

6711 Bickmore Avenue in Chino (new site total—83.16 acres); and,

Site 20 (Park Mira Loma West)—Remove 340.73 acres (11 parcels) within the site due to changed circumstances (new site total—284.15 acres).

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is March 10, 2008. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to March 24, 2008.

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations: U.S. Department of Commerce, Export Assistance Center, 11150 West Olympic Boulevard, Suite 975, Los Angeles, CA 90064; and, Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

For further information, contact Camille Evans at Camille_Evans@ita.doc.gov or (202) 482-2350.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8-113 Filed 1-7-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 53-2007]

Foreign-Trade Zone 38—Spartanburg County, SC; Application for Expansion

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the South Carolina State Ports Authority, grantee of FTZ 38, requesting authority to expand its existing zone to include additional sites in the Greenville-Spartanburg, South Carolina Customs and Border Protection port of entry. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR Part 400). It was formally filed on December 20, 2007.

FTZ 38 was approved by the Board on May 4, 1978 (Board Order 131, 43 FR

20526, 5/12/78) and expanded as follows: on November 9, 1994 (Board Order 715, 59 FR 59992, 11/21/94); on July 23, 1997 (Board Order 910, 62 FR 40797, 7/30/97); on January 8, 1999 (Board Order 1015, 64 FR 3064, 1/8/99); and, on July 21, 2005 (Board Order 1404, 70 FR 44559).

The general-purpose zone project currently consists of seven sites (1,546 acres) in Spartanburg County/Laurens Counties: *Site 1* (20 acres)—within the 74-acre Global Trade Center located at 200 Forest Way, Greenville; *Site 2* (799 acres)—International Transport Center (111 acres) and Gateway International Business Center (688 acres), Greer; *Site 3* (97 acres)—Highway 290 Commerce Park (111 acres) and a warehouse facility (5 acres) located at 150 Parkway West, Duncan; *Site 4* (473 acres)—Wingo Corporate Park, Spartanburg; *Site 5* (118 acres)—TNT Logistics/Michelin North America, Inc., facility located at 101 Michelin Drive, Laurens; *Site 6* (20 acres)—Lakeside Business Center located at 961 Berry Shoals Road in Greer; and, *Temporary Site T-1* (19 acres)—ZF Lemforder Corporation, 240 Parkway East, in Duncan.

The applicant is now requesting authority to expand the general-purpose zone to include five additional sites in the area: *Proposed Site 8* (88 acres)—Riverbend Business Center, located at Cedar Crest Road and Compton Road, Spartanburg; *Proposed Site 9* (207 acres)—Corporate Center I-85 (193 acres, 2 parcels), located at 100 Corporate Center Drive, Spartanburg; and the Bryant Business Center (14 acres, 1 parcel), located at 140 Landers Drive, Spartanburg; *Proposed Site 10* (334 acres, 2 parcels)—Interchange Commerce Center, located at John Dodd Road and Interstate 26, Spartanburg; *Proposed Site 11* (51 acres)—Caliber Ridge Industrial Park, 1501 Highway 101 in Greer; and, *Proposed Site 12* (4 acres)—Industrial Warehousing, 100 Fortis Drive, Duncan. The proposed sites are owned by Fairforest Venture Partners (Site 8), Peter E. Weisman/Kinney Hill Associates, LP (Site 9), High Site and John Dodds Road Properties, LLC dba Johnson Development Associates, Inc. (Site 10), JLN Investors, Inc. (Site 11), and, Betula, LLC (Site 12). The sites will be used primarily for warehousing and distribution activities. No specific manufacturing authority is being requested at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

The applicant is also requesting that 19 acres at *Site 3* (Highway 290 Commerce Park) be restored to zone status and that *Temporary Site T-1* (19 acres) located at 240 Parkway East in

Duncan, be granted zone status on a permanent basis as *Site 7*. Additionally, the applicant is requesting that the Board make *Site 1* permanent at the Global Trade Center in Greenville (Site 1 was previously at the Highway 29 Industrial Park in Wellford).

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address listed below. The closing period for their receipt is March 10, 2008. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to March 24, 2008.

