

*Review-Specific Average Rate  
Applicable to the Following  
Companies:*<sup>3</sup>

Producer/Exporter	Margin (percent)
Apple Film Co., Ltd. ....	0.95
Naraipak Co., Ltd. ....	0.95
Polyplast (Thailand) Co., Ltd. ....	0.95
Sahachit Watana Plastic Ind. Co., Ltd. ....	0.95
Thantawan Industry Public Co., Ltd. ....	0.95
U. Yong Ltd., Part. ....	0.95
U. Yong Industry Co., Ltd. ....	0.95

#### Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of these final results, the Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review. We calculated importer/customer-specific duty assessment rates or per-unit dollar amounts, as appropriate, for each respondent's reported importer or customer.

Where the assessment rate or amount is above *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to assess duties on all entries of subject merchandise by that importer or customer. For the responsive companies we did not select for individual examination, we have calculated an assessment rate based on weighted average of the weighted-average margins we calculated for the companies selected for individual review, excluding any which are determined on adverse facts available entirely. We will instruct CBP to apply that rate (0.95 percent) to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR that were produced and/or exported by Apple Film Co., Ltd., Naraipak Co., Ltd., Polyplast (Thailand) Co., Ltd., Sahachit Watana Plastic Ind. Co., Ltd., Thantawan Industry Public Co., Ltd., U. Yong Ltd., Part, and U. Yong Industry Co., Ltd. Because we are relying on total adverse facts available to establish King Pac's dumping margin, we will instruct CBP to apply a dumping margin of 122.88 percent to King Pac.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003. See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (*Assessment-Policy Notice*). This clarification will

<sup>3</sup> This rate is based on the weighted average of the margins we calculated for those companies selected for individual review, excluding margins based entirely on AFA.

apply to entries of subject merchandise during the period of review produced by companies included in these final results of review for which the reviewed companies did not know that the merchandise they sold to an intermediary (e.g., a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediary involved in the transaction. See *Assessment-Policy Notice* for a full discussion of this clarification.

#### a. Export Price

With respect to export-price sales by TPBG and CP, we divided the total dumping margins (calculated as the difference between normal value and the export price) for each exporter's importer or customer by the total number of units the exporter sold to that importer or customer. We will direct CBP to assess the resulting per-unit dollar amount against each unit of merchandise on each of that importer's or customer's entries during the review period. See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

#### b. Constructed Export Price

For constructed export-price sales by UPC/API, we divided the total dumping margins for the reviewed sales by the total entered value of those reviewed sales for each importer. We will direct CBP to assess the resulting percentage margin against the entered customs values for the subject merchandise on each of that importer's entries during the review period. See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

#### Cash-Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, consistent with section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash-deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be the rates shown above; (2) for previously investigated companies not listed above, the cash-deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) the cash-deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 2.80

percent, the all-others rate from the amended final determination of the LTFV investigation published on July 15, 2004. See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand*, 69 FR 42419 (July 15, 2004).

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification Requirements

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties. See *id.*

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: November 8, 2007.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

#### Appendix

1. Selection of Respondents
2. Adverse Facts Available
3. General and Administrative Expenses and Interest Expenses

[FR Doc. E7-22474 Filed 11-15-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-421-811]

#### Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from the Netherlands: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** In response to requests from respondent Akzo Nobel Functional

Chemicals B.V. (“Akzo Nobel”), and Aqualon Company (“petitioner”), the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on purified carboxymethylcellulose (“CMC”) from the Netherlands. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 72 FR 48613 (August 24, 2007). This administrative review covers the period July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2007. Due to the withdrawal of the requests for the administrative review by both parties, we are now rescinding this review with respect to Akzo Nobel.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 16, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Drury or Angelica Mendoza, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Room 7866, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0195 or (202) 482-3019, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

The Department published an antidumping duty order on CMC from the Netherlands on July 11, 2005. *See Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from Finland, Mexico, the Netherlands and Sweden*, 70 FR 39734 (July 11, 2005). The Department published a notice of “Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review” of the antidumping duty order for the period July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2007, on July 3, 2007. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 72 FR 36420 (July 3, 2007). Petitioner requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of sales of merchandise by Akzo Nobel and CP Kelco B.V. covered by the order on July 25, 2007. Akzo Nobel requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of its sales of merchandise covered by the order on July 30, 2007. In response to both requests, the Department published the initiation of the antidumping duty administrative review on CMC from the Netherlands on August 24, 2007. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 72 FR 48613 (August 24, 2007).

Akzo Nobel withdrew its request for review on October 2, 2007. Petitioner

withdrew its request for review of sales by Akzo Nobel on October 3, 2007.

**Rescission of the Administrative Review**

Pursuant to 19 CFR § 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review under this section, in whole or in part, if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. The Secretary may extend this time limit if the Secretary decides that it is reasonable to do so. See 19 CFR § 351.213(d)(1). Both petitioner and Akzo Nobel withdrew their requests for review with respect to the latter within the 90-day time limit. Therefore, in response to the withdrawal of requests for administrative reviews by both Akzo Nobel and petitioner, the Department hereby rescinds the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on CMC from the Netherlands for the period July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2007, for Akzo Nobel. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) 15 days after the date of publication of this partial rescission of administrative review. The Department will direct CBP to assess antidumping duties for Akzo Nobel at the cash deposit rate in effect on the date of entry for entries during the period July 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR § 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR § 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: November 7, 2007.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E7-22500 Filed 11-15-07 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A-489-807]

**Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Turkey; Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results in Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 16, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Irina Itkin, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20230; telephone (202) 482-0656.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On May 30, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel concrete reinforcing bars from Turkey. *See Initiation of Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 72 FR 29968 (May 30, 2007). The period of review is April 1, 2006, through March 31, 2007, and the preliminary results are currently due no later than December 31, 2007. The review covers nine producers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States.

**Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department shall make a preliminary determination in an administrative review of an antidumping order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend the 245-day period to 365 days if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period. We determine that it is not practicable to complete this administrative review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act because this review involves a number of complicated issues for certain of the respondents, including a request for revocation for one of the respondents. Analysis of these issues requires additional time.

In addition, we are also conducting numerous concurrent antidumping