLC, Structure Removal, SEA ES/SR 08-040	Matagorda Island, Block 633, Lease OCS-G 06042, located 14 miles from the nearest Texas shoreline.	3/27/2008
Energy Resource Technology, Structure Removal, SEA ES/SR 08-030, 08-031.	South Pelto, Block 12, Lease OCS-G 00072, located 9 miles from the nearest Louisiana shoreline.	3/27/2008
Apache Corporation, Structure Removal, SEA ES/SR 07-148, 07-149, 07-150.	West Delta, Blocks 104 & 103, Leases OCS-G 00841 & 00840, located 27 miles from the nearest Louisiana shoreline.	3/21/2008

Persons interested in reviewing environmental documents for the proposals listed above or obtaining information about SEAs and FONSIs prepared for activities on the Gulf of Mexico OCS are encouraged to contact MMS at the address or telephone listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Dated: April 21, 2008.

Lars Herbst,

Regional Director, Gulf of Mexico OCS Region.

[FR Doc. E8-14655 Filed 6-26-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Minerals Management Service

Notice on Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Lease Sales

AGENCY: Minerals Management Service, Interior.

ACTION: Correction—List of Restricted Joint Bidders.

SUMMARY: On April 22, 2008, pursuant to the authority vested in the Director of the Minerals Management Service by

the joint bidding provisions of 30 CFR 256.41, as a matter of information to the public, the MMS published in the **Federal Register** the current List of Restricted Bidders. Under this authority, MMS determined that each entity within one of the following groups is restricted from bidding with any entity in any other of the following groups at Outer Continental Shelf oil and gas lease sales to be held during the bidding period May 1, 2008 through October 31, 2008. We inadvertently omitted a new group, "Group IX," that shall be restricted from bidding with any entity in any other group of restricted bidders during this bidding period. The corrected List of Restricted Joint Bidders is provided below and is effective as of the date of the previous **Federal Register** publication, April 22, 2008.

Group I.

Exxon Mobil Corporation
ExxonMobil Exploration Company

Group II.

Shell Oil Company
Shell Offshore, Inc.
SWEPI LP
Shell Frontier Oil & Gas, Inc.
Shell Consolidated Energy Resources, Inc.

Shell Land & Energy Company
Shell Onshore Ventures, Inc.
Shell Offshore Properties and Capital II, Inc.
Shell Rocky Mountain Production, LLC
Shell Gulf of Mexico, Inc.

Group III.

BP America Production Company
BP Exploration & Production, Inc.
BP Exploration (Alaska), Inc.

Group IV.

TOTAL E&P USA, Inc.

Group V.

Chevron Corporation
Chevron U.S.A., Inc.
Chevron Midcontinent, L.P.
Unocal Corporation
Union Oil Company of California
Pure Partners, L.P.

Group VI.

ConocoPhillips Company
ConocoPhillips Alaska, Inc.
ConocoPhillips Petroleum Company
Phillips Pt. Arguello Production Company
Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company, LP
Burlington Resources Offshore, Inc.
The Louisiana Land and Exploration Company

Inexeco Oil Company
Group VII.
Eni Petroleum Co., Inc.
Eni Petroleum US, LLC
Eni Oil US, LLC
Eni Marketing, Inc.
Eni BB Petroleum, Inc.
Eni U.S. Operating Co., Inc.
Eni BB Pipeline, LLC

Group VIII.
Petrobras America, Inc.

Group IX.
StatoilHydro ASA
Statoil Gulf of Mexico, LLC
StatoilHydro USA E&P, Inc.
StatoilHydro Gulf Properties, Inc.

Dated: June 9, 2008.

Randall B. Luthi,

Director, Minerals Management Service.

[FR Doc. E8-14654 Filed 6-26-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-565]

In the Matter of: Certain Ink Cartridges and Components Thereof; Enforcement Proceeding II; Institution of Formal Enforcement Proceeding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has instituted a formal enforcement proceeding in the above-captioned investigation and named two enforcement respondents in the proceeding.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Haldenstein, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3041. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov/>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted the underlying investigation in this matter on March 23, 2006, based on a complaint filed by Epson Portland, Inc. of Oregon; Epson America, Inc. of California; and Seiko Epson Corporation of Japan (collectively "Epson"). 71 FR. 14720 (March 23, 2006). The complaint, as amended, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("section 337") in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain ink cartridges and components thereof by reason of infringement of claim 7 of U.S. Patent No. 5,615,957; claims 18, 81, 93, 149, 164 and 165 of U.S. Patent No. 5,622,439; claims 83 and 84 of U.S. Patent No. 5,158,377; claims 19 and 20 of U.S. Patent No. 5,221,148; claims 29, 31, 34 and 38 of U.S. Patent No. 5,156,472; claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,488,401; claims 1-3 and 9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,502,917; claims 1, 31 and 34 of U.S. Patent No. 6,550,902; claims 1, 10 and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,955,422; claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 7,008,053; and claims 21, 45, 53 and 54 of U.S. Patent No. 7,011,397. The complaint further alleged that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The complainants requested that the Commission issue a general exclusion order and cease and desist orders. The Commission named as respondents 24 companies located in China, Germany, Hong Kong, Korea, and the United States. Several respondents were terminated from the investigation on the basis of settlement agreements or consent orders or were found in default.

On March 30, 2007, the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) issued a final ID in the investigation finding a violation of section 337 with respect to certain respondents. He found the asserted claims valid and infringed by certain respondents' products. He recommended issuance of a general exclusion order and cease and desist orders directed to certain respondents and bond in the amount of \$13.60 per cartridge during the Presidential review period.

On October, 19, 2007, after review, the Commission made its final determination in the investigation, finding a violation of section 337. The Commission issued a general exclusion order, limited exclusion order, and cease and desist orders directed to several domestic respondents. The Commission also determined that the public interest factors enumerated in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d), (f), and (g) did not preclude issuance of the aforementioned remedial orders, and that the bond

during the Presidential review period would be \$13.60 per cartridge for covered ink cartridges.

On May 1, 2008, the Commission, based on two complaints filed by Epson on February 8, 2008, determined to institute a consolidated formal enforcement proceeding to determine whether certain respondents are in violation of the Commission's exclusion orders and cease and desist orders issued in the investigation, and what, if any, enforcement measures are appropriate. The following companies were named as respondents: Ninestar Technology Co., Ltd.; Ninestar Technology Company, Ltd.; Town Sky Inc.; Mipo America Ltd.; and Mipo International, Ltd.

Based upon a third complaint filed by Epson on March 18, 2008, alleging violations of the general exclusion order and a consent order, the Commission has now determined to institute another formal enforcement proceeding to determine whether two respondents are in violation of the Commission's general exclusion order and a consent order issued in the investigation, and what, if any, enforcement measures are appropriate. The following entities are named as parties to the formal enforcement proceeding: (1) Complainant Epson; (2) respondents Ribbon Tree USA, Inc. (dba Cana-Pacific Ribbons) and Apex Distributing Inc; and (3) a Commission investigative attorney to be designated by the Director, Office of Unfair Import Investigations.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.75 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.75).

Issued: June 23, 2008.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E8-14632 Filed 6-26-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Verizon Communications Inc. and Rural Cellular Corporation; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and