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations: U.S. Department of Commerce Export Assistance Center, 216 S. Pleasantburg Drive, Suite 243, Buck Mickel Center, Greenville, S.C. 29607; and, Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

For further information, contact Christopher Kemp at christopher_kemp@ita.doc.gov or (202) 482-0862.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8-112 Filed 1-7-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-846]

Brake Rotors from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Final Results of Expedited Second Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 2, 2007, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of initiation of a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on brake rotors from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See *Initiation of Five-Year*

(“Sunset”) Reviews, 72 FR 35968 (July 2, 2007) (“Initiation Notice”). On the basis of the notices of intent to participate, an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of a domestic interested party and an inadequate substantive response filed on behalf of a respondent interested party (*i.e.*, a U.S. importer), the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the antidumping duty order pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and section 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2) of the Department’s regulations. As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the “Final Results of Review” section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 8, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Frances Veith, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4295.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 2, 2007, the Department published the notice of initiation of the second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on brake rotors from the PRC pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. *See Initiation Notice*. On July 17, 2007, the Department received a notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, the Coalition for the Preservation of American Brake Drum and Rotor Aftermarket Manufacturers (“petitioner”), within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department’s regulations, and from a respondent interested party, CWD, LLC (also known as Centric Parts) (“CWD”). Petitioner claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a domestic producer of brake rotors in the United States, and CWD claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(A) of the Act as a U.S. importer of brake rotors into the United States. The Department received substantive responses from petitioner and CWD within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(3)(i) of the Department’s regulations and rebuttal submissions to those responses from petitioner and CWD on August 1 and August 6, 2007, respectively. On August 21, 2007, petitioner submitted to the Department a correction to its August 6, 2007, rebuttal response. On August 21, 2007,

the Department notified the International Trade Commission (“ITC”) that respondent interested parties did not provide an adequate substantive response in this sunset review pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act. Therefore, because we did not receive an adequate substantive response from the respondent interested party, we determined to conduct an expedited review of the order pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and section 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2) of the Department’s regulations.¹ On November 5, 2007, the Department published a notice extending the time limit for the completion of the final results of this review until November 29, 2007. *See Brake Rotors from the People’s Republic of China: Extension of Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order*, 72 FR 62430 (November 5, 2007). On December 5, 2007, the Department published a notice extending the time limit for the completion of the final results of this review until December 31, 2007. *See Brake Rotors from the People’s Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order*, 72 FR 68562 (December 5, 2007).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are brake rotors made of gray cast iron, whether finished, semifinished, or unfinished, ranging in diameter from 8 to 16 inches (20.32 to 40.64 centimeters) and in weight from 8 to 45 pounds (3.63 to 20.41 kilograms). The size parameters (weight and dimension) of the brake rotors limit their use to the following types of motor vehicles: automobiles, all-terrain vehicles, vans and recreational vehicles under “one ton and a half,” and light trucks designated as “one ton and a half.”

Finished brake rotors are those that are ready for sale and installation without any further operations. Semi-finished rotors are those on which the surface is not entirely smooth, and have undergone some drilling. Unfinished rotors are those which have undergone some grinding or turning.

These brake rotors are for motor vehicles, and do not contain in the casting a logo of an original equipment manufacturer (“OEM”) which produces vehicles sold in the United States. (*e.g.*, General Motors, Ford, Chrysler, Honda, Toyota, Volvo). Brake rotors covered in this order are not certified by OEM

¹ See the Department’s August 21, 2007, letter to the ITC, regarding “Expedited Sunset Review of the AD/CVD Order Initiated in July 2007.”

producers of vehicles sold in the United States. The scope also includes composite brake rotors that are made of gray cast iron, which contain a steel plate, but otherwise meet the above criteria. Excluded from the scope of this order are brake rotors made of gray cast iron, whether finished, semifinished, or unfinished, with a diameter less than 8 inches or greater than 16 inches (less than 20.32 centimeters or greater than 40.64 centimeters) and a weight less than 8 pounds or greater than 45 pounds (less than 3.63 kilograms or greater than 20.41 kilograms).²

Brake rotors are currently classifiable under subheadings 8708.39.5010, 8708.39.5030, and 8708.30.5030 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (“HTSUS”).³ Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the “Issues and Decision Memorandum” (“*Decision Memorandum*”) from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated concurrently with this notice, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the *Decision Memorandum* include consideration of substantive responses, the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the order were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit in room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the web at <<http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

² In a 2007 scope ruling, the Department determined that brake rotors produced by Federal-Mogul and certified by Ford Motor Company are excluded from the scope of the order. *See* the January 17, 2007, Department memorandum entitled “Scope Ruling of the Antidumping Duty Order on Brake Rotors from the People’s Republic of China: Federal-Mogul Corporation.”

