

For the U.S. Department of Commerce:  
Carlos M. Gutierrez,  
U.S. Secretary of Commerce.

For ROSATOM:  
S.V. Kiriyyenko,  
Director, Federal Atomic Energy Agency,  
(ROSATOM).

#### Appendix 1

Section IV.—Export Limits—The status of the other paragraphs of section IV, other than the newly-added paragraphs, is as follows:

1994 matched sales provisions (IV, IV.A—IV—E)—hereby deleted.

1992 Sections IV. A—IV.C.1—deleted in 1994.

1992 Sections IV. C 2–3 and IV.D—hereby deleted.

1992 Sections IV. E—IV.G—remain in effect.

1992 Section IV. H, first two paragraphs—deleted in 1997.

1997 Section H—remains in effect.

1992 Sections IV. I—IV.M.1 remain in effect.

1996 Section IV.M.2—remains in effect.

1992 Section IV.M.2—ineffective as of 1997.

#### Appendix 2

Pursuant to section V.F, the following documents should accompany any contract for the sale of Russian Uranium Products for exportation to the United States which is submitted to the Department for approval:

1. A copy of the signed contract pursuant to which the Russian Uranium Products shall be imported (showing the contract date and key terms such as price, quantity, delivery requirements and estimated delivery schedule);

2. A description of the physical material being imported;

3. Identification of the Russian supplier of the Russian Uranium Products;

4. For each contract, the maximum volume of each type of Russian Uranium Product that may be exported to the United States pursuant to the contract each year;

5. For sales pursuant to Section IV.B.2, the documentation necessary to demonstrate that deliveries meet the definition of Initial Cores (e.g., a combined construction and operating license (COL), etc.).

#### Appendix 3

Pursuant to section VIII, the following additional reporting requirements are agreed to by ROSATOM and the Department:

1. Beginning the Effective Date, no later than 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter, to the extent permitted

by Russian law, ROSATOM shall submit an updated master export schedule to the Department showing the following for each year (from the first year of validity of the Amendment through 2020) for any material to be delivered in the United States pursuant to contracts under this Agreement: (a) Estimated deliveries, and (b) completed deliveries. All such reports submitted by ROSATOM shall be subject to release under Administrative Protective Order (“APO”) to counsel for interested parties to the proceeding.

2. Beginning the Effective Date, no later than 30 days after the end of each semi-annual period, to the extent permitted by U.S. law, the Department shall provide semi-annual reports to ROSATOM, via its U.S. attorney under APO, of all individual imports (for consumption and for processing and re-export) of Russian Uranium Products to the United States, together with such additional information as is necessary and appropriate to monitor implementation of the Agreement, as agreed to by ROSATOM and the Department. For every transaction for which the Department withholds information on the basis that its disclosure is not permitted under U.S. law, the Department shall submit to ROSATOM the fullest description permitted under U.S. law of the information withheld and the legal basis for not disclosing it.

3. For purposes of the Department’s reporting on imports for consumption, to the extent permitted under U.S. law, the Department shall provide the following:

a. *Quantity*: Indicate units of measure sold and/or entered, e.g., pounds U308, Kilograms U, SWU, etc.

b. *Date of Importation*: The date Customs confirmed the Department’s shipment clearance instructions.

c. *Date of Export*: The date the Export Certificate is endorsed.

d. *Export Certificate*: The Export Certificate number corresponding to each individual import.

e. *Total Sales Value*: Indicate currency used.

f. *Importer of Record*: Name and address.

4. For purposes of the Department’s reporting on imports for processing and re-export, to the extent permitted under U.S. law, the Department shall provide the following:

a. Filing date of request for approval filed with the Department.

b. Certificate for Re-Export number, as listed on the Certificate for Re-Export.

c. Date of issuance by ROSATOM of the Certificate for Re-Export, as listed on the Certificate for Re-Export.

d. Date of Export, as listed on the Certificate for Re-Export.

e. Party requesting approval, as listed on the request for approval.

f. Customer, as listed on the Certificate for Re-Export.

g. Total quantity, expressed in KGU, U308 and, as applicable, SWUs, as listed on the Certificate for Re-Export.

h. Date of importation, as relied upon by the Department for purposes of determining annual usage of the quota.

i. Time frame for re-export (i.e., 12-month or 36-month), as listed on the Certificate for Re-Export.

j. Scheduled date for re-export, as relied upon by the Department for purposes of determining annual usage of the quota.

k. Notice of re-export filed with the Department, including the date of such notification and the actual date of re-export.

