

	Period to be reviewed
Hotmetal Forge (India) Pvt. Ltd. Pradeep Metals Ltd. Frozen Warmwater Shrimp, ⁴ A-533-840	2/1/07-1/31/08 2/1/07-1/31/08
Republic of Korea: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate, A-580-836	2/1/07-1/31/08
Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd.	
Thailand: Frozen Warmwater Shrimp, ⁵ A-549-822	2/1/07-1/31/08
The People's Republic of China: Axes/Adzes ⁶ A-570-803	2/1/07-1/31/08
Truper Herramientas S.A. de C.V. Bars/Wedges,* A-570-803	2/1/07-1/31/08
Truper Herramientas S.A. de C.V. Frozen Warmwater Shrimp, ⁷ A-570-893	2/1/07-1/31/08 2/1/07-1/31/08
Hammers/Sledges,* A-570-803	2/1/07-1/31/08
Truper Herramientas S.A. de C.V. Picks/Mattocks,* A-570-803	2/1/07-1/31/08
Truper Herramientas S.A. de C.V. Certain Preserved Mushrooms, ⁸ A-570-851	2/1/07-1/31/08
Fujian Yu Xing Fruit and Vegetable Foodstuff Development Co. Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Frozen Warmwater Shrimp, ⁹ A-552-802	2/1/07-1/31/08
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
Republic of Korea: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate, C-580-837	1/1/07-12/31/07
Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd.	
Suspension Agreements	
None.	
Deferral of Initiation of Administrative Review	
France: Low Enriched Uranium, A-427-818	2/1/07-1/31/08
Eurodif S.A./AREVA NC (formerly COGEMA).	

² The initiation of the administrative review for the above referenced case will be published in a separate initiation notice.

³ The initiation of the administrative review for the above referenced case will be published in a separate initiation notice.

⁴ The initiation of the administrative review for the above referenced case will be published in a separate initiation notice.

⁵ The initiation of the administrative review for the above referenced case will be published in a separate initiation notice.

⁶ (*) If the above-named company does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Heavy Forged Hand Tools from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

⁷ The initiation of the administrative review for the above referenced case will be published in a separate initiation notice.

⁸ If the above-named company does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of certain preserved mushrooms from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

⁹ The initiation of the administrative review for the above referenced case will be published in a separate initiation notice.

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under section 351.211 or a determination under section 351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or suspended investigation (after sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine, consistent with *FAG Italia v. United States*, 291 F.3d 806 (Fed. Cir. 2002), as appropriate, whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or

producer for which the inquiry is requested.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. On January 22, 2008, the Department published *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Documents Submission Procedures; APO Procedures* (73 FR 3634). Those procedures apply to administrative reviews included in this notice of initiation. Parties wishing to participate in any of these administrative reviews should ensure that they meet the requirements of these procedures (*e.g.*, the filing of separate letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d)).

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19

U.S.C. 1675(a)), and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: March 26, 2008.

Stephen J. Claey's,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-6564 Filed 3-28-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Environmental Technologies Trade Advisory Committee (ETTAC)

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

DATES: April 18, 2008.

TIMES: 9 a.m. to 3 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution, NW., Washington DC 20230, Room 4813.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Technologies Trade Advisory Committee (ETTAC) will hold a plenary meeting on April 18, 2008 at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, in Room 4813. The ETTAC will discuss updated negotiations in the World Trade Organization's environmental goods and services trade liberalization, trade issues concerning China, drafting of a recommendation paper, among other administrative committee priority items. The meeting is open to the public and time will be permitted for public comment.

Written comments concerning ETTAC affairs are welcome anytime before or after the meeting. Minutes will be available within 30 days of this meeting.

The ETTAC is mandated by Public Law 103-392. It was created to advise the U.S. government on environmental trade policies and programs, and to help it to focus its resources on increasing the exports of the U.S. environmental industry. ETTAC operates as an advisory committee to the Secretary of Commerce and the Trade Promotion Coordinating Committee (TPCC). ETTAC was originally chartered in May of 1994. It was most recently re-chartered until September 2008.

For further information phone Ellen Bohon, Office of Energy and Environmental Technologies Industries (OEEI), International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce at (202) 482-0359. This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to OEEI at (202) 482-5225.

Dated: March 19, 2008.

Patricia M. Sefcik,

Acting Director, Office of Energy and Environmental Industries.

[FR Doc. E8-6466 Filed 3-28-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-427-820]

Stainless Steel Bar from France: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to a timely request by Ascometal, S.A. (Ascometal), the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar (SSB) from France with respect to Ascometal. The period of review (POR) is March 1, 2006, through February 28, 2007.

We preliminarily determine that Ascometal did not sell SSB below normal value (NV) during the POR. Interested parties are invited to comment on the preliminary results. If the preliminary results are adopted in our final results of administrative review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 31, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Goldberger or Terre Keaton Stefanova, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4136 or (202) 482-1280, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 7, 2002, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order on SSB from France. See *Antidumping Duty Order: Stainless Steel Bar from France*, 67 FR 10385 (March 7, 2002). On March 2, 2007, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Opportunity To Request Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on SSB from France for the POR. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 72 FR 9505 (March 2, 2007). On March 30 and April 2, 2007, Ugitech, S.A. (Ugitech) and Ascometal submitted timely letters requesting that the Department conduct an administrative review of their sales of SSB made during the POR, pursuant to section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). On April 27, 2007, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review with respect to Ascometal and Ugitech. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Reviews*, 72 FR 20986 (April 27, 2007). On April 30, 2007, we issued antidumping duty questionnaires to both companies.

On May 24, 2007, Ugitech timely withdrew its request for an

administrative review. The Department published the rescission of the administrative review with respect to Ugitech on June 15, 2007. See *Stainless Steel Bar from France: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 33202 (June 15, 2007).

Ascometal submitted responses to sections A, B, and C of the Department's questionnaire in June 2007. We issued a supplemental questionnaire in July 2007, and received a response to this questionnaire later that month. Ascometal provided additional information in response to Department requests during November 2007.

On June 27, 2007, the petitioners¹ requested that the Department initiate a sales-below-cost investigation of Ascometal. On August 8, 2007, we initiated this investigation. See Memorandum to James Maeder, Director, Office 2, AD/CVD Operations, entitled "Petitioners' Allegation of Sales Below the Cost of Production for Ascometal S.A.," dated August 8, 2007 (COP Initiation Memo). On August 9, 2007, we instructed Ascometal to respond to section D of the Department's questionnaire. On September 10, 2007, we granted Ascometal's request to report its cost of production (COP) based on the period January 1, 2006, through December 31, 2006, rather than the POR. Ascometal submitted its response to section D of the questionnaire on September 28, 2007. On October 12, 2007, we issued a supplemental section D questionnaire to Ascometal, to which Ascometal submitted its response on November 2, 2007.

On November 2, 2007, we extended the time limit for the preliminary results in this review until March 31, 2008. See *Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results in Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Bar From France*, 72 FR 62209 (November 2, 2007).

We conducted a verification of Ascometal's reported U.S. sales data in December 2007, and issued our verification report on February 5, 2008. In response to our February 6, 2008, request, Ascometal submitted a revised U.S. sales database reflecting certain verification corrections and findings on February 15, 2008.

Scope of the Order

For purposes of this order, the term "stainless steel bar" includes articles of

¹ The petitioners include the following companies: Carpenter Technology Corporation; Crucible Specialty Metals Division, Crucible Materials Corporation; and Electroalloy Corporation, a Division of G.O. Carlson, Inc.