

signature of the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Main Parade EA as well as the International Center to End Violence (Building 100) FONSI as these proposed actions are consistent with the PTMP, are independently justified, do not involve significant impacts, and would not prejudice other decisions on the Main Post.

Alternatives to be considered for analysis in the supplemental EIS include the planning concept set forth in the PTMP for the Main Post (PTMP action), an updated planning concept for the Main Post based on new information arising after the PTMP (proposed action), and minimal planning (no action). Potential impacts to be evaluated in the supplemental EIS include those on parking and traffic, visual resources, those to the National Historic Landmark district, and cumulative impacts. Compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act will be a component of the supplemental EIS utilizing the public input, alternatives development and assessment processes to address historic preservation requirements.

The availability of the draft supplemental EIS (expected to occur in mid 2008) for comment will be announced through an EPA-published notice in the Federal Register, through postings on the Trust's Web site at <http://www.presidio.gov> and regular electronic newsletter (Presidio E-news), as well as direct mailing to the project mailing list and other appropriate means.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Pelka, NEPA Compliance Coordinator, 415.561.5300.

Dated: October 23, 2007.

**Karen A. Cook,**  
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. E7-21277 Filed 10-26-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-4R-P**

**RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD**

**Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

*Summary:* In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

*Comments are invited on:* (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

*Title and Purpose of information collection:* Financial Disclosure Statement: OMB 3220-0127 Under Section 10 of the Railroad Retirement

Act and Section 2(d) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, the RRB may recover overpayments of annuities, pensions, death benefits, unemployment benefits, and sickness benefits that were made erroneously. An overpayment may be waived if the beneficiary was not at fault in causing the overpayment and recovery would cause financial hardship. The regulations for the recovery and waiver of erroneous payments are contained in 20 CFR parts 255 and 340.

The RRB utilizes Form DR-423, Financial Disclosure Statement, to obtain information about the overpaid beneficiary's income, debts, and expenses if that person indicates that (s)he cannot make restitution for the overpayment. The information is used to determine if the overpayment should be waived as wholly or partially uncollectible. If waiver is denied, the information is used to determine the size and frequency of installment payments. The beneficiary is made aware of the overpayment by letter and is offered a variety of methods for recovery. One response is requested of each respondent. Completion is voluntary. However, failure to provide the requested information may result in a denial of the waiver request. The RRB proposes to revise Form DR-423 to delete items requesting the railroad employee's Social Security Number and their spouses Social Security Number. Non-burden impacting formatting and editorial changes are also proposed.

The estimated annual respondent burden is as follows:

**ESTIMATE OF ANNUAL RESPONDENT BURDEN**

Form #(s)	Annual responses	Time (Min)	Burden (Hrs)
DR-423 .....	1,200	85	1,700

*Additional Information or Comments:* To request more information or to obtain a copy of the information collection justification, forms, and/or supporting material, please call the RRB Clearance Officer at (312) 751-3363 or send an e-mail request to [Charles.Mierzwa@RRB.GOV](mailto:Charles.Mierzwa@RRB.GOV).

Comments regarding the information collection should be addressed to Ronald J. Hodapp, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611-2092 or send an e-mail to [Ronald.Hodapp@RRB.GOV](mailto:Ronald.Hodapp@RRB.GOV). Written

comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

**Charles Mierzwa,**  
Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. E7-21201 Filed 10-26-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7905-01-P**

**RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD**

**Proposed Data Collection Available for Public Comment and Recommendations**

*Summary:* In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public

comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

*Comments are invited on:* (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of

automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

*Title and Purpose of information collection:*

*Representative Payee Parental Custody Monitoring:* OMB 3220-0176. Under Section 12(a) of the Railroad Retirement Act (RRA), the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) is authorized to select, make payments to, and to conduct transactions with, a

beneficiary's relative or some other person willing to act on behalf of the beneficiary as a representative payee. The RRB is responsible for determining if direct payment to the beneficiary or payment to a representative payee would best serve the beneficiary's interest. Inherent in the RRB's authorization to select a representative payee is the responsibility to monitor the payee to assure that the beneficiary's

interests are protected. The RRB utilizes Form G-99d, Parental Custody Report, to obtain information needed to verify that a parent-for-child representative payee still has custody of the child. One response is required from each respondent. The RRB proposes no changes to Form G-99d.

