

facts otherwise available.” We have determined that, in not responding to the Department’s questionnaires, the PRC entity has not acted to the best of its ability and an adverse inference is warranted.<sup>27</sup> Thus, we have made an adverse inference that there were massive imports from the PRC entity over a relatively short period.

In this case, the HTS numbers listed in the scope of the investigation include both subject merchandise and non-subject merchandise, and thus, we were not able to distinguish the amounts of shipments accounted for by the mandatory and separate rate respondents from the amount of shipments accounted for by the PRC-wide entity with respect to subject merchandise.<sup>28</sup> Accordingly, we were not able to use the U.S. Census Bureau data to corroborate our adverse inference. However, as the SAA states, “The fact that corroboration may not be practicable in a given circumstance will not prevent the agencies from applying an adverse inference under subsection (b).”<sup>29</sup>

We will issue a final determination concerning critical circumstances for all exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC when we issue our final determination in this investigation, which will be not later than July 7, 2008, the first business day after the statutory deadline of July 4, 2008.

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration no later than three days after the publication of the preliminary determination of critical circumstances in this proceeding. Rebuttal briefs limited to issues raised in the aforementioned case briefs will be due no later than two days after the deadline date for case briefs.

### Suspension of Liquidation

With respect to the PRC entity, we will direct CBP to suspend liquidation of all unliquidated entries of OTR tires from the PRC that were entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after November 22, 2007, which is 90 days prior to February 20, 2008, the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of our preliminary determination in this investigation. With respect to the mandatory

respondents, Guizhou Tyre, Starbright, TUTRIC and Xugong, and the separate-rate companies, in accordance with section 733(d) of the Act, we will make no changes to our instructions to CBP with respect to the suspension of liquidation of all entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after February 20, 2008.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with Sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 11, 2008.

**David M. Spooner,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E8-8575 Filed 4-18-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

(A-580-816)

#### Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Korea: Extension of Time Limits for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 21, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Victoria Cho or George McMahon, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5075 and (202) 482-1167, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Background

On January 23, 2008, the Department published the preliminary results of the new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel products (CORE) from the Republic of Korea. See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 73 FR 3925 (January 23, 2008). The final results are currently due no later than April 14, 2008.

#### Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the final results of a new shipper review

within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued. However, if the Department concludes that the case is extraordinarily complicated, it may extend the 90-day period to 150 days. Interested parties raised several complex issues pertaining to Haewon MSC Co., Ltd.’s cost of production and financial ratios that require a significant amount of analysis by the Department. Given the complex issues raised by the parties in their comments on our preliminary results, and in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the final results of review to 150 days after the publication of the preliminary results. Therefore, as that day falls on a Saturday, the final results are now due no later than June 23, 2008, the next business day.

This extension is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2).

Dated: April 9, 2008.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E8-8570 Filed 4-18-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[Application No. 88-10A16]

#### Export Trade Certificate of Review

**ACTION:** Notice of application (#88-10A16) to amend the Export Trade Certificate of Review Issued to Wood Machinery Manufacturers of America.

**SUMMARY:** Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, has received an application to amend an Export Trade Certificate of Review. This notice summarizes the proposed amendment and requests comments relevant to whether the Certificate should be issued.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Jeffrey Anspacher, Director, Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, (202) 482-5131 (this is not a toll-free number) or E-mail at [oetca@ita.doc.gov](mailto:oetca@ita.doc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001-21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. An Export Trade Certificate of Review protects the holder and the members identified in

<sup>27</sup> See Preliminary Determination.

<sup>28</sup> See *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Japan, Part II*, 64 FR 30574, 30585 (June 8, 1999).

<sup>29</sup> See Statement of Administrative Action (SAA) accompanying the Uruguay Round Agreements Act, H. Doc. No. 316, 103d Cong., 2d Session, Vol. 1 (1994) at 870.

the Certificate from state and federal government antitrust actions and from private treble damage antitrust actions for the export conduct specified in the Certificate and carried out in compliance with its terms and conditions. Section 302(b)(1) of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 and 15 CFR 325.6(a) require the Secretary to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** identifying the applicant and summarizing its proposed export conduct.

