AMS is committed to complying with the E-Government Act, to promote the use of the Internet and other information technologies to provide increased opportunities for citizen access to Government information and services, and for other purposes.

As noted in the initial regulatory flexibility analysis, USDA has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap or conflict with this final rule.

In addition, the Committee’s meeting was widely publicized throughout the Colorado Area No. 2 potato industry and all interested persons were invited to attend the meeting and participate in Committee deliberations on all issues. Like all Committee meetings, the August 16, 2007, meeting was a public meeting and all entities, both large and small, were able to express views on this issue.

A proposed rule concerning this action was published in the Federal Register on December 11, 2007 (72 FR 70244). Copies of the rule were mailed or sent via facsimile to all Committee members and handlers. The rule was also made available through the Internet by USDA and the Office of the Federal Register. A 15-day comment period ending December 26, 2007, was provided to allow interested persons to respond to the proposal. No comments were received.

A small business guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders may be obtained by contacting the Marketing Agreements and Orders Section, USDA, CCO, 1600 Eye Street, NW, Washington, DC 20250.

A proposed rule concerning this action was published in the Federal Register on December 11, 2007 (72 FR 70244). Copies of the rule were mailed or sent via facsimile to all Committee members and handlers. The rule was also made available through the Internet by USDA and the Office of the Federal Register. A 15-day comment period ending December 26, 2007, was provided to allow interested persons to respond to the proposal. No comments were received.

A small business guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders may be obtained by contacting the Marketing Agreements and Orders Section, USDA, CCO, 1600 Eye Street, NW, Washington, DC 20250.

Supplementary Information:

Background

Foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) is a severe and highly contagious viral infection affecting all cloven-hoofed ruminants, including cattle, deer, goats, sheep, swine, and other animals. The disease is highly communicable and is characterized by fever and blister-like lesions on the tongue and lips, in the mouth, on the teats, and between the hooves. It causes severe losses in the production of meat, milk, and other dairy products. Although many animals survive the disease, it leaves them debilitated. FMD is endemic to more than two-thirds of the world and is considered to be widespread in parts of Africa, Asia, Europe, and South America. Because of the highly communicable nature of FMD, it is necessary to protect livestock that are free of the disease from any animals, animal products, or other articles that might be contaminated with the FMD virus.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 948 is amended as follows:

PART 948—IRISH POTATOES GROWN IN COLORADO

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 948 continues to read as follows:


2. Amend § 948.386 by revising paragraph (a)(2) to read as follows:

§ 948.386 Handling regulation.

(a) * * * * * * * * * *

(2) All other varieties. U.S. No. 2, or better grade, 2 inches minimum diameter or 4 ounces minimum weight.

* * * * * * * * * *

Dated: January 24, 2008.

Lloyd C. Day,

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. E8–1570 Filed 1–29–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

9 CFR Part 94

[Docket No. APHIS–2007–0124]

Change in Disease Status of Surrey County, England, Because of Foot-and-Mouth Disease

AGENCY: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Interim rule and request for comments.

SUMMARY: We are amending the regulations governing the importation of certain animals, meat, and other animal products by removing Surrey County, England, from the list of regions considered to be free of foot-and-mouth disease (FMD). We are taking this action because the existence of FMD has been confirmed in that area. This action is necessary to prevent the introduction of FMD into the United States. As a result of this interim rule the importation of ruminants and swine and the fresh meat and other animal products of ruminants and swine from Surrey County, England, is restricted.

DATES: This interim rule is effective January 30, 2008. However, we are imposing this restriction retroactively to August 3, 2007. We will consider all comments that we receive on or before March 31, 2008.

ADDRESS: You may submit comments by either of the following methods:


• Postal Mail/Commercial Delivery: Please send two copies of your comment to Docket No. APHIS–2007–0124, Regulatory Analysis and Development, PPD, APHIS, Station 3A–03.8, 4700 River Road, Unit 118, Riverdale, MD 20737–1238. Please state that your comment refers to Docket No. APHIS–2007–0124.

Reading Room: You may read any comments that we receive on this docket in our reading room. The reading room is located in room 1141 of the USDA South Building, 14th Street and Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC. Normal reading room hours are 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. To be sure someone is there to help you, please call (202) 690–2817 before coming.

