

approval to implement specific noise compatibility measures may be required, and an FAA decision on the request may require an environmental assessment of the proposed action. Approval does not constitute a commitment by the FAA to financially assist in the implementation of the program nor a determination that all measures covered by the program are eligible for grant-in-aid funding from the FAA. Where federal funding is sought, requests for project grants must be submitted to the FAA Airports District Office in Dulles, Virginia.

MWAA submitted to the FAA on August 2, 2007, the noise exposure maps, descriptions, and other documentation produced during the noise compatibility planning study conducted from July 2002 through August 2007. The Ronald Regan Washington National Airport noise exposure maps were determined by FAA to be in compliance with applicable requirements on August 6, 2007. Notice of this determination was published in the **Federal Register** on August 13, 2007 (72 FR 45294).

The Ronald Regan Washington National Airport study contains a proposed noise compatibility program comprised of actions designed for phased implementation by airport management and adjacent jurisdictions from August 2007 to (or beyond) the year 2009. It was requested that the FAA evaluate and approve this material as a noise compatibility program as described in section 47504 of the Act. The FAA began its review of the program on August 6, 2007 and was required by a provision of the Act to approve or disapprove the program within 180 days. Failure to approve or disapprove such program within the 180-day period shall be deemed to be an approval of such program.

The submitted program contained fourteen (14) proposed actions for noise mitigation on and off the airport. The FAA completed its review and determined that the procedural and substantive requirements of the Act and FAR part 150 have been satisfied. The overall program, therefore, was approved by the FAA effective January 10, 2008.

Outright approval was granted for four Noise Abatement Measures and all six Noise Mitigation Measures. Four Noise Abatement Measures were disapproved for purposes of part 150. The approved measures included such items as: Revising the language in the Airport Facility Directory to reflect the current noise abatement procedures at the Airport; Requesting a voluntary phase-out of hushkitted Stage 3 aircraft;

Establishing a system to report airline compliance with noise abatement measures; Enhance the noise complaint system; Amend comprehensive plans and zoning maps to promote compatible land uses; Encourage Airport noise overlay zoning; Amend building codes to require soundproofing; Disclose noise levels prior to contract for sale or lease; Expand Airport Noise Information Program and Encourage local jurisdictions to adopt discretionary project review guidelines for Subdivision, Rezoning, Special Use, Conditional Use and Variance Applications. Four Noise Abatement Measures were disapproved for purposes of part 150. The Noise Exposure Maps and Noise Compatibility Study show no present or forecasted incompatible land uses within the DNL 65 dB and the Noise Compatibility Study does not state that the airport sponsor has selected land use guidelines different from those in Table 1 of part 150. Disapproval for purposes of part 150 does not prohibit the airport sponsor from implementing those measures. The disapproved measures included such items as: Form a working group to develop advanced navigation procedures for arrivals and departures on all runways; Encourage air traffic control controllers to direct flights arriving on Runway 01 or departing on Runway 19 during nighttime hours (10 p.m. to 7 a.m.) when traffic permits, to distribute the locations at which aircraft turn onto, or off of, the route along the center of the Potomac River over the areas between 5 and 10 miles south of the Airport; Encourage air traffic control controllers to direct flights arriving on Runway 01 or departing on Runway 19 during daytime hours (7 a.m. to 10 p.m.) when traffic permits, to distribute the locations at which aircraft turn into, or off of, the route along the center of the Potomac River over the areas between 5 and 10 miles south of the Airport and Update the Airport's Noise Monitoring and Flight Tracking System.

These determinations are set forth in detail in the Record of Approval signed by the Division Manager on January 10, 2008. The Record of Approval, as well as other evaluation materials and the documents comprising the submittal, are available for review at the FAA office listed above and at the administrative offices of the MWAA. The Record of Approval also will be available on-line at: http://www.faa.gov/airports_airtraffic/airports/environmental/airport_noise/.

Issued in Dulles, Virginia, on January 11, 2008.

Terry J. Page,

Manager, Washington Airports District Office.

[FR Doc. 08-209 Filed 1-18-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) Approvals and Disapprovals

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) DOT.

ACTION: Monthly Notice of PFC Approvals and Disapprovals. In December 2007, there were four applications approved. This notice also includes information on four other applications, approved in November 2007, inadvertently left off the November 2007 notice. Additionally, 15 approved amendments to previously approved applications are listed.

SUMMARY: The FAA publishes a monthly notice, as appropriate, of PFC approvals and disapprovals under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158). This notice is published pursuant to paragraph d of § 158.29.

PFC Applications Approved

Public Agency: City of Fort Smith, Arkansas.

Application Number: 07-04-C-00-FSM.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$1,250,000.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: January 1, 2009.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: January 1, 2012.

Classes of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFCs: None.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

Boarding bridge installation.

Flight information display system.

Security systems improvements.

PFC administration costs.

Decision Date: November 20, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jimmy Pierre, Arkansas/Oklahoma Airports Development Office, (817) 222-5637.

