

Comment 8: EPCGS Benefits for Machinery Not Used to Produce Subject Merchandise

Comment 9: The Treatment of Countervailing Duties in the Benefit Calculation for EPCGS

Comment 10: Company Specific Average Useful Life (AUL) for MTZ

Comment 11: Purchases From a Union Territory

Comment 12: Adjustments to Cash Deposit Rates to Account for Program-Wide Changes

Comment 13: State of Maharashtra (SOM) Sales Tax Exemption

Comment 14: Timetable for the Department to Consider Arguments [FR Doc. E8-2467 Filed 2-8-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A-351-841), (A-570-924), (A-549-825), (A-520-803)

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from Brazil, the People's Republic of China, Thailand, and the United Arab Emirates: Postponement of Preliminary Determinations of Antidumping Duty Investigations

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 11, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mike Heaney for Brazil, Erin Begnal for the People's Republic of China, Stephen Bailey for Thailand, and Douglas Kirby for the United Arab Emirates, AD/CVD Operations, Offices 6, 7, and 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4475, (202) 482-1442, (202) 482-0193 and (202) 482-3782, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Postponement of Preliminary Determinations

On October 26, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the antidumping duty investigations of polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET Film) from Brazil, the People's Republic of China, Thailand, and the United Arab Emirates. See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET Film) from Brazil, the People's Republic of China, Thailand, and the United Arab Emirates: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations*, 72

FR 60801 (October 26, 2007). The notice of initiation stated that the Department would issue its preliminary determinations for these investigations no later than 140 days after the date of issuance of the initiation (i.e., March 6, 2008) in accordance with section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Id. at 60806.

On January 23, 2008, DuPont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester of America, SKC Inc. and Toray Plastics (America), Inc. (collectively, petitioners) made a timely request pursuant to section 733(c)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(e) for a postponement of the preliminary determinations with respect to Brazil, the People's Republic of China, Thailand, and the United Arab Emirates. The petitioners requested postponement of the preliminary determinations with respect to these four countries, explaining that they need time to evaluate questionnaire responses, the submissions of which were extended by the Department. Additionally, petitioners stated that they intend to file sales-below-cost allegations with respect to Thailand and the United Arab Emirates, and anticipated that the Department will need time to adequately analyze these allegations.

For the reasons identified by the petitioners and because there are no compelling reasons to deny the request, the Department is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determinations with respect to Brazil, the People's Republic of China, Thailand, and the United Arab Emirates pursuant to section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act by 50 days to April 25, 2008. The deadline for the final determinations will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determinations, unless extended.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to sections 733(c)(2) and 777(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: February 4, 2008.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-2460 Filed 2-8-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-822]

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Mexico; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 6, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Mexico. See *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Mexico; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 43600 (August 6, 2007) (*Preliminary Results*). This review covers sales of subject merchandise made by ThyssenKrupp Mexinox S.A. de C.V. (Mexinox) for the period July 1, 2005 to June 30, 2006. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculation; therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margin for the reviewed firm is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 11, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maryanne Burke or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5604 and (202) 482-0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 6, 2007, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Mexico for the period July 1, 2005, to June 30, 2006. See *Preliminary Results*. In response to the Department's invitation to comment on the preliminary results of this review, Allegheny Ludlum Corporation, United Auto Workers Local 3303, Zanesville Armco Independent Organization, Inc. and the United Steelworkers of America (collectively, petitioners) and Mexinox filed their case briefs on November 13, 2007.¹ Mexinox submitted its rebuttal

¹ On September 11, 2007, we issued a memorandum stating that the Department would