The estimated annual respondent burden is as follows:

Form #(s)	Annual responses	Time (min)	Burden (hrs)
G-99d .....	1,030	5	86

*Additional Information or Comments:* To request more information or to obtain a copy of the information collection justification, forms, and/or supporting material, please call the RRB Clearance Officer at (312) 751-3363 or send an e-mail request to [Charles.Mierzwa@RRB.GOV](mailto:Charles.Mierzwa@RRB.GOV).

Comments regarding the information collection should be addressed to Ronald J. Hodapp, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611-2092 or send an e-mail to [Ronald.Hodapp@RRB.GOV](mailto:Ronald.Hodapp@RRB.GOV). Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

**Charles Mierzwa,**  
Clearance Officer.  
[FR Doc. E7-21205 Filed 10-26-07; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 7905-01-P

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

[Release No. 34-56686; File No. SR-NYSE-2007-53]

**Self-Regulatory Organizations; The New York Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendments Nos. 1 and 2 Thereto, To Amend NYSE Rule 342.13 ("Acceptability of Supervisors")**

October 23, 2007.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on June 20, 2007, The New York Stock Exchange LLC ("NYSE" or "Exchange"), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been substantially prepared by NYSE. On September 27, 2007, NYSE

filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.<sup>3</sup> On October 15, 2007, NYSE filed Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change.<sup>4</sup> The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

**I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of the Substance of the Proposed Rule Change**

The Exchange is filing this proposed rule change to amend NYSE Rule 342.13 ("Acceptability of Supervisors") to eliminate the current requirement in that rule that the General Securities Principal Examination ("Series 24 Examination") be passed after July 1, 2001 in order to be recognized by the Exchange as an acceptable alternative to the General Securities Sales Supervisor Qualification Examination ("Series 9/10 Examination").

The text of the proposed rule change is available on NYSE's Web site (<http://www.nyse.com>), at NYSE, and at the Commission's public reference room.

**II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change**

In its filing with the Commission, NYSE included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. NYSE has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

*A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change*

**1. Purpose**

Rule 342 ("Offices—Approval, Supervision and Control") prescribes the Exchange's general supervisory requirements for member organizations. Among these requirements, Rule 342.13 ("Acceptability of Supervisors") prescribes the Exchange's qualification standards for personnel delegated supervisory responsibility. Prior to 2001, this provision provided, in part, that a person delegated supervisory responsibility must pass the General Securities Sales Supervisor Qualification Examination ("Series 9/10 Examination") or an historical equivalent (e.g., the Series 8 Examination).

In 2002, the Exchange amended Rule 342.13<sup>5</sup> to recognize the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD")'s<sup>6</sup> General Securities Principal Examination ("Series 24 Examination"), if taken and passed after July 1, 2001, as an alternative to the Series 9/10 Examination requirement for persons whose duties do not include supervision of options or municipal securities sales activities.<sup>7</sup> When proposing this amendment, the Exchange represented that NASD, as of July 2, 2001, had enhanced the Series 24 Examination by including test questions sufficient to provide appropriate coverage of the NYSE Rules. The Commission approved the proposed rule change on October 17, 2002.<sup>8</sup>

<sup>5</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 46425 (August 28, 2002), 67 FR 56863 (September 5, 2002) (SR-NYSE-2002-24).

<sup>6</sup> NASD is now known as the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA").

<sup>7</sup> The Series 24 Examination does not address these activities.

<sup>8</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 46631 (October 9, 2002), 67 FR 64187 (October 17, 2002)

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> Amendment No. 1 replaced and superseded the original filing in its entirety.

<sup>4</sup> Amendment No. 2 replaced and superseded Amendment No. 1 in its entirety.