Public Agency: City of Atlanta, Georgia.

Application Number: 07-09-C-00-ATL.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$38,058,462.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: January 1, 2020.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: April 1, 2020.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFCs: Air taxi/commercial operators.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the approved class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport.

Brief Description of Project Approved for Collection and Use at a \$4.50 PFC Level: Terminal area planning.

Brief Description of Projects Partially Approved for Collection and Use at a \$4.50 PFC Level: Land acquisition for noise compatibility phase I.

Determination: This project is for the local share of several AIP grants. The public agency misstated the local share of these grants in their PFC application. The PFC amount is limited to the correct local share of these grants.

Aircraft rescue and firefighting facilities planning, design, and construction.

Determination: The FAA determined that two of the five proposed stations are not needed to meet FAA-mandated response times and thus are not eligible. In addition, the eligibility of each of the remaining three stations is limited to 10,700 square feet.

Decision Date: November 29, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Aimee McCormick, Atlanta Airports District Office, (404) 305-7143.

Public Agency: Golden Triangle Regional Airport Authority, Columbus, Mississippi.

Application Number: 08-06-C-00-GTR.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$52,500.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: October 1, 2015.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: March 1, 2016.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFCs: None.

Brief Description of Projects Approved For Collection And Use:

Rehabilitation of commercial ramp. Wildlife assessment and plan.

Decision Date: November 29, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Shumate, Jackson Airports District Office, (601) 664-9882.

Public Agency: Ports of Douglas County and Chelan County, East Wenatchee, Washington.

Application Number: 08-08-C-00-EAT.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$365,332.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: February 1, 2008.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: February 1, 2010.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFCs: None.

Brief Description of Projects Approved For Collection And Use:

Seal and restripe runway 12/30.

Acquire O'Kelley property.

Acquire Wagner property.

Acquire Snyder Land Holdings property.

Design new taxiway G.

Design for terminal building remodel.

Master plan update/runway extension study.

Decision Date: November 30, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Trang Tran, Seattle Airports District Office, (425) 227-1662.

Public Agency: City of Eugene, Oregon.

Application Number: 08-09-C-00-EUG.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$4,450,000.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: May 1, 2009.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: December 1, 2011.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFCs: Nonscheduled/on-demand air carriers filing form FAA form 1800-31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the approved class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total number annual enplanements at Mahlon Sweet Field—Eugene Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved For Collection And Use:

Terminal ramp rehabilitation—phase 1.

Relocate baggage screening area.

Decision Date: December 7, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Trang Tran, Seattle Airports District Office (425) 227-1662.

Public Agency: County of Alpena, Alpena, Michigan.

Application Number: 07-02-C-00-APN.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$193,959.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: August 1, 2008.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: January 1, 2013.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFCs: Air taxi-commercial operators filing FAA Form 1800-31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the approved class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Alpena County Regional Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

PFC application preparation reimbursement.

PFC account audit fiscal year 2001-2005 reimbursement.

Construct snow removal equipment building, phase 1.

Rehabilitate taxiway lighting.

Snow removal equipment plow truck procurement.

Airport layout plan update.

Wildlife study.

New hangar area, expand parking lot, clearing.

Security fencing.

Runway 7/25 safety area

improvements.

Snow removal equipment snow sweeper procurement.

Design of snow removal equipment building phase 2.

Exhibit "A" property map.

Terminal apron/entrance road lighting.

Construct snow removal equipment building phase 2 and sand storage building.

Snow removal equipment loader specifications.

Design of storm drain at retention pond.

Runway friction meter tester.

Snow removal equipment loader procurement.

Snow removal equipment sand spreader procurement.

Remarketing taxiway and portion of runway 01/19 pavement.

Replacement of airfield signs.

Decision Date: December 14, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jason Watt, Detroit Airports District Office, (734) 229-2906.

Public Agency: City of McAllen, Texas.

Application Number: 08-04-C-00-MFE.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00
Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$3,460,375.
Earliest Charge Effective Date: October 1, 2010.
Estimated Charge Expiration Date: October 1, 2013.
Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFCs: None.
Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:
 Passenger boarding bridge.
 Aircraft rescue and firefighting vehicle.
 Pavement management system.
 Access control system.
 Bag belt conveyor.
 Airfield electrical rehabilitation.
Decision Date: December 18, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
 Rodney Clark, Texas Airports Development Office, (817) 222-5659.
Public Agency: City of Dallas, Texas.
Application Number: 08-02-C-00-DAL.
Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.
Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$38,994,339.
Earliest Charge Effective Date: February 1, 2008.
Estimated Charge Expiration Date: October 1, 2011.
Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFCs: Air taxi/commercial operators filing FAA Form 1800-31.
Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the approved class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Dallas Love Field.
Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:
 Storm water outflow control system.
 Runway safety area enhancements.
 New field maintenance facility.
 Taxiways C and K rehabilitation.
 Aircraft rescue and firefighting vehicle—acquire 3,000-gallon replacement.
 Perimeter road rehabilitation.

