DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–570–913]

Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires From the People's Republic of China: Alignment of Final Countervailing Duty Determination With Final Antidumping Duty Determination

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is aligning the final determination in the countervailing duty investigation of certain new pneumatic off-the-road tires (OTR Tires) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) with the final determination in the companion antidumping investigation.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 17, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Hoadley, Jack Zhao, or Nicholas Czajkowski, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3148, (202) 482–1396, and (202) 482–1395, respectively.

Background: On August 7, 2007, the Department initiated the countervailing duty and antidumping duty investigations on OTR Tires from PRC. *See Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation,* 72 FR 44122 (August 7, 2007), and *Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires From the People's Republic of China,* 72 FR 43591 (August 7, 2007). The countervailing duty and antidumping duty investigations have the same scope with regard to the subject merchandise covered. On December 17, 2007, the Department published the preliminary affirmative countervailing duty determination pertaining to OTR Tires from the PRC. *See Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination,* 72 FR 71360 (December 17, 2007). On December 11, 2007, the petitioners, Titan Tire Corporation and United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, AFL–CIO–CLC, submitted a letter, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.210(b)(4), requesting alignment of the final countervailing duty determination with the final determination in the companion antidumping duty investigation of OTR Tires from the PRC.

Therefore, in accordance with section 705(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(4), we are aligning the final countervailing duty determination on OTR Tires from the PRC with the final determinations in the companion antidumping duty investigation of OTR Tires from the PRC. The final countervailing duty determination will be issued on the same date as the final antidumping duty determination currently scheduled for April 21, 2008, the first business day following the April 20, 2008 deadline for the final antidumping duty determination.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 705(a)(1) of the Act.

Dated: January 10, 2008.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8–790 Filed 1–16–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[CPSC Docket No. 08–C0002]

Stamina Products, Inc., a Corporation, Provisional Acceptance of a Settlement Agreement and Order

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: It is the policy of the Commission to publish settlements which it provisionally accepts under the Consumer Product Safety Act in the **Federal Register** in accordance with the terms of 16 CFR 1118.20(e). Published below is a provisionally-accepted Settlement Agreement with Stamina Products, Inc., a corporation, containing a civil penalty of $105,000.

DATES: Any interested person may ask the Commission not to accept this agreement or otherwise comment on its contents by filing a written request with the Office of the Secretary by February 1, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Persons wishing to comment on this Settlement Agreement should send written comments to the Comment 08–0002, Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Room 502, Bethesda, Maryland 20814–4408.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dennis C. Kacoyanis; Trial Attorney, Office of Compliance and Field Operations, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814–4408; telephone (301) 504–7587.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The text of the Agreement and Order appears below.

Dated: January 14, 2008.

Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary.

In the Matter of Stamina Products, Inc., a corporation.

CPSC Docket No. 08–C0002

I. Settlement Agreement and Order

1. This Settlement Agreement is made by and between the staff (''the staff'') of the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (''the Commission'') and Stamina Products, Inc. (''Stamina''), a corporation, in accordance with the Commission's Procedures for Investigations, Inspections, and Inquiries under the Consumer Product Safety Act (''CPSA''), 16 CFR 1118.20. This Settlement Agreement and the incorporated attached Order settle the staff's allegations set forth below.

II. The Parties

2. The Commission is an independent federal regulatory agency responsible for the enforcement of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2051–2084.

3. Stamina is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Missouri, with its principal corporate office located in Springfield, MO. At all times relevant, Stamina imported and/or distributed exercise equipment and sporting and recreational products.

II. Allegations of the Staff

4. Between August 2000 and March 2006, Stamina imported for sale nationwide approximately 668,000 In-Motion Trampolines (''trampolines''), Model Numbers 35–1625, 35–1625A–LC, 35–1625AW, and 36–1625AW–LC.

5. The trampolines are ''consumer products'' and, at the times relevant herein, Stamina was a ''manufacturer'' of those consumer products, which were ''distributed in commerce,'' as those terms are defined in sections 3(a)(1), (4), (11), and (12) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2052(a)(1), (4), (11), and (12).

6. The trampolines are defective because the trampoline's folding/unfolding instructions did not adequately warn consumers of the hazards resulting from use of the product.

7. On or about April 11, 2002, Stamina received a report from a