Other Information: Additional information about APHIS and its programs is available on the Internet at http://www.aphis.usda.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Chip Wells, Senior Staff Veterinarian, Regionalization Evaluation Services, VS, APHIS, 4700 River Road, Unit 38, Riverdale, MD 20737–1231; (301) 734–4356.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) is a severe and highly contagious viral infection affecting all cloven-hoofed ruminants, including cattle, deer, goats, sheep, swine, and other animals. The disease is highly communicable and is characterized by fever and blister-like lesions on the tongue and lips, in the mouth, on the teats, and between the hooves. It causes severe losses in the production of meat, milk, and other dairy products. Although many animals survive the disease, it leaves them debilitated. FMD is endemic to more than two-thirds of the world and is considered to be widespread in parts of Africa, Asia, Europe, and South America. Because of the highly communicable nature of FMD, it is necessary to protect livestock that are free of the disease from any animals, animal products, or other articles that might be contaminated with the FMD virus.
Although FMD was eradicated in the United States in 1929, the virus could be reintroduced by a single infected animal, animal product, or person carrying the virus. Once introduced, FMD can spread quickly through exposure to aerosols from infected animals, direct contact with infected animals, contact with contaminated feed or equipment, ingestion of animal products, or contact with humans harboring the virus or carrying the virus on their clothing.

The regulations in 9 CFR part 94 (referred to below as the regulations) govern the importation of certain animals and animal products into the United States in order to prevent the introduction of various animal diseases, including rinderpest and FMD. The United Kingdom (England, Northern Ireland, Scotland, Wales, and the Isle of Man), in its entirety, has been listed in §94.1 as a region considered free of rinderpest and FMD. Section 94.1 lists regions of the world considered free of rinderpest and FMD but from which the importation of meat and other animal products into the United States is subject to additional restrictions because of those regions’ proximity to or trading relationships with FMD-affected regions. The United Kingdom is currently listed in §94.11 as one of the regions from which meat and other animal products of ruminants and swine are subject to additional restrictions.

On August 3, 2007, the United Kingdom reported an outbreak of FMD in Surrey County, England, to the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE). A second outbreak was reported on August 7, 2007. By September 30, 2007, a total of eight outbreaks had been confirmed. All infected premises were located in Surrey County. As a precautionary measure, the United Kingdom, in agreement with the European Commission, has since August 3, 2007, restricted exports of ruminants and swine and the fresh meat and other animal products of ruminants and swine, initially from all of Great Britain and subsequently from smaller regions within Great Britain.

Epidemiological investigations and risk assessments conducted by the United Kingdom link the source of the outbreaks in Surrey County with a probably accidental release of the FMD virus from a laboratory and vaccine production facility in Pirbright. Intensive surveillance has demonstrated that the virus never spread outside of Surrey County. The United Kingdom and the European Commission removed all restrictions in Great Britain on December 31, 2007.

Based on our discussions with the United Kingdom’s veterinary officials and our evaluation of the situation, we have determined that: (1) FMD is not known to exist in the United Kingdom outside of Surrey County, England; (2) the United Kingdom maintained strict control over the importation and movement of animals and animal products from regions of higher risk and established barriers to the spread of FMD from Surrey County, England; (3) the United Kingdom maintained a surveillance system capable of detecting FMD should the disease have been introduced into other regions of the country; and (4) the United Kingdom has the laws, policies, and infrastructure to detect, respond to, and eliminate any occurrence of FMD. Consequently, until such time as we are able to conclude our own risk assessment of the Surrey County outbreaks, we have decided to remove the affected portion of the United Kingdom encompassing the administrative unit Surrey County, England, from the list of regions considered free of FMD. We are taking this action in order to protect the livestock of the United States from FMD.

Therefore, we are amending the regulations in §94.1 to remove Surrey County, England, from the list of regions that are considered free of rinderpest and FMD. We are also amending the regulations in §94.11 to remove Surrey County, England, from the list of regions considered free of rinderpest and FMD, but from which the importation of meat and other animal products of ruminants and swine into the United States is subject to additional restrictions. We are imposing this restriction retroactively to August 3, 2007, which is the date that the presence of FMD in this region of England was first confirmed.

We recognize that the United Kingdom immediately responded to the detection of the disease by imposing restrictions on the movement of ruminants and swine and the fresh meat and other animal products of ruminants and swine within and from England, and initiating measures to eradicate the disease, and the outbreak appears to be well controlled at this time. Because of the United Kingdom’s efforts to ensure that FMD does not spread beyond its borders, we intend to reassess the situation in accordance with the standards of the OIE at a future date. As part of the reassessment process, we will consider all comments received during the comment period on this interim rule. This future reassessment will determine whether it is necessary to continue to prohibit the importation of ruminants and swine and the fresh meat and other animal products of ruminants and swine from Surrey County, England.

**Emergency Action**

This rulemaking is necessary on an emergency basis to prevent the introduction of FMD into the United States. Under these circumstances, the Administrator has determined that prior notice and opportunity for public comment are contrary to the public interest and that there is good cause under 5 U.S.C. 553 for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the Federal Register.

