

Nebraska Public Power District, Docket No. 50-298, Cooper Nuclear Station, Nemaha County, Nebraska

Date of amendment request: August 16, 2007, as supplemented by letter dated November 5, 2007.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment revised Technical Specification 5.5.6, "Inservice Testing Program," to allow a one-time extension of the 5-year frequency requirement for setpoint testing of safety valve MS-RV-70ARV.

Date of issuance: December 4, 2007.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days of issuance.

Amendment No.: 228.

Facility Operating License No. DPR-46: Amendment revised the Facility Operating License and Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: September 25, 2007 (72 FR 54476). The supplement dated November 5, 2007, provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the staff's original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination as initially published in the **Federal Register**. The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated December 4, 2007.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Sacramento Municipal Utility District, Docket No. 50-312, Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station, Sacramento County, California

Date of application for amendment: April 12, 2006, and supplemented November 21, 2006.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment incorporates the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) approved, License Termination Plan (LTP), and associated addendum, into the Rancho Seco license and specifies limits on the changes the licensee is allowed to make to the approved LTP without prior NRC review and approval.

Date of issuance: November 26, 2007.

Effective date: November 26, 2007.

Amendment No.: 133.

Facility Operating License No. DPR-54: The amendment revised the License.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: February 13, 2007 (72 FR 6789).

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated November 26, 2007.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Southern California Edison Company, et al., Docket Nos. 50-361 and 50-362, San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3, San Diego County, California

Date of application for amendments: July 14, 2006, as supplemented by letters dated June 28, September 26, and November 2, 2007.

Brief description of amendments: The amendments incorporate a description of the parent tube inspection limitation adjacent to the nickel band portion of the lower sleeve joint and provide the basis for the structural and leakage integrity of the joint being ensured with the existing inspection of the parent tube adjacent to the nickel band region.

Date of issuance: November 29, 2007.

Effective date: As of its date of issuance, to be implemented within 60 days of issuance.

Amendment Nos.: Unit 2-215; Unit 3-207.

Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-10 and NPF-15: The amendments revised the Facility Operating Licenses and Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: September 12, 2006 (71 FR 53720). The supplements dated June 28, September 26, and November 2, 2007, provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the staff's original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination as published in the **Federal Register**. The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated November 29, 2007.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc., Georgia Power Company, Oglethorpe Power Corporation, Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia, City of Dalton, Georgia, Docket Nos. 50-321 and 50-366, Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, Appling County, Georgia

Date of application for amendments: June 5, 2007, as supplemented June 11, 2007.

Brief description of amendments: The amendments revised the Technical Specifications testing frequency for surveillance requirement 3.1.4, "Control Rod Scram Times," from "120 days cumulative operation in MODE 1" to "200 days cumulative operation in MODE 1."

Date of issuance: November 26, 2007.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 45 days from the date of issuance.

Amendment Nos.: 254, 198.

Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-57 and NPF-5: Amendments revised the licenses and the technical specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: July 17, 2007, (72 FR 39084).

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated November 26, 2007.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of December 2007.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Catherine Haney,

Director, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. E7-24284 Filed 12-17-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Notice of Availability; NUREG-1574, Rev. 2, "Standard Review Plan on Transfer and Amendment of Antitrust License Conditions and Antitrust Enforcement"

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission is announcing the completion and availability of NUREG-1574, Rev. 2, "Standard Review Plan on Transfer and Amendment of Antitrust License Conditions and Antitrust Enforcement," dated November 2007.

ADDRESSES: A copy of NUREG-1574, Rev. 2 is available for inspection and/or copying for a fee in the NRC Public Document Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. You may also electronically access NUREG-series publications and other NRC records at NRC's Public Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven R. Hom, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Telephone: 301-415-1537, e-mail srh@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NUREG-1574, Rev. 2 (ADAMS accession no. ML072260035) reflects the Energy Policy Act of 2005's removal of the NRC's antitrust review responsibilities regarding applications for licenses under sections 103 and 104 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended. Accordingly, antitrust review procedures that existed in the previous

“Standard Review Plan on Antitrust Reviews” (NUREG–1574, published December 1997) have been omitted from NUREG–1574, Rev. 2. New guidance has been incorporated on the appropriate disposition of existing antitrust license conditions during direct license transfers and on the review of applications to amend antitrust license conditions outside of license transfers. NUREG–1574, Rev. 2 also provides guidance regarding the NRC’s responsibility to refer certain antitrust matters to the Attorney General, and regarding the NRC’s enforcement of antitrust license conditions. NUREG–1574, Rev. 2 supersedes the Standard Review Plan on Antitrust Reviews, NUREG–1574, in its entirety.

Notice of the availability of the draft version of NUREG–1574, Rev. 2 for public comment was published in the **Federal Register** on June 7, 2007 (72 FR 31627). Comments were received from the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) dated July 9, 2007. NEI stated that the draft NUREG–1574, Rev. 2 correctly focuses the NRC staff’s evaluation of antitrust issues as it conducts limited reviews of existing antitrust license conditions in the context of certain license transfers and license amendment requests related to existing antitrust license conditions. According to NEI, the nuclear energy industry believes the draft of NUREG–1574, Rev. 2 accurately sets forth the state of the law as it applies to NRC licensees. NEI recommended no changes to the draft NUREG–1574, Rev. 2. No other comments were received.

