response results in a total annual reporting burden of 1,408.28 hours.
For HOPE VI Main Street Applications: 15 respondents, once annually, 45 hours average per response results in a total annual reporting burden of 692.55 hours.
For HOPE VI Revitalization Quarterly Reporting: 207 respondents, 4 times annually, 20 hours average per response results in a total annual reporting burden of 16,560 hours.
Grand total: These information collections, along with other Non-NOFA information collection items required in connection with the HOPE VI program including budget updates, supportive services and relocation plans, and cost certificates result in an annual total reporting burden of 26,262.93 hours.
Status of the proposed information collection: Extension of a Currently Approved Collection.


Bessy M. Kong,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy, Program, and Legislative Initiatives.
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BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Office of the Secretary

Privacy Act of 1974: Amendments to Existing Systems of Records

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed amendment of existing Privacy Act systems of records.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), the Office of the Secretary of the Department of the Interior is issuing public notice of its intent to amend 35 existing Privacy Act system of records notices to add a new routine use to authorize the disclosure of records to individuals involved in responding to a breach of Federal data.

DATES: Comments must be received by March 24, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Any persons interested in commenting on these proposed amendments may do so by submitting comments in writing to the Office of the Secretary Privacy Act Officer, Sue Ellen Sloca, U.S. Department of the Interior, MS–116 SIB, 1951 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20240, or by e-mail to Sue_Ellen_Sloca@nbc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Office of the Secretary Privacy Act Officer, Sue Ellen Sloca, U.S. Department of the Interior, MS–116 SIB, 1951 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20240, or by e-mail to Sue_Ellen_Sloca@nbc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 22, 2007, in a memorandum for the heads of Executive Departments and Agencies entitled “Safeguarding Against and Responding to the Breach of Personally Identifiable Information,” the Office of Management and Budget directed agencies to develop and publish a routine use for disclosure of information in connection with response and remedial efforts in the event of a data breach. This routine use will serve to protect the interest of the individuals whose information is at issue by allowing agencies to take appropriate steps to facilitate a timely and effective response to the breach, thereby improving its ability to prevent, minimize or remedy any harm resulting from a compromise of data maintained in its systems of records. Accordingly, the Office of the Secretary of the Department of the Interior is proposing to add a new routine use to authorize disclosure to appropriate agencies, entities, and persons, of information maintained in the following systems in the event of a data breach. These amendments will be effective as proposed at the end of the comment period unless comments are received which would require a contrary determination. The Department will publish a revised notice if changes are made based upon a review of comments received.

Sue Ellen Sloca,
Office of the Secretary Privacy Act Officer.

SYSTEM NAMES:

Interior, OAS—01: “Official Pilot Folder.” (Published March 22, 1999, 64 FR 13809–13810)

Interior, OAS—02: “Aircraft Services Administrative and Fiscal Records.” (Published April 7, 1999, 64 FR 16990–16991)

Interior, DOI—01: “Interior Child Care Subsidy Program.” (Published February 14, 2001, 66 FR 10309–10311)

Interior, OS—02: “Individual Indian Money (IIM) Trust Funds.” (Published March 8, 2001, 66 FR 13945–13947)

Interior, OS—03: “The Box Index Search System.” (Published July 29, 2005, 70 FR 43899–43900)

Interior, DOI—04: “Employee Assistance Program Records.” (Published April 23, 1999, 64 FR 20011–20013)


Interior, OS—09: “Hearings and Appeals Files.” (Published November 27, 2006, 71 FR 68633–68635)

Interior, OS—10: “Electronic Email Archive System (EEAS).” (Published January 28, 2003, 68 FR 4220–4221)


Interior, DOI—16: “DOI LEARN (Department-wide Learning Management System).” (Published October 5, 2005, 70 FR 58230–58232)


Interior, OS—20: “Secretarial Controlled Correspondence File.” (Published April 23, 1999, 64 FR 20013–20014)


Interior, OS—36: “Telephone Call Detail Records.” (Published February 15, 1994, 59 FR 38097–38098)

Interior, OS—46: “Secretarial Subject Files.” (Published April 7, 1999, 64 FR 16983–16984)

Interior, OS—47: “Parking Assignment Records.” (Published April 7, 1999, 64 FR 16984–16985)

Interior, OS—51: “Property Accountability and Control System.” (Published April 9, 1999, 64 FR 17404–17405)