³ As of January 1, 2005, the HTSUS classification for brake rotors (discs) changed from 8708.39.5010 to 8708.39.5030. As of January 1, 2007, the HTSUS classification for brake rotors (discs) changed from 8708.39.5030 to 8708.30.5030. *See Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (2007) (Rev. 2)*, available at <www.usitc.gov>

Final Results of Review

Pursuant to section 752(c)(3) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the

antidumping duty order on brake rotors from the PRC would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping

at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted-Average Margin (percent)
Hebei Metals and Minerals Import/export Corp.	8.51
Shandong Jiuyang Enterprise Corporation	8.51
Longjing Walking Tractor Works Foreign Trade I/E	8.51
Jilin Provincial Machinery & Equipment I/E Corp	8.51
Qingdao Metals, Minerals and Machinery Import & Export Corporation	8.51
Shanxi Machinery and Equipment Import & Export Corporation	8.51
Southwest Technical Import and Export Corporation	16.07
Xianghe Zichen Casting Corporation	8.51
Yantai Import and Export Corporation	3.56
Yenhere Corporation	8.51
PRC-Wide Entity	43.32

Excluded from the antidumping duty order are the following exporters and producer combinations:⁶

Exporter: China National Automotive Industry Import & Export Corporation

Producer: Shandong Laizhou CAPCO Industry;
 Exporter: Shandong Laizhou CAPCO Industry

Producer: Shandong Laizhou CAPCO Industry;
 Exporter: Shenyang Honbase Machinery Co., Ltd.

Producer: Shenyang Honbase Machinery Co., Ltd.;

Exporter: Shenyang Honbase Machinery Co., Ltd.

Producer: Lai Zhou Luyan Automobile Fittings Co., Ltd.;

Exporter: Lai Zhou Luyuan Automobile Fittings Co., Ltd.

Producer: Lai Zhou Luyuan Automobile Fittings Co., Ltd.;

Exporter: Lai Zhou Luyan Automobile Fittings Co., Ltd.

Producer: Shenyang Honbase or Laizhou Luyuan; and

Exporter: China National Machinery and Equipment I&E (Xinjiang) Corporation, Ltd.

Producer: Zibo Botai Manufacturing Co., Ltd.

In a five-year sunset review, it is the Department's policy to include companies that did not begin exporting until after the order was issued as part of the PRC-wide entity from the investigation.⁷ For those companies that shipped after the order was issued, we determine that revocation of the

antidumping duty order on brake rotors from the PRC would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the PRC-wide percentage margin.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order:

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 31, 2007.

Susan H. Kuhbach,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-116 Filed 1-7-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

A-351-832, A-122-840, A-560-815, A-201-830, A-841-805, A-274-804, A-823-812

Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, Canada, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 4, 2007, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod ("wire rod") from Brazil, Canada, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). The Department has conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews for these orders pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2). As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 8, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Devta Ohri or Brandon Farlander, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3853, or (202) 482-0182, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On September 4, 2007, the Department published the notice of initiation of the sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on wire rod from Brazil, Canada, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine, pursuant to Section 751(c) of the Act. *See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 72 FR 50659 (September 4, 2007) ("*Notice of Initiation*").

The Department received a notice of intent to participate from the following domestic parties: Gerdau Ameristeel U.S. Inc.; ISG Georgetown, Inc.; Keystone Consolidated Industries, Inc.; and Rocky Mountain Steel Mills within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The companies claimed

⁶ See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Brake Rotors from the People's Republic of China*, 62 FR 18740 (April 17, 1997).

⁷ See *Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871, 18873 (April 16, 1998).