With the exception of some minor editorial changes, the text of the draft NUREG–1574, Rev. 2 was carried over to the final NUREG–1574, Rev. 2.

Congressional Review Act (CRA)

Under the Congressional Review Act (CRA) of 1996, the NRC has determined that this action is not a major rule and has verified this determination with the Office of Management and Budget.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of December, 2007.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Michael J. Case,

*Director, Division of Policy and Rulemaking,
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. E7–24471 Filed 12–17–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–56949; File No. SR–OPRA–2007–03]

Options Price Reporting Authority; Notice of Filing and Order Approving on a Temporary Basis Not To Exceed 120 Days a Proposed Amendment to the Plan for Reporting of Consolidated Options Last Sale Reports and Quotation Information, as Modified by Amendment No. 1 Thereto, To Modify Various Provisions of the OPRA Plan and the OPRA Fee Schedule To Reflect the Elimination of Separate Fees for Access to Market Data Concerning Certain Foreign Currency Options

December 12, 2007.

I. Introduction

Pursuant to section 11A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) ¹ and Rule 608 thereunder, ² notice is hereby given that on October 9, 2007, the Options Price Reporting Authority (“OPRA”) submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) an amendment to the Plan for Reporting of Consolidated Options Last Sale Reports and Quotation Information (“OPRA Plan”). ³ The proposed amendment would amend various provisions of the OPRA Plan in order to reflect the elimination of the separate fees for access to market data concerning Foreign Currency Options (“FCOs”) that currently apply to certain FCOs traded on the Phlx. The OPRA Fee Schedule would similarly be revised to reflect the elimination of the separate FCO service access fees. On November 14, 2007, OPRA submitted Amendment No. 1 to the proposal. ⁴ On December 11, 2007, OPRA submitted a revised version of Exhibit II to Amendment No. 1 to the

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78k–1.

² 17 CFR 242.608.

³ The OPRA Plan is a national market system plan approved by the Commission pursuant to Section 11A of the Act and Rule 608 thereunder (formerly Rule 11Aa3–2). See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 17638 (March 18, 1981), 22 S.E.C. Docket 484 (March 31, 1981). The full text of the OPRA Plan is available at <http://www.opradata.com>.

The OPRA Plan provides for the collection and dissemination of last sale and quotation information on options that are traded on the participant exchanges. The six participants to the OPRA Plan are the American Stock Exchange LLC, the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc., the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated, the International Securities Exchange, LLC (“ISE”), NYSE Arca, Inc., and the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. (“Phlx”).

⁴ Amendment No. 1 did not make any substantive changes to the text of the proposed OPRA Plan amendment, but instead provided a revised Exhibit I to the original filing and offered a new Exhibit II to the proposal. Amendment No. 1 replaced the original filing in its entirety.

proposal, which it requested be substituted for the original version of Exhibit II. ⁵ This order approves the proposal as modified by Amendment No. 1 for a temporary period not to exceed 120 days, and solicits comment on the proposal from interested persons.

II. Description and Purpose of the Amendment

Effective March 14, 1995, the OPRA Plan was amended to authorize the imposition of separate, unbundled access charges for market information pertaining to FCOs. ⁶ Subsequently, effective January 1, 1996, separate access charges for market information were imposed by OPRA, and subject to the exception described below, such separate charges have remained in effect since that time. ⁷ More recently, OPRA adopted a temporary exception to the separate FCO access fees for “new” FCOs first listed on any exchange on or after December 6, 2005, pursuant to which access to market information pertaining to such securities has been included within OPRA’s basic information service, and has required payment only of OPRA’s basic service access fees. ⁸ This temporary exception, which is set forth in Section VIII(c)(iii) of the OPRA Plan, is scheduled to expire by its terms on December 31, 2007, at which time, absent further action, all FCOs would become subject to separate FCO service access fees.

Currently, certain classes of FCOs traded on the Phlx are subject to the separate FCO access fees, while other classes of FCOs traded on that exchange (those first listed on or after December 6, 2005) are subject to OPRA’s basic service access fees. The only other exchange currently trading FCOs is the ISE, where all of the FCOs were listed subsequent to December 6, 2005, and thus are subject only to OPRA’s basic service access fees.

Phlx recently informed OPRA that for business reasons it has ceased listing new series of physical delivery FCOs to replace expiring series, and instead provides a market for foreign currency derivative securities through the listing of new classes of U.S. dollar-settled

⁵ The revised Exhibit II made technical changes to the original and corrected an outdated reference to the “NASDAQ,” which is now called “FINRA.”

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 35487 (March 14, 1995), 60 FR 14984 (March 21, 1995) (File No. S7–8–90).

⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 36613 (December 20, 1995), 60 FR 67144 (December 28, 1995) (SR–OPRA–95–5).

⁸ See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 52901 (December 6, 2005), 70 FR 74061 (December 14, 2005) (SR–OPRA–2005–03) and 55049 (January 5, 2007), 72 FR 1568 (January 12, 2007) (SR–OPRA–2006–02).