We will consider comments we receive during the comment period for this interim rule (see DATES above). After the comment period closes, we will publish another document in the Federal Register. The document will include a discussion of any comments we receive and any amendments we are making to the rule.

**Executive Order 12866 and Regulatory Flexibility Act**

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12866. For this action, the Office of Management and Budget has waived its review under Executive Order 12866.

This emergency situation makes timely compliance with section 604 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601, et seq.) impracticable. We are currently assessing the potential economic effects of this action on small entities. Based on that assessment, we will either certify that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities or publish a regulatory flexibility analysis.

**Executive Order 12988**

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This rule: (1) Preempts all State and local laws and regulations that are inconsistent with this rule; (2) has retroactive effect to August 3, 2007; and (3) does not require administrative proceedings before parties may file suit in court challenging this rule.

**Paperwork Reduction Act**

This interim rule contains no information collection or recordkeeping requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.).

**List of Subjects in 9 CFR Part 94**

Animal diseases, Imports, Livestock, Meat and meat products, Milk, Poultry
and poultry products, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, we are amending 9 CFR part 94 as follows:

PART 94—RINDERPEST, FOOT-AND-MOUTH DISEASE, FOWL PEST (FOWL PLAGUE), EXOTIC NEWCASTLE DISEASE, AFRICAN SWINE FEVER, CLASSICAL SWINE FEVER, AND BOVINE SPONGIFORM ENCEPHALOPATHY: PROHIBITED AND RESTRICTED IMPORTATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 94 continues to read as follows:


§ 94.1 [Amended]

2. In § 94.1, paragraph (a)(2) is amended by adding the words “(except for Surrey County, England)” immediately after the words “United Kingdom.”

§ 94.11 [Amended]

3. In § 94.11, paragraph (a) is amended by adding the words “(except for Surrey County, England)” immediately after the words “United Kingdom.”

Done in Washington, DC, this 24th day of January 2008.

Paul R. Eggert,
Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. E8–1653 Filed 1–29–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3140–34–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39


RIN 2120–AA64


AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The FAA is adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain EMBRAER Model EMB–135 airplanes; and Model EMB–145, -145ER, -145MR, -145LR, -145XR, -145MP, and -145EP airplanes. This AD requires inspections to detect discrepancies of the components of the elevator control system, repetitive movements of the control column to observe the normal response of the elevators, repetitive inspections to detect discrepancies of the skin of the elevators, and applicable related investigative actions and corrective actions. This AD also provides for optional terminating actions for the inspections and measurements. This AD results from a report indicating that a Model EMB–145 airplane did not rotate in response to the command from the yoke during take-off, which resulted in a rejected take-off. We are issuing this AD to detect and correct discrepancies of the elevator control system, which could result in reduced control of the elevators and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

DATES: This AD becomes effective February 14, 2008.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in the AD as of February 14, 2008.

We must receive comments on this AD by February 29, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

• Fax: 202–493–2251.

• Mail: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.

• Hand Delivery: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this AD, contact Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. (EMBRAER), P.O. Box 343–CEP 12.225, Sao Jose dos Campos–SP, Brazil.

Exercising the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (telephone 800–647–5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion

On December 13, 2005, we issued AD 2005–26–15, amendment 39–14436 (70 FR 77303, December 30, 2005), that AD applies to certain EMBRAER Model EMB–135 airplanes; and Model EMB–145, -145ER, -145MR, -145LR, -145XR, -145MP, and -145EP airplanes. That AD requires performing repetitive inspections for cracks, ruptures, or bends in certain components of the elevator control system; replacing discrepant components; and, for certain airplanes, installing a new spring cartridge and implementing new logic for the electromechanical gust lock system. That AD also requires eventual modification of the elevator gust lock system to replace the mechanical system with an electromechanical system, which will terminate the repetitive inspections. That AD resulted from reports that cracks have been found in certain components of the elevator control system in the horizontal stabilizer area of several airplanes equipped with a mechanical gust lock system. These cracks have been attributed to damage from strong wind gusts on the ground. The actions specified in that AD are intended to prevent discrepancies in the elevator control system, which could result in reduced control of the elevator and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

Since we issued that AD, we received a report indicating that an EMBRAER Model EMB–145 airplane did not rotate in response to the command from the yoke as expected during take-off, and the flightcrew had to perform a rejected take-off. The elevator control system did not respond to elevator inputs from the yoke during take-off. The elevator control system did not respond to elevator inputs from the flightcrew. Investigation revealed that both elevator control rods were broken, and skin damage was found to the elevator control surface. Preliminary investigation reports reveal that the control rods broke under compression load. The reports also reveal that strong, windy conditions prevailed before the incident. The airplane’s mechanical elevator gust lock system had not yet been modified into an electromechanical elevator gust lock.