

humiliating circumstances brought together by a malicious third party.”

Discussion

Section 303(f) of the Controlled Substances Act provides that “[t]he Attorney General shall register practitioners * * * to dispense * * * controlled substances in schedule II, III, IV, or V, if the applicant is authorized to dispense * * * controlled substances under the laws of the State in which he practices.” 21 U.S.C. 823(f). Section 303(f) further provides that “[t]he Attorney General may deny an application for such registration if he determines that the issuance of such registration would be inconsistent with the public interest.” *Id.* In making the public interest determination, the Act requires the consideration of the following factors:

(1) The recommendation of the appropriate State licensing board or professional disciplinary authority.

(2) The applicant’s experience in dispensing * * * controlled substances.

(3) The applicant’s conviction record under Federal or State laws relating to the manufacture, distribution, or dispensing of controlled substances.

(4) Compliance with applicable State, Federal, or local laws relating to controlled substances.

(5) Such other conduct which may threaten the public health and safety.

Id.

[T]hese factors are * * * considered in the disjunctive.” *Robert A. Leslie, M.D.*, 68 FR 15227, 15230 (2003). I “may rely on any one or a combination of factors, and may give each factor the weight [I] deem[] appropriate in determining whether a registration should be revoked.” *Id.* Moreover, I am “not required to make findings as to all of the factors.” *Hoxie v. DEA*, 419 F.3d 477, 482 (6th Cir. 2005); *see also Morall v. DEA*, 412 F.3d 165, 173–74 (D.C. Cir. 2005). In this case, I conclude that factors two and four are dispositive.⁴

As the record demonstrates, Respondent acquired large quantities of controlled substances including 22,500 tablets of combination hydrocodone/acetaminophen (a schedule III controlled substance, 21 CFR 1308.13(e)), 1400 dosage units of clonazepam (a schedule IV controlled substance, 21 CFR 1308.14(c)), as well as drugs combining codeine with acetaminophen or aspirin. Respondent admitted that he personally used the drugs.

The record also shows that on September 24, 2005, Respondent represented to an employee of Henry

Schein, Inc., that he was “practic[ing] general medicine,” with a “concentration in chronic pain secondary to terminal illness, i.e., cancer.” During the August 31, 2006 interview, however, Respondent admitted that he had not practiced medicine since 1997 and that he had no patients. The record further shows that after he faxed the letter to Schein, Respondent continued to order and received large quantities of controlled substances from it. Based on this evidence, I conclude that on numerous occasions, Respondent violated federal law by “knowingly or intentionally * * * acquir[ing] or obtain[ing] possession of a controlled substance by misrepresentation, fraud, [or] deception.” 21 U.S.C. 843(a)(3).

Respondent further admitted that he did not maintain the purchasing and dispensing records as required by federal law. *See id.* § 827(a)(3). Based on the above, I conclude that Respondent’s record of non-compliance with federal laws related to controlled substances and his experience of self-dispensing controlled substances, establishes that granting him a registration would be “inconsistent with the public interest.” *Id.* § 823(f).

Order

Pursuant to the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 823(f), as well as 28 CFR 0.100(b) & 0.104, I order that the application of Patrick K. Riggs, M.D., for a DEA Certificate of Registration as a practitioner be, and it hereby is, denied. This order is effective January 18, 2008.

Dated: December 7, 2007.

Michele M. Leonhart,

Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc. E7–24608 Filed 12–18–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–62,418]

Computer Sciences Corporation, Dallas, Texas; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on November 6, 2007 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers of Computer Sciences Corporation, Dallas, Texas.

The company official has requested that the petition be withdrawn.

Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 12th day of December, 2007.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7–24544 Filed 12–18–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–62,510 and TA–W–62,510A]

Cuno, Inc., Meriden, CT and Enfield, CT; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on November 29, 2007 in response to a petition filed by a State agency representative on behalf of workers of two locations of Cuno, Inc., namely Meriden, Connecticut (TA–W–62,510) and Enfield, Connecticut (TA–W–62,510A).

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 13th day of December 2007.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7–24546 Filed 12–18–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–62,426]

Flextronics Enclosures, Including On-Site Leased Workers of Manpower and Coast Personnel, Youngsville, NC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on November 7, 2007, in response to a worker petition filed on behalf of workers at Flextronics Enclosures, Youngsville, North Carolina.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by an active certification, (TA–W–62,486) which expires on November 7, 2009. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

⁴ Having considered all of the factors, I conclude that factors one, three and five are not relevant.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 7th day of December 2007.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-24537 Filed 12-18-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-61,962K and TA-W-61,962L]

Hanesbrands, Inc., National Textiles, LLC, Eden Division, Eden, North Carolina, and Forest City Division, Forest City, North Carolina; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on September 13, 2007, applicable to workers of Hanesbrands, Inc., Eden, North Carolina and Hanesbrands, Inc., Forest City Division, Forest City, North Carolina. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on September 27, 2007 (72 FR 54939).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers were engaged in the production of laminated fabric and fabric components.

New information shows that Hanesbrands, Inc. purchased National Textiles, LLC in June 2006. Workers separated from employment at the Eden Division, Eden, North Carolina and the Forest City Division, Forest City, North Carolina locations of the subject firm had their wages reported under separate unemployment insurance (UI) tax account for National Textiles, LLC.

Findings also show that there was a previous certification, TA-W-55,365, issued on September 13, 2006, for the

workers of the Forest City Division, Forest City, North Carolina. That certification expired September 13, 2006. To avoid an overlap in worker group coverage for workers of the Forest City, North Carolina location, the certification is being amended to change the impact date from August 7, 2006 to September 14, 2006.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to properly reflect these matters.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Hanesbrands, Inc. who were adversely affected by a shift in production of laminated fabric and fabric components to El Salvador, the Dominican Republic and Honduras.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-61,962K and TA-W-61,962L are hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Hanesbrands, Inc., National Textiles, LLC, Eden Division, Eden, North Carolina (TA-W-61,962K), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 7, 2006 through September 13, 2009, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974;" and

"All workers of Hanesbrands, Inc., National Textiles, LLC, Forest City Division, Forest City, North Carolina (TA-W-61,962L), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 14, 2006, through September 13, 2009, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC, this 11th day of December 2007.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-24542 Filed 12-18-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 31, 2007.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 31, 2007.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room C-5311, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 12th day of December 2007.

Ralph DiBattista,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

APPENDIX

[TAA petitions instituted between 12/3/07 and 12/7/07]

TA-W	Subject Firm (Petitioners)	Location	Date of Institution	Date of Petition
62521	JRL Enterprises (Comp)	Falconer, NY	12/03/07	11/28/07
62522	Alcoa/Tifton Aluminum (State)	Tifton, GA	12/03/07	11/29/07
62523	Wolverine Tube, Inc. (Comp)	Decatur, AL	12/03/07	11/30/07
62524	Kester, Inc. (Wkrs)	Itasca, IL	12/03/07	11/30/07