#### Appendix 4

[Available in the Department’s Central Records Unit, HCHB Room 1117].

[FR Doc. 08–608 Filed 2–8–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration (C–533–825)

#### Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On August 6, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of administrative review of the countervailing duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET Film) from India for the period January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005. *See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Notice of Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 43607 (August 6, 2007) (*Preliminary Results*). Based on the results of our verification and our analysis of the comments received, the Department has revised the subsidy rates for the respondents; Garware Polyester Ltd. (Garware) and MTZ Polyfilms, Ltd. (MTZ). The final subsidy rates for the reviewed companies are listed below in the section entitled “Final Results of Review.”

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 11, 2008

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elfi Blum or Sean Carey, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0197, or (202) 482-3964, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

Since the publication of the *Preliminary Results*, the following events have occurred. As provided in 782(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department conducted a verification of the questionnaire responses submitted by the Government of India (GOI), Garware, and MTZ from September 11 through September 25, 2007. We used standard verification procedures, including on-site examination of relevant records and original source documents. Our verification results are outlined in the verification memoranda, public versions of which are on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room 1117 of the Main Commerce Building. See "Verification of the Questionnaire Responses Submitted by the Government of India (GOI)" (December 7, 2007) (*GOI Verification Report*); "Verification of the Questionnaire Responses Submitted by Garware Polyester, Ltd. (Garware)" (December 7, 2007) (*Garware Verification Report*); and "Verification of the Questionnaire Responses Submitted by MTZ Polyfilms Ltd. (MTZ)" (December 7, 2007) (*MTZ Verification Report*). On December 20, 2007, Dupont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film of America, and Toray Plastics (America), Inc. (collectively, the Petitioners), Garware, and MTZ filed case briefs. Garware, MTZ and Petitioners filed rebuttal briefs on December 28, 2007. Based on a request by MTZ, a public hearing was held on January 10, 2008.

**Scope of the Order**

For purposes of the order, the products covered are all gauges of raw, pretreated, or primed Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip, whether extruded or coextruded. Excluded are metallized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer of more than 0.00001 inches thick. Imports of PET film are classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item number

3920.62.00. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

**Analysis of Comments Received**

Following the release of the verification reports for the GOI, Garware and MTZ, we gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the *Issues and Decision Memorandum for the 2005 Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India*, from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration (February 4, 2008) (*Issues and Decision Memorandum*), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The *Issues and Decision Memorandum* also contains a complete analysis of the programs covered by this review and the methodologies used to calculate the subsidy rates. A list of the comments raised in the briefs and addressed in the *Issues and Decision Memorandum* is appended to this notice. The *Issues and Decision Memorandum* is on file in the CRU, and can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://www.trade.gov/ia/>.

**Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

Based on our verification and analysis of comments received, we have made some adjustments in the methodology that was used in the *Preliminary Results* for calculating Garware's and MTZ's subsidy rates under several programs. All changes are discussed in detail in the *Issues and Decision Memorandum*.

**Final Results of Review**

In accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5), we calculated individual *ad valorem* subsidy rates for the producers/exporters, Garware and MTZ, the only producers/exporters subject to review for the calendar year 2005, the period of review (POR) for this administrative review.

| Manufacturer/Exporter   | Net Subsidy Rate |
|-------------------------|------------------|
| Garware Polyester Ltd.  | 10.37%           |
| MTZ Polyfilms Ltd. .... | 33.94%           |

**Assessment and Cash Deposit Instructions**

The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) 15 days after the date of publication of these

final results of review to liquidate shipments of subject merchandise by Garware and MTZ entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005, at 10.37 percent and 33.94 percent, respectively, *ad valorem* of the entered value. We will also instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties, at these rates, on shipments of the subject merchandise by Garware and MTZ entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of these final results of review. For all non-reviewed companies, the Department has instructed CBP to assess countervailing duties at the cash deposit rates in effect at the time of entry, for entries between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005. The cash deposit rates for all companies not covered by this review are not changed by the results of this review.

**Return or Destruction of Proprietary Information**

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 4, 2008.

