

Rick Cooper, (831) 630-5010; or BLM Central California Public Affairs Officer David Christy, (916) 985-4474.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The twelve-member Central California RAC advises the Secretary of the Interior, through the BLM, on a variety of public land issues associated with public land management in the Central California. This tour will focus on issues for the Clear Creek Management Area. Individuals who plan to attend and need special assistance such as sign language interpretation or other reasonable accommodations should contact the BLM as indicated above.

Dated: February 7, 2008.

David Christy,

Public Affairs Officer.

[FR Doc. E8-2791 Filed 2-13-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1820-XX-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[MT-922-08-1310-FI-P; SDM 96171]

Notice of Proposed Reinstatement of Terminated Oil and Gas Lease; SDM 96171

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Per 30 U.S.C. 188(d), BTA Oil Producers, LLC timely filed a petition for reinstatement of oil and gas lease SDM 96171, Harding County, South Dakota. The lessee paid the required rental accruing from the date of termination.

No leases were issued that affect these lands. The lessee agrees to new lease terms for rentals and royalties of \$10 per acre and 16 $\frac{2}{3}$ percent or 4 percentages above the existing competitive royalty rate. The lessee paid the \$500 administration fee for the reinstatement of the lease and \$163 cost for publishing this Notice.

The lessee met the requirements for reinstatement of the lease per Sec. 31(d) and (e) of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 188). We are proposing to reinstate the lease, effective the date of termination subject to:

- The original terms and conditions of the lease;
- The increased rental of \$10 per acre;
- The increased royalty of 16 $\frac{2}{3}$ percent or 4 percentages above the existing competitive royalty rate; and
- The \$163 cost of publishing this Notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Karen L. Johnson, Chief, Fluids Adjudication Section, BLM Montana State Office, 5001 Southgate Drive, Billings, Montana 59101-4669, 406-896-5098.

Dated: February 7, 2008.

Karen L. Johnson,

Chief, Fluids Adjudication Section.

[FR Doc. E8-2802 Filed 2-13-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-SS-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-589]

In the Matter of: Certain Switches and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination of No Violation of Section 337; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined that there is no violation of 19 U.S.C. 1337 by respondents Belkin International, Inc., Belkin, Inc., and Emine Technology Co., Ltd. in the above-referenced investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michelle Walters, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted on December 7, 2006, based on a complaint filed by ATEN International Co., Ltd. of Taipei, Taiwan, and ATEN Technology, Inc. of Irvine, California (collectively, "ATEN"). The complaint alleged

violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain switches and products containing the same by reason of infringement of various claims of United States Patent No. 7,035,112. The complaint named six respondents: Belkin International, Inc., Belkin, Inc. (collectively, "Belkin"), Emine Technology Co., Ltd. ("Emine"), RATOC Systems, Inc., RATOC Systems International, Inc. (collectively, "RATOC"), and JustCom Tech, Inc. ("JustCom"). The Commission has terminated the investigation with respect to RATOC and JustCom based on settlement agreements, including a consent order.

On November 7, 2007, the ALJ issued his final initial determination ("ID"), and on November 21, 2007, he issued his recommended determination on remedy and bonding. In his ID, the ALJ found that Belkin's and Emine's accused products do not infringe asserted claims 1 and 12-21. In addition, the ALJ found that the claims are not invalid for anticipation or obviousness. The ALJ also found that the claims are not invalid for lack of written description support and that the patent is not unenforceable for inequitable conduct. Further, the ALJ found that there was no domestic industry based on the asserted patent. ATEN, Belkin, Emine, and the Commission investigative attorney each filed petitions for review of the ALJ's ID and responses to the petitions. The Commission determined to review a portion of the ALJ's ID and requested briefing from the parties on the issues under review and on remedy, the public interest, and bonding.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALJ's final ID, the written submissions on review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined (1) to modify the ALJ's claim construction of the term "body;" (2) to adopt the ALJ's claim construction of the terms "fixedly attached" and "integrated into;" (3) to determine that Belkin's and Emine's products do not infringe the asserted claims under the adopted claim construction; and (4) to determine that, alternatively, if a broad claim construction were adopted for the term "body," the claims would be invalid for anticipation or obviousness in light of the asserted prior art.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.45 of the Commission's