

Inspection and Standardization Section, Processed Products Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, Agricultural Marketing Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, STOP 0247, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-0247; Fax: (202) 690-1087. E-mail:

gabriel.mangino@usda.gov. Comments may also be sent to <http://www.regulations.gov>. The United States Standards for Grades of Frozen Okra are available either through the address cited above, or by accessing the AMS, Fruit and Vegetable Programs Web site on the Internet at <http://www.ams.usda.gov/fv> or <http://www.regulations.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 203(c) of the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946, as amended, directs and authorizes the Secretary of Agriculture "to develop and improve standards of quality, condition, quantity, grade and packaging and recommend and demonstrate such standards in order to encourage uniformity and consistency in commercial practices." AMS is committed to carrying out this authority in a manner that facilitates the marketing of agricultural commodities and makes copies of official standards available upon request. Most of the United States Standards for Grades of Fruits and Vegetables no longer appear in the Code of Federal Regulations, but are maintained by USDA/AMS/Fruit and Vegetable Programs.

AMS is proposing to revise the U.S. Standards for Grades of Frozen Okra using the procedures that appear in Part 36 of Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (7 CFR Part 36).

Background

AMS received a petition from the American Frozen Food Institute (AFFI), requesting the revision of the standards for frozen okra. The petitioner represents AFFI's Western Technical Advisory Committee, which includes among its members almost all of the processors of frozen okra in the United States.

The petitioner requested that USDA change the grading system for frozen okra from a score point grading system to an individual attribute system. The petitioner believes that the change will bring the grading of frozen okra in line with current marketing practices and innovations with processing techniques.

The petitioner also specifically requested that AMS revise the definitions of the following terms: Extraneous vegetable material, small piece in whole style, and small piece or damaged piece in cut style. It proposes

that extraneous vegetable material would be modified to include "detached stems of any length." "Small piece in whole style" would be modified and no longer include "very small tip ends" as part of the definition. The term "small or damaged piece in cut style," would be changed and separated into two unique definitions. The suggestion for the new terms to be used are "small piece in cut style" and "mechanical damage" respectively. The proposed revisions to the grade standards for frozen okra, including the changes to the definitions of terms, are available by accessing the AMS Fruit and Vegetable Programs Home Page on the Internet at: <http://www.ams.usda.gov/fv> or <http://www.regulations.gov>. AMS confirmed the revision at AFFI's annual conference in Monterey, CA, during a meeting on February, 24, 2007.

In addition to the requested changes by the petitioner, AMS is requesting comments on replacing the dual grade nomenclature with single letter grade designations. "U.S. Grade A" (or "U.S. Fancy") and "U.S. Grade B" (or "U.S. Extra Standard") would become "U.S. Grade A" and "U.S. Grade B" respectively. This would conform to recent changes in other grade standards. AMS is also proposing minor editorial changes to the standards for frozen okra that would provide a format consistent with recent revisions of other U.S. grade standards. This format is intended to provide industry personnel and agricultural commodity graders with simpler and more comprehensive standards. Definitions of terms and easy to read tables would be incorporated to facilitate a better understanding and uniform application of the standards.

The proposed revisions to the frozen okra standards would provide both a common language for trade and a means of measuring value in the marketing of frozen okra. The standards would also provide guidance in determining whether frozen okra should be utilized in its present state, or subject to reprocessing. The official grade of a lot of frozen okra covered by these standards is determined by the procedures set forth in the "Regulations Governing Inspection and Certification of Processed Products Thereof, and Certain Other Processed Food Products (§ 52.1 to 52.83)."

This notice provides for a 60-day comment period for interested parties to comment on undertaking this revision.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1621-1627.

Dated: December 6, 2007.

Lloyd C. Day,

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. E7-24058 Filed 12-11-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Information Collection; Airplane Pilot Qualifications and Approval Record, Helicopter Pilot Qualifications and Approval Record, Airplane Data Record, and Helicopter Data Record

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice; request for comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Forest Service is seeking comments from all interested individuals and organizations on the revision of a currently approved information collection, Airplane Pilot Qualifications and Approval Record, Helicopter Pilot Qualifications and Approval Record, Airplane Data Record, and Helicopter Data Record.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing on or before February 11, 2008 to be assured of consideration. Comments received after that date will be considered to the extent practicable.

