

concludes that the proposed action is the preferred alternative.

#### *Agencies and Persons Consulted*

NRC provided a draft of this Environmental Assessment to the Virginia Radioactive Materials Program for review on February 25, 2008. The State agreed with the conclusions of the EA, and otherwise provided no comments.

The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action is of a procedural nature, and will not affect listed species or critical habitat. Therefore, no further consultation is required under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. The NRC staff has also determined that the proposed action is not the type of activity that has the potential to cause effects on historic properties. Therefore, no further consultation is required under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

#### **III. Finding of No Significant Impact**

The NRC staff has prepared this EA in support of the proposed action. On the basis of this EA, the NRC finds that there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed action, and that preparation of an environmental impact statement is not warranted. Accordingly, the NRC has determined that a Finding of No Significant Impact is appropriate.

#### **IV. Further Information**

Documents related to this action, including the application for license amendment and supporting documentation, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. From this site, you can access the NRC's Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. The documents related to this action are listed below, along with their ADAMS accession numbers.

1. E. Lynn McGuire, Department of Veterans Affairs, letter to Cassandra Frazier, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region III, dated October 22, 2007 (ADAMS Accession No. ML072980830);

2. Regulatory Guide 1.86, "Termination of Operating Licenses for Reactors;"

3. Title 10 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 20, Subpart E, "Radiological Criteria for License Termination;"

4. Title 10 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 51, "Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic

Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions;"

5. NUREG-1496, "Generic Environmental Impact Statement in Support of Rulemaking on Radiological Criteria for License Termination of NRC-Licensed Nuclear Facilities;"

6. NUREG-1757, "Consolidated NMSS Decommissioning Guidance."

If you do not have access to ADAMS, or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov). These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's PDR, O 1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee.

Dated at Lisle, Illinois, this 17th day of March 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,  
**Patrick Loudon**,  
*Chief, Decommissioning Branch, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region III.*  
[FR Doc. E8-6230 Filed 3-26-08; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

#### **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

[Docket No. 50-443]

#### **Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1; Correction to Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of Issuance; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects a notice appearing in the **Federal Register** on March 19, 2008 (73 FR 14850), that incorrectly referenced the date of the submittal for the amendment request. This action is necessary to correct an erroneous date.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** G. Edward Miller, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone (301) 415-2481, *e-mail:* [GXM@nrc.gov](mailto:GXM@nrc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On page 14852, in the second column, in the second complete paragraph, fourth line, it is corrected to read from "February 16, 2007" to "March 7, 2008".

Dated in Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of March 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**G. Edward Miller**,  
*Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch 1-2, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. E8-6237 Filed 3-26-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

#### **POSTAL SERVICE**

#### **Change in Rates of General Applicability for Competitive Products: Decision of the Governors of the Postal Service**

**AGENCY:** Postal Service.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice sets forth changes in rates of general applicability for competitive products.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* May 12, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr., 202-268-2989.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On March 3, 2008, pursuant to their authority under 39 U.S.C. 3632, the Governors of the Postal Service established prices and classification changes for competitive products. The Governors' Decision and the record of proceedings in connection with such decision are reprinted below in accordance with § 3632(b)(2). Implementing regulations will be published separately in the **Federal Register**.

Stanley F. Mires,  
*Chief Counsel, Legislative.*

#### **Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on Changes in Rates and Classes of General Applicability for Competitive Products (Governors' Decision No. 08-3)**

March 4, 2008.

#### **Statement of Explanation and Justification**

Pursuant to our authority under section 3632 of title 39, as amended by the Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act of 2006 ("PAEA"), we establish new prices of general applicability for the Postal Service's competitive products, and such changes in classifications as are necessary to define the new prices. The prices and classification changes are shown in Attachment A and are described in detail in the analysis provided by management in Attachment B. We have reviewed that analysis and have evaluated the new prices and classification changes in accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3632-3633 and 39 CFR 3015.2. We approve the changes set forth in Attachment A, finding that they