Rehabilitate runways.
 Taxiways B, C, and N—construct and rehabilitate.
 Runway lighting update.
 Concourse aprons—east/west—rehabilitate.
 Terminal rehabilitation.
 Enhance security.
 Service road rehabilitation.
 Noise mitigation.
 Conduct planning studies.
 Acquire safety equipment.
 Access road—rehabilitate.
Brief Description of Disapproved Project: Security controls enhancement (perimeter fence).
Determination: The proposed project are not required elements of the airport's security program. Therefore, the project was disapproved.
Decision Date: December 20, 2007.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
 Rodney Clark, Texas Airports Development Office, (817) 222-5659.

AMENDMENTS TO PFC APPROVALS

| Amendment No., city, state | Amendment approved date | Original approved net PFC revenue | Amended approved net PFC revenue | Original estimated charge exp. date | Amended estimated charge exp. date |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 92-01-C-05-BWI, Baltimore, MD | 11/07/07 | \$225,826,453 | \$189,381,695 | 11/01/02 | 11/01/02 |
| 94-02-C-03-BWI, Baltimore MD | 11/07/07 | 60,230,930 | 52,246,080 | 06/01/04 | 06/01/04 |
| 94-0C-C-04-BWI, Baltimore MD | 11/07/07 | 60,230,930 | 52,246,080 | 06/01/04 | 06/01/04 |
| 95-03-U-02-BWI, Baltimore, MD | 11/07/07 | NA | NA | 06/01/04 | 06/01/04 |
| *06-03-C-01-FSM, Fort Smith, AR | 11/20/07 | 809,249 | 759,249 | 03/01/10 | 01/01/09 |
| 97-03-C-01 BNA, Nashville, TN | 11/29/07 | 1,475,000 | 1,439,174 | 10/01/99 | 10/01/99 |
| 97-04-C-01-BNA, Nashville, TN | 11/29/07 | 19,500,000 | 17,641,859 | 07/01/01 | 07/01/01 |
| 98-05-C-03-BNA, Nashville, TN | 11/29/07 | 2,855,000 | 2,651,686 | 10/01/01 | 10/01/01 |
| 99-05-C-02-BNA, Nashville, TN | 11/29/07 | 4,160,000 | 4,159,999 | 04/01/02 | 04/01/02 |
| 05-05-C-02-SUN, Hailey, ID | 11/30/07 | 746, 213 | 743, 988 | 08/01/07 | 08/01/07 |
| 05-10-C-03-MCO, Orlando, FL | 12/07/07 | 544,802,706 | 540,350,706 | 10/01/18 | 01/01/17 |
| 96-03-C-03-TUL, Tulsa, OK | 12/07/07 | 16,356,000 | 15,120,247 | 07/01/00 | 05/01/00 |
| 05-07-C-02-PNS, Pensacola, FL | 12/14/07 | 120,367,000 | 119,352,000 | 01/01/32 | 10/01/31 |
| 04-09-C-03-CRW, Charleston, WV | 12/18/07 | 7,609,184 | 7,719,526 | 08/01/11 | 09/01/11 |
| 04-07-C-01-STT, St. Thomas, USVI | 12/20/07 | 8,000,000 | 13,500,000 | 04/01/08 | 04/01/12 |

Note.—The amendment denoted by an asterisk (*) includes a change to the PFC level charged from \$3.00 per enplaned passenger to \$4.50 per enplaned passenger. For Fort Smith, AR, this change is effective on February 1, 2008.

Issued in Washington, DC, on January 8, 2008.
Joe Hebert,
 Manager, Financial Analysis and Passenger Facility Charge Branch.
 [FR Doc. 08-161 Filed 1-18-08; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Maritime Administration

[Docket No. MARAD-2008-0005]

Requested Administrative Waiver of the Coastwise Trade Laws

AGENCY: Maritime Administration, Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Invitation for public comments on a requested administrative waiver of the Coastwise Trade Laws for the vessel KANALOA.

SUMMARY: As authorized by Public Law 105-383 and Public Law 107-295, the Secretary of Transportation, as represented by the Maritime Administration (MARAD), is authorized to grant waivers of the U.S.-build requirement of the coastwise laws under certain circumstances. A request for such a waiver has been received by MARAD. The vessel, and a brief description of the proposed service, is listed below. The complete application is given in DOT docket MARAD-2008-

0005 at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Interested parties may comment on the effect this action may have on U.S. vessel builders or businesses in the U.S. that use U.S.-flag vessels. If MARAD determines, in accordance with Public Law 105-383 and MARAD's regulations at 46 CFR part 388 (68 FR 23084; April 30, 2003), that the issuance of the waiver will have an unduly adverse effect on a U.S.-vessel builder or a business that uses U.S.-flag vessels in that business, a waiver will not be granted. Comments should refer to the docket number of this notice and the vessel name in order for MARAD to properly consider the comments. Comments should also state the