

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1539]

Approval for Expansion of Subzone 107A, Winnebago Industries, Inc. (Motor Home Vehicles), Charles City and Forest City, IA

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Iowa Foreign-Trade Zone Corporation, grantee of FTZ 107, has requested authority on behalf of Winnebago Industries, Inc., to expand the subzone and the scope of manufacturing authority under zone procedures within Subzone 107A at the company's facilities in Charles City and Forest City, Iowa (FTZ Docket 26–2007, filed 7/23/07);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment has been given in the **Federal Register** (72 FR 41705, 7/31/07); and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby approves the expansion of Subzone 107A as well as the scope of manufacturing authority under zone procedures within Subzone 107A at the Winnebago Industries, Inc., facilities located in Charles City and Forest City, Iowa, as described in the application and the **Federal Register** notice, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 17th day of January 2008.

David M. Spooner, David M. Spooner

Assistant Secretary of Commerce For Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Attest:

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8–1540 Filed 1–28–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1540]

Approval for Manufacturing Authority Merck Sharpe & Dohme Quimica de Puerto Rico Inc. (Pharmaceutical Products) Caguas, PR

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Puerto Rico Industrial Development Corporation, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone (FTZ) 7, has requested manufacturing authority under § 400.32(b)(2) of the Board's regulations on behalf of Merck Sharpe & Dohme Quimica de Puerto Rico Inc. within FTZ 7 at the MOVA Pharmaceutical Corporation facility in Caguas, Puerto Rico (FTZ Docket 31–2007, filed 7/27/07);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment has been given in the **Federal Register** (72 FR 43233, 8/3/07); and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application for manufacturing authority within FTZ 7 on behalf of Merck Sharpe & Dohme Quimica de Puerto Rico Inc. is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 17th day of January 2008.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8–1544 Filed 1–28–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 2–2008]

Foreign-Trade Zone 124—Gramercy, LA; Application for Subzone; Baker Hughes, Inc., (Barite Grinding and Milling), Morgan City, LA

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the

Board) by the Port of South Louisiana, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone (FTZ) 124, requesting special-purpose subzone status for the barite grinding and milling facility of Baker Hughes, Inc. (Baker Hughes) located in Morgan City, Louisiana. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on January 16, 2008.

The Baker Hughes facility (12 acres, 13 employees) is located at 100 First Street, in Morgan City, within the Morgan City Customs and Border Protection port of entry. The facility is used for manufacturing, warehousing and distribution activities related to the processing of raw barite (HTSUS 2511.10) into ground barite (up to 350,000 tons annually). Ground barite is used in the production of drilling fluids (drilling mud) and various specialty chemicals for use by the oil and gas exploration industry. Baker Hughes sources the majority of its raw barite from abroad. The duty rate on the imported raw barite is \$1.25 per metric ton.

This application requests authority for Baker Hughes to conduct the activity under FTZ procedures, which would exempt the company from Customs duty payments on the imported barite used in export production. Less than one percent of production is exported. On domestic sales, the company could choose the lower duty rate (duty-free) for the imported raw barite used in manufacturing that applies to the finished product. The majority of FTZ-related savings will come from the elimination of the duty on the finished product. Baker Hughes will also realize additional savings on the elimination of duties on materials that become scrap/waste during manufacturing. The application indicates that the FTZ-related savings would improve the plant's international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address listed below. The closing period for their receipt is March 31, 2008. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to April 14, 2008).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available at each of the following addresses: Port of South Louisiana, 171 Belle Terre Blvd., P.O. Box 909, LaPlace, LA 70069; and, Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20230. For further information contact Christopher Kemp at christopher_kemp@ita.doc.gov or (202) 482-0862.

Dated: January 16, 2008.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8-1536 Filed 1-28-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-891]

Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 29, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eugene Degnan, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0414.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 26, 2007, the Department initiated a new shipper review of New-Tec Integration (Xiamen) Co., Ltd. ("New-Tec") covering the period December 1, 2006, through May 31, 2007. See *Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 72 FR 42392 (August 2, 2007). The preliminary results of this new shipper review are currently due no later than January 22, 2008.

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), provides that the Department will issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review of an antidumping duty order within 180 days after the day on which the review was initiated. See also 19

CFR 351.214 (i)(1). The Act further provides that the Department may extend that 180-day period to 300 days if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated. See 19 CFR 351.214 (i)(2).

Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results

The Department determines that this new shipper review involves complicated methodological issues, the examination of importer information and the evaluation of the *bona fide* nature of the company's sale. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2), the Department is extending the time limit for these preliminary results by 90 days, until no later than April 21, 2008. The final results continue to be due 90 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: January 18, 2008.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-1552 Filed 1-28-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-908]

Postponement of Final Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Sodium Hexametaphosphate From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 29, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Erin Begnal or Scot Fullerton, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1442 or (202) 482-1386, respectively.

Postponement of Final Determination

On February 28, 2007, the Department of Commerce ("Department") initiated the antidumping duty investigation of sodium hexametaphosphate from the People's Republic of China. See *Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Sodium Hexametaphosphate From the People's Republic of China*, 72 FR 9926 (March

6, 2007) ("*Initiation Notice*"); see also *Notice of Correction of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Sodium Hexametaphosphate from the People's Republic of China*, 72 FR 11325 (March 13, 2007). On September 14, 2007, the Department published the *Preliminary Determination* in the antidumping duty investigation of sodium hexametaphosphate ("SHMP") from the People's Republic of China. See *Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Sodium Hexametaphosphate from the People's Republic of China*, 72 FR 52544 (September 14, 2007) ("*Preliminary Determination*").

Section 735(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") provides that a final determination may be postponed until not later than 135 days after the date of the publication of the preliminary determination if, in the event of an affirmative determination, a request for such postponement is made by exporters who account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise, or in the event of a negative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by petitioner. In addition, the Department's regulations, at 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2), require that requests by respondents for postponement of a final determination be accompanied by a request for extension of provisional measures from a four-month period to not more than six months. See 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2).

On September 11, 2007, Hubei Xingfa Chemicals Group Co., Ltd., requested a 60-day extension of the final determination (*i.e.*, 135 days after the publication of the preliminary determination) and extension of the provisional measures. On September 28, 2007, the Department published a 60-day postponement of the final determination of the investigation of SHMP from the PRC, based on the unextended final determination date of November 20, 2007. See *Postponement of Final Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Sodium Hexametaphosphate from the People's Republic of China*, 72 FR 55176 (September 28, 2007). The Department intended to fully postpone the final determination by 135 days, pursuant to section 735(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2), but it inadvertently calculated the postponement based on the signature date of the preliminary determination, as opposed to the publication date. With this notice, we intend to fully postpone the final determination based upon the publication date of the preliminary determination.