

would publish a separate notice in the **Federal Register** establishing a period of time for the filing of protests.

Accordingly, any person desiring to be heard concerning the blanket approvals of issuances of securities or assumptions of liability by Valencia Power, should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. 18 CFR 385.211, 385.214 (2004). The Commission encourages the electronic submission of protests using the FERC Online link at <http://www.ferc.gov>.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing protests is July 7, 2008.

Absent a request to be heard in opposition to such blanket approvals by the deadline above, Valencia Power is authorized to issue securities and assume obligations or liabilities as a guarantor, indorser, surety, or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issuance or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of Valencia Power, compatible with the public interest, and is reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

The Commission reserves the right to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued approvals of Valencia Power's issuance of securities or assumptions of liability.

Copies of the full text of the Director's Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Room, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. The Order may also be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the eLibrary link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. Comments, protests, and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8-13421 Filed 6-13-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 2242-078]

Eugene Water and Electric Board; Notice of Meeting To Discuss Additional Information Responses

June 6, 2008.

a. *Type of Application:* New Major License.

b. *Project No.:* 2242-078.

c. *Date Filed:* November 24, 2006.

d. *Applicant:* Eugene Water and Electric Board.

e. *Name of Project:* Carmen-Smith Hydroelectric Project.

f. *Location:* On the McKenzie River in Lane and Linn Counties, near McKenzie Bridge, Oregon. The project occupies approximately 560 acres of the Willamette National Forest.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act 16 U.S.C. 791(a)-825(r).

h. *Applicant Contact:* Randy L. Berggren, General Manager, Eugene Water and Electric Board, 500 East 4th Avenue, P.O. Box 10148, Eugene, OR 97440, (541) 484-2411.

i. *Date and Time of Meeting:* June 26, 2008, at 1 p.m. EST.

j. *Place:* This meeting will primarily occur via conference call; however, it is possible to participate in person at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) located in Washington, D.C. See item n. below for obtaining instructions on how to participate in the meeting.

k. *FERC Contact:* Bob Easton, (202) 502-6045 or robert.easton@ferc.gov.

l. *Background and Purpose of Meeting:* On November 24, 2006, Eugene Water and Electric Board filed a license application for the Carmen-Smith Project (FERC No. 2242). On October 30, 2007, FERC staff issued a request for additional information. Eugene Water and Electric Board filed its response to the additional information request on April 29, 2008. The purpose of this meeting is to clarify several issues associated with the filing of this information.

m. *Proposed Agenda:*

1. Introduction of Participants;
2. Response to AIR 1—sources of the fishway operational costs;
3. Response to AIR 15 and 24—the location of roads relative to project boundary and their nexus to the project;
4. Response to AIR 16—effects of the proposed new road on spotted owl habitat;
5. Response to AIR 19—the location of Ice Creek, Trail Bridge, and Lakes End campgrounds and their nexus to the project;

6. Response to AIR 24—acreage of federal lands within the project boundary

7. Other items;

8. Follow-up Actions.

n. All local, state, and federal agencies, Indian tribes, and other interested parties are invited to participate by phone (or in person). Please contact Bob Easton (see item k. for contact information) or Patti Leppert ((202) 502-6034; patricia.leppert@ferc.gov) by June 24, 2008, to RSVP and to receive specific instructions on how to participate in the meeting.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8-13417 Filed 6-13-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-R09-OAR-2008-0443; FRL-8580-1]

Adequacy Status of Motor Vehicle Budget in Submitted Five Percent Plan for PM-10 for the Phoenix Metropolitan Nonattainment Area for Transportation Conformity Purposes; Arizona

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of Adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that the Agency has found that the motor vehicle emissions budget in the submitted *MAG 2007 Five Percent Plan for PM-10 for the Maricopa County Nonattainment Area (December 2007)* ("2007 MAG 5% Plan") is adequate for transportation conformity purposes. The 2007 MAG 5% Plan was submitted to EPA on December 21, 2007 by the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality as a revision to the Arizona state implementation plan. The 2007 MAG 5% Plan includes a demonstration of no less than five percent annual emissions reductions in particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers (PM-10) and a demonstration of PM-10 attainment in the Phoenix metropolitan area by 2010. As a result of our finding, the Maricopa Association of Governments and the U.S. Department of Transportation must use the motor vehicle emissions budget from the submitted five percent plan for PM-10 for future conformity determinations.

DATES: This finding is effective July 1, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wienke Tax, U.S. EPA, Region IX, Air

Division AIR-2, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901; (520) 622-1622 or tax.wienke@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean EPA.

Today’s notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA Region IX sent a letter to the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality and the Maricopa Association of Governments on May 30, 2008 stating that the 2010 motor vehicle emissions budget for PM-10 in the submitted 2007 MAG 5% Plan is adequate. The budget corresponds to the Phoenix metropolitan PM-10 nonattainment area, which encompasses roughly half of Maricopa County, including the cities of Phoenix and Mesa, and also the Apache Junction area of Pinal County, in central Arizona. Receipt of this motor vehicle emissions budget was announced on EPA’s transportation conformity Web site, and no comments were submitted. The finding is available at EPA’s conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>.

The adequate 2010 motor vehicle emissions budget (calculated for an annual average day) are provided in the following table:

ADEQUATE MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS BUDGET
[In metric tons per day]

Budget year	PM-10 motor vehicle emissions budget
2010	103.3

Transportation conformity is required by Clean Air Act section 176(c). EPA’s conformity rule requires that transportation plans, transportation improvement programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans (SIPs) and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do conform. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP’s motor vehicle emissions budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). We have described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in our July 1, 2004, preamble starting at 69 FR 40038, and we used the information in these

resources while making our adequacy determination. Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA’s completeness review, and should not be used to prejudge EPA’s ultimate approval action for the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: June 5, 2008.

Laura Yoshii,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. E8-13519 Filed 6-13-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-ORD-2008-0054; FRL-8579-9]

Board of Scientific Counselors, Homeland Security Subcommittee Meeting—July 2008

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, the Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development (ORD), gives notice of a meeting of the Board of Scientific Counselors (BOSC) Homeland Security Subcommittee.

DATES: The meeting (teleconference call) will be held on Friday, July 11, 2008, from 1 p.m. to 3 p.m. eastern time. The meeting may adjourn early if all business is finished. Requests for the draft agenda or for making oral presentations at the meetings will be accepted up to 1 business day before the meeting.

ADDRESSES: Participation in the conference call will be by teleconference only—meeting rooms will not be used. Members of the public may obtain the call-in number and access code for the call from Greg Susanke, whose contact information is listed under the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this notice. Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-ORD-2008-0054, by one of the following methods:

- <http://www.regulations.gov>: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

- *E-mail:* Send comments by electronic mail (e-mail) to: ORD.Docket@epa.gov, Attention Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-ORD-2008-0054.

- *Fax:* Fax comments to: (202) 566-0224, Attention Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-ORD-2008-0054.

- *Mail:* Send comments by mail to: Board of Scientific Counselors, Homeland Security Subcommittee Meeting—Spring 2008 Docket, Mailcode: 28221T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460, Attention Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-ORD-2008-0054.

- *Hand Delivery or Courier.* Deliver comments to: EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Room B102, EPA West Building, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, Attention Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-ORD-2008-0054. Note: this is not a mailing address. Such deliveries are only accepted during the docket’s normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-ORD-2008-0054. EPA’s policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through <http://www.regulations.gov> or e-mail. The <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site is an “anonymous access” system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through <http://www.regulations.gov>, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA’s public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at <http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm>.

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is