ADDRESSES: Comments concerning this notice should be addressed to Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Fire and Aviation Management, 1400 Independence Ave., SW., Mail Stop 1107, Washington, DC 20250-1107.

Comments also may be submitted via facsimile to 202-205-1401 or by e-mail to: mdoherty03@fs.fed.us.

The public may inspect comments received at Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Fire and Aviation Management, 201 14th St., SW., Washington, DC, during normal business hours. Visitors are encouraged to call ahead to 202-205-0985 to facilitate entry to the building.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Nelson, Aviation Management Specialist, 208-387-5617. Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1-800-877-8339, 24 hours a day, every day of the year, including holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Airplane Pilot Qualifications and Approval Record, Helicopter Pilot Qualifications and Approval Record, Airplane Data Record, and Helicopter Data Record.

OMB Number: 0596-0015.

Expiration Date of Approval: June 30, 2008.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: The Forest Service contracts with approximately 400 vendors a year for aviation services utilized in resource protection and project management. In recent years, the total annual use of contract aircraft and pilots has exceeded 100,000 hours. In order to maintain an acceptable level of safety, preparedness, and cost-effectiveness in aviation operations, Forest Service contracts include rigorous qualifications for pilots and specific condition, equipment, and performance requirements for aircraft as aviation operations are conducted under extremely adverse conditions of weather, terrain, turbulence, smoke-reduced visibility, minimally improved landing areas, and congested airspace around wildfires.

To ensure Agency contracting officers that pilots and aircraft used for aviation operations meet specific Forest Service qualifications and requirements for aviation operations, prospective contract pilots fill out one of the following Forest Service forms:

- FS-5700-20—Airplane Pilot Qualifications and Approval Record
 - FS-5700-20a—Helicopter Pilot Qualifications and Approval Record
- Contract Officers' Technical Representatives use forms:
- FS-5700-21—Airplane Data Record and
 - FS-5700-21a—Helicopter Data Record when inspecting the aircraft for contract compliance.

Based upon the approval(s) documented on the form(s), each contractor pilot and aircraft receives an approval card. Forest Service personnel verify possession of properly approved cards before using contracted pilots and aircraft. Information collected on these forms includes:

- Name.
- Address.
- Certification numbers.
- Employment history.
- Medical Certification.
- Airplane/helicopter certifications and specifications.
- Accident/violation history.

Without the collected information, Forest Service contracting officers, as well as Forest Service pilot and aircraft inspections, cannot determine if contracted pilots and aircraft meet the detailed qualification, equipment, and condition requirements essential to safe, effective accomplishment of Forest Service specified flying missions. Without a reasonable basis to determine pilot qualifications and aircraft

capability, exposure of Forest Service employees to hazardous conditions would result. The data collected documents the approval of contract pilots and aircraft for specific Forest Service aviation missions.

Information will be collected and reviewed by contracting officers or their designated representatives, including aircraft inspectors, to determine whether the aircraft and/or pilot(s) meet all contract specifications in accordance with FS Handbook 5709.16, chapter 10, section 16. Forest Service regional aviation pilot and aircraft inspectors maintain the collected information in Forest Service regional headquarters offices. The Forest Service, at times, shares the information with the Department of the Interior Aviation Management Directorate, as each organization accepts contract inspections conducted by the other.

Estimate of Annual Burden: 60 minutes.

Type of Respondents: Vendors/contractors.

Estimated Annual Number of Respondents: 2100.

Estimated Annual Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 1050.

Comment is invited on: (1) Whether this collection of information is necessary for the stated purposes and the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical or scientific utility; (2) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

All comments received in response to this notice, including names and addresses when provided, will be a matter of public record. Comments will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval.

Dated: December 5, 2007.

Robin L. Thompson,
Associate Deputy Chief.

[FR Doc. E7-24031 Filed 12-11-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-896]

Magnesium Metal from the Peoples' Republic of China; Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 12, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karine Gziryan or Mark Manning, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4081 and (202) 482-5253, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 30, 2007, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on magnesium metal from the Peoples' Republic of China ("PRC"). See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 72 FR 29968 (May 30, 2007). The period of review is April 1, 2006, through March 31, 2007. The preliminary results of this administrative review are currently due no later than December 31, 2007.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act"), the Department shall make a preliminary determination in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend the 245-day period to 365 days if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period. The Department determines that it is not practicable to complete this administrative review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act because this review involves examining a number of complex issues related to the factors of production and surrogate values. The Department requires additional time to issue and