**David M. Spooner,**  
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

**Appendix I**

*List Of Issues Addressed In The Issues And Decision Memorandum*

- Comment 1:* Countervailability Determination and Cash-Deposit Adjustment for the Target Plus Scheme  
*Comment 2:* Countervailing the Total Subsidy Provided by the Pre- and Post-Shipment Program  
*Comment 3:* The Countervailability of the Advance License Program (ALP)  
*Comment 4:* The Denominator in the Benefit Calculation for Export Promotion Capital Goods Scheme (EPCGS)  
*Comment 5:* Calculation Methodology for EPCGS  
*Comment 6:* Partial Fulfillment of the EPCGS Export Obligation  
*Comment 7:* The Interest Rate Used to Calculate the EPCGS Benefit

*Comment 8:* EPCGS Benefits for Machinery Not Used to Produce Subject Merchandise

*Comment 9:* The Treatment of Countervailing Duties in the Benefit Calculation for EPCGS

*Comment 10:* Company Specific Average Useful Life (AUL) for MTZ

*Comment 11:* Purchases From a Union Territory

*Comment 12:* Adjustments to Cash Deposit Rates to Account for Program-Wide Changes

*Comment 13:* State of Maharashtra (SOM) Sales Tax Exemption

*Comment 14:* Timetable for the Department to Consider Arguments [FR Doc. E8-2467 Filed 2-8-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

(A-351-841), (A-570-924), (A-549-825), (A-520-803)

#### **Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from Brazil, the People's Republic of China, Thailand, and the United Arab Emirates: Postponement of Preliminary Determinations of Antidumping Duty Investigations**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 11, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mike Heaney for Brazil, Erin Begnal for the People's Republic of China, Stephen Bailey for Thailand, and Douglas Kirby for the United Arab Emirates, AD/CVD Operations, Offices 6, 7, and 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4475, (202) 482-1442, (202) 482-0193 and (202) 482-3782, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Postponement of Preliminary Determinations**

On October 26, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the antidumping duty investigations of polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET Film) from Brazil, the People's Republic of China, Thailand, and the United Arab Emirates. See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET Film) from Brazil, the People's Republic of China, Thailand, and the United Arab Emirates: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations*, 72

FR 60801 (October 26, 2007). The notice of initiation stated that the Department would issue its preliminary determinations for these investigations no later than 140 days after the date of issuance of the initiation (i.e., March 6, 2008) in accordance with section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Id. at 60806.

On January 23, 2008, DuPont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester of America, SKC Inc. and Toray Plastics (America), Inc. (collectively, petitioners) made a timely request pursuant to section 733(c)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(e) for a postponement of the preliminary determinations with respect to Brazil, the People's Republic of China, Thailand, and the United Arab Emirates. The petitioners requested postponement of the preliminary determinations with respect to these four countries, explaining that they need time to evaluate questionnaire responses, the submissions of which were extended by the Department. Additionally, petitioners stated that they intend to file sales-below-cost allegations with respect to Thailand and the United Arab Emirates, and anticipated that the Department will need time to adequately analyze these allegations.

For the reasons identified by the petitioners and because there are no compelling reasons to deny the request, the Department is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determinations with respect to Brazil, the People's Republic of China, Thailand, and the United Arab Emirates pursuant to section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act by 50 days to April 25, 2008. The deadline for the final determinations will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determinations, unless extended.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to sections 733(c)(2) and 777(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: February 4, 2008.

**David M. Spooner,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E8-2460 Filed 2-8-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-201-822]

#### **Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Mexico; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On August 6, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Mexico. See *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Mexico; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 43600 (August 6, 2007) (*Preliminary Results*). This review covers sales of subject merchandise made by ThyssenKrupp Mexinox S.A. de C.V. (Mexinox) for the period July 1, 2005 to June 30, 2006. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculation; therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margin for the reviewed firm is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 11, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Maryanne Burke or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5604 and (202) 482-0649, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Background**

On August 6, 2007, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Mexico for the period July 1, 2005, to June 30, 2006. See *Preliminary Results*. In response to the Department's invitation to comment on the preliminary results of this review, Allegheny Ludlum Corporation, United Auto Workers Local 3303, Zanesville Armco Independent Organization, Inc. and the United Steelworkers of America (collectively, petitioners) and Mexinox filed their case briefs on November 13, 2007.<sup>1</sup> Mexinox submitted its rebuttal

<sup>1</sup> On September 11, 2007, we issued a memorandum stating that the Department would