

for rentals and royalties at rates of \$5.00 per acre or fraction thereof, per year and 16 $\frac{2}{3}$  percent, respectively. The lessee has paid the required \$500 administrative fee and \$163 to reimburse the Department for the cost of this **Federal Register** notice. The lessees have met all the requirements for reinstatement of the lease as set out in Section 31(d) and (e) of the Mineral Lands Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 188), and the Bureau of Land Management is proposing to reinstate lease COC59920 effective February 1, 2008, under the original terms and conditions of the lease and the increased rental and royalty rates cited above.

Dated: April 10, 2008.

Milada Krasilinec,

Land Law Examiner.

[FR Doc. E8-8238 Filed 4-16-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-JB-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[UTU 016431]

#### Public Land Order No. 7704; Partial Revocation of Public Land Order No. 1483; Utah

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Public Land Order.

**SUMMARY:** This order partially revokes a Public Land Order insofar as it affects 80 acres of public land within a national forest, which was withdrawn and reserved for use of the Forest Service as the Mt. Olympus Powder Magazine Administrative Site. This order also opens 5.75 acres of that land to disposal in accordance with the Forest Service Facility Realignment and Enhancement Act of 2005.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 17, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rhonda Flynn, BLM Utah State Office, 440 West 200 South, Suite 500, Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1345, 801-539-4132.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Forest Service has determined that a portion of the withdrawal created by Public Land Order No. 1483 is no longer needed and has requested a partial revocation. Approximately 20 acres of the land described in Paragraph 1 is located within the Mt. Olympus Wilderness Area, and this is a record-clearing action for that portion. Except for the land described in Paragraph 2 being opened to sale, no land will be opened to surface entry or mining until

completion of an analysis to determine if any of the land needs special designation.

#### Order

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by section 204 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1714 (2000), it is ordered as follows:

1. Public Land Order No. 1483 (22 FR 7307), which withdrew public land within national forests and reserved it for use of the Forest Service for administrative sites, recreation areas, and a roadside zone, is hereby revoked only insofar as it affects the following described land:

#### Wasatch-Cache National Forest, Mt. Olympus Powder Magazine Administrative Site, Salt Lake Meridian

T.2 S., R. 1 E.

Sec. 11, lots 1 and 2 (formerly described as SE  $\frac{1}{4}$  NE  $\frac{1}{4}$ ) and NE  $\frac{1}{4}$  SE  $\frac{1}{4}$ .

The area described contains approximately 80 acres in Salt Lake County.

2. Subject to valid existing rights, the following described land is hereby opened to sale in accordance with the Forest Service Facility Realignment and Enhancement Act of 2005 (Pub. L. 109-54):

#### Salt Lake Meridian

T.2 S., R. 1 E.

Sec. 11, lot 2.

The area described contains approximately 5.75 acres in Salt Lake County.

Dated: April 7, 2008.

#### C. Stephen Allred,

Assistant Secretary—Land and Minerals Management.

[FR Doc. E8-8321 Filed 4-16-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### 30-Day Notice of Submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB); Opportunity for Public Comment

**AGENCY:** Department of the Interior, National Park Service.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** Under provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and 5 CFR part 1320, Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements, the National Park Service (NPS) invites public comments on a proposed new collection of information (OMB #1024-XXXX).

**DATES:** Public comments on this Information Collection Request (ICR) will be accepted on or before May 19, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments directly to the Desk Officer for the Department of the Interior (OMB #1024-XXXX), Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, by fax at 202-395-6566, or by electronic mail at [oir\\_docket@omb.eop.gov](mailto:oir_docket@omb.eop.gov). Please also send a copy of your comments to Dr. Jane Swanson, Protected Areas Social Research Unit, College of Forest Resources, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195; or via phone at 206-685-9150; or via fax at 206-685-0790; or via e-mail at [swansonj@u.washington.edu](mailto:swansonj@u.washington.edu).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. James Gramann, NPS Social Science Program, 1201 "Eye" St., Washington, DC 20005; or via phone 202-513-7189; or via e-mail

[James\\_Gramann@partner.nps.gov](mailto:James_Gramann@partner.nps.gov). You are entitled to a copy of the entire ICR package free-of-charge. You may access this ICR at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/>.

*Comments Received on the 60-Day Federal Register Notice:* The NPS published a 60-Day Notice to solicit public comments on this ICR entitled "Research Assessing Current and Potential Impacts of Cruise Ships on Visitor Experiences in Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve" in the **Federal Register** on November 5, 2007 (72 FR 62489-62490). The comment period closed on January 4, 2007. After multiple notifications to stakeholders requesting comments, the NPS received four comments as a result of the publication of this 60-Day **Federal Register** Notice.

We received four public comments on the proposed visitor study in Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve (GLBA). All of these comments were based only on the information included in the 60-day notice. Two comments were from charter operators who have agreements with GLBA. The first of these indicated that interviewing of charter operators and other gatekeepers is critical in order to get a complete picture as many of their clients are unaware of actions the operators take to avoid cruise ships at critical points in the itinerary. She further stated she was not opposed to cruise ships, appreciated the balance the park was working to achieve, and appreciated the opportunity to have the operators' voice heard. The comment was addressed in a reply e-mail acknowledging her understanding of the gatekeeper interview component of the proposed research, attaching the