Interior, OS—52: “Passport and Visa Records.” (Published April 7, 1999, 64 FR 16981)

Interior, DOI—57: “Privacy Act Files.” (Published March 24, 1999, 64 FR 14258–14259)

Interior, DOI—58: “Employee Administrative Records.” (Published April 20, 1999, 64 FR 19384–19386)

Interior, DOI—60: “Safety Management Information System.” (Published April 7, 1999, 64 FR 16991–16992)

Interior, DOI—71: “Electronic FOIA Tracking System and FOIA Case Files.” (Published September 18, 2002, 67 FR 58817–58819)

Interior, DOI—72: “FECA Chargeback Case Files.” (Published April 20, 1999, 64 FR 19380–19381)

Interior, DOI—74: “Grievance Records.” (Published April 20, 64 FR 19381–19383)


Interior, DOI—77: “Unfair Labor Practice Charges/Complaints Files.” (Published April 14, 1999, 64 FR 18434–18436)
SUMMARY: The Fish and Wildlife Service announces that a Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Environmental Assessment (Draft CCP/EA) for Waccamaw National Wildlife Refuge in Georgetown, Horry, and Marion Counties, South Carolina, is available for distribution. This Draft CCP/EA was prepared pursuant to the National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1997, and the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and describes the Service’s proposal for management of this refuge over the next 15 years.

DATES: Written comments must be received at the postal address listed below no later than March 14, 2008.

ADDRESSES: To provide written comments or to obtain a copy of the Draft CCP/EA, please write to: Mr. Craig Sasser, Refuge Manager, Waccamaw National Wildlife Refuge, 1601 North Fraser Street, Georgetown, SC 29440. The Draft CCP/EA may also be accessed and downloaded from the Service’s Internet site: http://southeast.fws.gov/planning.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Craig Sasser, Refuge Manager, at Telephone: 843–527–8069 or 843–509–1514; E-mail: marshall_sasser@fws.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Public Availability of Comments:
Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comments to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Background: Waccamaw National Wildlife Refuge is currently 18,251 acres in size (within an approved acquisition boundary of 54,000 acres), and was established in 1997 for the following purposes: (1) To protect and manage diverse habitat components within an important coastal river ecosystem for the benefit of threatened and endangered species, freshwater and anadromous fish, migratory birds, and forest wildlife, including a wide array of plants and animals associated with bottomland hardwood habitats; and (2) to provide compatible wildlife-dependent recreational activities, including hunting, fishing, wildlife observation, wildlife photography, and environmental education and interpretation. Significant issues raised by the public and addressed in the Draft CCP/EA include: conserving migratory waterfowl, neotropical migratory birds, and black bears; increasing opportunities for hunting, fishing, wildlife observation, wildlife photography, and environmental education and interpretation; developing a new visitor center; acquiring parcels from willing sellers within the approved refuge acquisition boundary; restoring longleaf pine forests; developing partnerships with the State and non-governmental organizations, and protecting Bull Island as wilderness. The Service developed four alternatives for management of the refuge (Alternatives A, B, C, and D), with Alternative D as the Service’s proposed alternative.

Alternative A (Current Management)
Under this alternative, Waccamaw Refuge would continue its current management. No active, direct management of waterfowl populations would occur. With regard to neotropical migratory birds, the refuge would continue to conduct informal surveys on swallow-tailed kites and Swainson’s warblers on an occasional basis. Incidental observations of black bear on the refuge would be compiled. Threatened and endangered species would continue to be protected on appropriate refuge habitats. Each of the recreational uses as identified in the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 would continue.

Alternative B (Habitat Restoration/Enhancements on Unit 1)
Under this alternative, the refuge would focus on habitat restoration efforts and enhancements on Unit 1, which consists of 34,784 acres (including acreage within the acquisition boundary not owned by the refuge) and is made up entirely of alluvial and black water floodplain forests and wetlands. The refuge would aim to improve wintering waterfowl habitat on approximately 600 acres on Unit 1 by restoring hydrology. With regard to neotropical migratory birds and black bears, Alternative B would be the same as Alternative A. With regard to threatened and endangered species, Alternative B’s proposed hydrology restoration on Unit 1 would enhance its existing wood stork rookery. All existing recreational uses would be continued and additional wildlife-dependent public uses would be implemented.

Alternative C (Habitat Restoration/Enhancement on All Units)
Under this alternative, the refuge would focus habitat restoration efforts...