

VI. Recommended Order⁶*[Redacted Section]*

Accordingly, this Recommended Decision and Order is being referred to the Under Secretary for Industry & Security for review and final action for the agency, without further notice to the respondent as provided in Section 766.7 of the Regulations.

Pursuant to Section 766.22(b), the parties have 12 days from the date of issuance of this recommended decision and order in which to submit simultaneous responses. Parties thereafter shall have eight days from receipt of any response(s) in which to submit replies. Any response or reply must be received within the time specified by the Under Secretary. Within 30 days after receipt of this Recommended Decision and Order, the Under Secretary shall issue a written order affirming, modifying, or vacating the Recommended Decision and Order. See 15 CFR 766.22(c).

Done and dated February 4, 2008, Baltimore, Maryland.

Joseph N. Ingolia,

*Administrative Law Judge, U.S. Coast Guard*⁷
[FR Doc. 08-974 Filed 3-5-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration****Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments**

Pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before March 26, 2008. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 2104, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and

5 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Room 2104.

Docket Number: 08-004. Applicant: VA Connecticut Healthcare System, Neuroscience Research Center (127A), VA Connecticut Healthcare System, 950 Campbell Avenue, West Haven, CT 06516. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model JEM-1011. *Manufacturer:* Jeol, Inc., Japan. *Intended Use:* The instrument is intended to be used to examine the molecular ultrastructure of brain, spinal cord and other nervous tissue samples obtained from control and experimental animals. The objectives of these research investigations are to understand the mechanisms of nerve cell damage and loss following injury and to examine the efficacy of different therapeutic interventions that can eliminate or minimize dysfunction following nervous system injury. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* February 8, 2008.

Docket Number: 08-005. Applicant: University of Utah, 201 S. President's Circle, Salt Lake City, UT 84112. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model 600 Quanta FEG. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* The instrument is intended to be used primarily for electron beam lithography as well as chemical characterization of a wide variety of materials. The instrument will be used to measure the size and chemical composition of nanoparticles and nanostructures. It will also be used to create nanostructures using electron beam lithography. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* February 17, 2008.

Docket Number: 08-006. Applicant: Advocate Lutheran General Hospital—Em/Pathology, 1775 Dempster, 5th Floor, Park Ridge, IL 60068. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model H-7650. *Manufacturer:* Hitachi High-Technologies Corp., Japan. *Intended Use:* The instrument is intended to be used primarily as a tool in the pathologic diagnosis of human diseases, mainly in: (a) Kidney biopsies, to aid in the diagnosis of medical and certain hereditary kidney diseases; (b) biopsies and/or resections of certain undifferentiated cancers; (c) biopsies of muscles, nerves, or brain, to identify certain metabolic and hereditary disorders of these organs; and (d) biopsies of the respiratory and alimentary tracts, to identify certain developmental disorders of these organs. It will also be used to aid in the training of physician residents in pathology during their rotations in Nephropathology and Surgical Pathology. *Application accepted by*

Commissioner of Customs: February 12, 2008.

Dated: March 3, 2008.

Faye Robinson,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.
[FR Doc. E8-4407 Filed 3-5-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[C-533-821]

Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From India: Notice of Extension of Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Conniff at (202) 482-1009, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Background

On December 1, 2006, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of this CVD order. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 71 FR 69543 (December 1, 2006) (*Opportunity to Request Review*).¹ On January 9, 2008, the Department published the preliminary results of this review. See *Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from India: Notice of Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 73 FR 1578 (January 9, 2008). The final results of this review are currently due no later than May 8, 2008.

Extension of Time Limit of Final Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within that time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the

¹ On December 18, 2006, we published a correction to the notice of Opportunity to Request Review to correct the POR. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review; Correction*, 71 FR 75709 (December 18, 2006).

⁶

⁷ United States coast Guard Administrative Law Judges perform adjudicatory functions required for the Bureau of Industry and Security with approval from the Office of Personnel Management pursuant to a memorandum of understanding between the Coast Guard and the Bureau of Industry and Security.