
Issued: December 5, 2007.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott, Secretary to the Commission.
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DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Importer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated July 31, 2007 and published in the Federal Register on August 9, 2007, (72 FR 44859–44860), Aptuit (Allendale), Inc., 75 Commerce Drive, Allendale, New Jersey 07401, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as an importer of Noroxymorphone (9668), a basic class of controlled substance listed in schedule II.

The company plans to import the basic class of controlled substance for clinical trials and research.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823(a) and 952(a) and determined that the registration of Aptuit (Allendale), Inc. to import the basic class of controlled substance is consistent with the public interest and with United States obligations under international treaties, conventions, or protocols in effect on May 1, 1971, at this time. DEA has investigated Aptuit (Allendale), Inc. to ensure that the company’s registration is consistent with the public interest. The investigation has included inspection and testing of the company’s physical security systems, verification of the company’s compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company’s background and history.

Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 952(a) and § 958(a), and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.34, the above named company is granted registration as an importer of the basic class of controlled substance listed.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–62,101]

American Woodmark, Hardy County Plant, Moorefield, Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated November 8, 2007, Carpenters Industrial Council, United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners of America requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor’s Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on October 17, 2007 and published in the Federal Register on October 31, 2007 (72 FR 61685).

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the finding that imports of kitchen cabinet parts did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject firm and no shift of production to a foreign source occurred.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner provided additional information concerning the interpretation of facts of the investigation.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record and therefore the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor’s prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 30th day of November, 2007.

Elliott S. Kushner, Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.
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DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–62,414]

Consistent Textile Industries Dallas, North Carolina; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

On November 27, 2007, the Department of Labor (Department) received a request for administrative reconsideration of the Department’s Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The negative determination was issued on November 13, 2007. The Department’s Notice of determination will soon be published in the Federal Register.

The negative determination was based on the Department’s findings that, during the relevant period, the subject firm did not separate or threaten to separate a significant number or proportion of workers as required by Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974. The petition stated that two workers were separated and the company official stated in the initial investigation that the company consisted of fewer than 50 workers.

In the request for reconsideration, a worker alleged that three workers were separated from the subject firm during the relevant period.

The Department has carefully reviewed the worker’s request for reconsideration and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor’s prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 29th day of November 2007.

Elliott S. Kushner, Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.
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