#### Request for Public Comments

Interested parties may submit written comments relevant to the determination whether an amended Certificate should be issued. If the comments include any privileged or confidential business information, it must be clearly marked and a nonconfidential version of the comments (identified as such) should be included. Any comments not marked privileged or confidential business information will be deemed to be nonconfidential. An original and five (5) copies, plus two (2) copies of the nonconfidential version, should be submitted no later than 20 days after the date of this notice to: Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 7021-X, Washington, DC 20230. Information submitted by any person is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). However, nonconfidential versions of the comments will be made available to the applicant if necessary for determining whether or not to issue the Certificate. Comments should refer to this application as "Export Trade Certificate of Review, application number 88-10A16."

The Wood Machinery Manufacturers of America's original Certificate was issued on February 3, 1989 (54 FR 6312, February 9, 1989), and last amended on August 8, 2005 (70 FR 47178, August 12, 2005). A summary of the current application for an amendment follows.

#### Summary of the Application

*Applicant:* Wood Machinery Manufacturers of America ("WMMA"), 100 North 20th Street, 4th Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19103-1443.

*Contact:* Harold Zassenhaus, Export Consultant, Telephone: (301) 652-0693. *Application No.:* 88-10A16.

*Date Deemed Submitted:* April 10, 2008.

*Proposed Amendment:* WMMA seeks to amend its Certificate to:

1. Add the following company as a new "Member" of the Certificate within the meaning of section 325.2(l) of the Regulations (15 CFR 325.2(l)): Mattison

Rotary Lathes, LLC, La Center, Kentucky; Safety Speed Cut Manufacturing Company, Inc., Ham Lake, Minnesota; Western Cutterheads, Inc., La Center, Kentucky.

2. Reinstate as a "Member" of the Certificate within the meaning of section 325.2(l) of the Regulations (15 CFR 325.2(l)): The Original Saw Company ("OSC"), Britt, Iowa. OSC ceased to be a Member on April 12, 2007, when WMMA submitted an annual report that relinquished OSC's membership. WMMA seeks to reinstate OSC as a Member of the Certificate.

3. Delete the following company as a Member of WMMA's Certificate: Warsaw Machinery, Inc., Warsaw, Indiana.

Dated: April 15, 2008.

**Jeffrey C. Anspacher,**

*Director, Export Trading Company Affairs.*

[FR Doc. E8-8521 Filed 4-18-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

**A-570-888**

#### **Floor-Standing, Metal-Top Ironing Tables and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Extension of the Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the 2006/2007 Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 21, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bobby Wong or Toni Dach, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0409 or (202) 482-1655, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On August 6, 2004, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order on floor standing, metal-top ironing tables and parts thereof from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Floor-Standing, Metal-Top Ironing Tables and Certain Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China*, 69 FR 47868 (August 6, 2004). The Department received timely requests from Since Hardware

(Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. ("Since Hardware") and Forever Holdings Limited ("Forever Holdings"), in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2), for an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ironing tables and parts thereof from the PRC, which has an August annual anniversary month. Home Products International Inc., the petitioner, also requested, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ironing tables and parts thereof from the PRC for Since Hardware. On September 25, 2007, the Department initiated an administrative review with respect to Since Hardware and Forever Holdings. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 72 FR 54428 (September 25, 2007).

The deadline for completion of the preliminary results in the administrative review for Since Hardware and Forever Holdings is currently May 2, 2008.

#### **Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), and section 351.213(h)(1) of the Department's regulations require the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the order or suspension agreement for which the administrative review was requested, and the final results of the review within 120 days after the date on which the notice of the preliminary results was published in the **Federal Register**. However, if the Department determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations allow the Department to extend the 245-day period to 365 days and the 120-day period to 180 days.

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.213(h) of the Department's regulations, we determine that it is not practicable to complete this administrative review within the statutory time limit of 245 days. The Department requires additional time to analyze questionnaire responses, and issue supplemental questionnaires. In particular, there are complex factors of production methodology issues that the Department requires additional time to analyze. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations, the Department is extending the time limit for the completion of these preliminary