

Proposed Rules

Federal Register

Vol. 72, No. 197

Friday, October 12, 2007

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

11 CFR Part 100, 104, and 114

[Notice 2007–19]

Electioneering Communications

AGENCY: Federal Election Commission.

ACTION: Notice of public hearing.

SUMMARY: The Federal Election Commission is announcing a public hearing on the proposed changes to its rules governing “electioneering communications” under the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended.

DATES: The hearing will be held on Wednesday, October 17 and Thursday, October 18, 2007, and will begin at 10 a.m. each day.

ADDRESSES: Commission hearings are held in the Commission’s ninth floor meeting room, 999 E Street, NW., Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Ron Katwan, Assistant General Counsel, 999 E Street, NW., Washington, DC 20463, (202) 694–1650 or (800) 424–9530.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 31, 2007 (72 FR 50261), the Commission published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (“NPRM”) proposing revisions to its rules governing “electioneering communications” under the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. In the NPRM, the Commission stated it would hold a hearing on the proposed rules on October 17, 2007. The Commission has determined that an additional day of public hearing will be necessary to accommodate all those who wish to appear. Accordingly, the hearing will be held on Wednesday, October 17 and Thursday, October 18, 2007.

Dated: October 5, 2007.

Robert D. Lenhard,

Chairman, Federal Election Commission.

[FR Doc. E7–20107 Filed 10–11–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6715–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA–2007–27532; Directorate Identifier 2007–CE–021–AD]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Piaggio Aero Industries S.p.A. P–180 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM); reopening of the comment period.

SUMMARY: We are revising an earlier NPRM for the products listed above. This proposed AD results from mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) originated by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as:

One P–180 aircraft experienced a jamming of its longitudinal flight control cables. Investigations revealed that its fuselage drain holes were plugged, and water was trapped in the lower fuselage.

As a consequence of plugged drain holes, water can accumulate and freeze when the aircraft reaches and holds altitudes where temperature is below the freezing point. If not corrected this may cause the loss of control of the airplane.

The proposed AD would require actions that are intended to address the unsafe condition described in the MCAI.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by November 13, 2007.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Fax:* (202) 493–2251.
- *Mail:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.
- *Hand Delivery:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (telephone (800) 647–5527) is in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sarjapur Nagarajan, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329–4145; fax: (816) 329–4090.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the **ADDRESSES** section. Include “Docket No. FAA–2007–27532; Directorate Identifier 2007–CE–021–AD” at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

Discussion

We proposed to amend 14 CFR part 39 with an earlier NPRM for the specified products, which was published in the **Federal Register** on April 11, 2007 (72 FR 18155). That earlier NPRM proposed to require actions intended to address the unsafe condition for the products listed above.

Since that NPRM was issued, we determined that the original service bulletin includes affected pages of the Piaggio P.180 Avanti Maintenance Manual (AMM) that should become part of the AD.

Relevant Service Information

Piaggio Aero Industries S.p.A has issued Mandatory SB-80-0220, dated August 8, 2006. The actions described in this service information are intended to correct the unsafe condition identified in the MCAI.

Comments

We have considered the following comments received on the earlier NPRM.

We included in the NPRM a repetitive inspection requirement to check for proper drain operation. We received a clarification from Piaggio Aero Industries that the intent of the MCAI was to inspect the drain holes after painting or cleaning and the repetitive requirement would go beyond what would be necessary to make sure drains remain clear on a recurring basis. We have therefore removed the repetitive inspection requirement.

As earlier stated, we determined that the service bulletin includes affected pages of the PIAGGIO P.180 AVANTI/AVANTI II MAINTENANCE MANUAL (AMM) that should be part of the AD. Therefore, we have also added a requirement to include into your maintenance program specific sections of that maintenance manual that address cleaning procedures, which are included to Piaggio Aero Industries S.p.A. Mandatory SB-80-0220, dated August 8, 2006.

FAA's Determination and Requirements of the Proposed AD

This product has been approved by the aviation authority of another country, and is approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to our bilateral agreement with this State of Design Authority, they have notified us of the unsafe condition described in the MCAI and service information referenced above. We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all information and determined the unsafe condition exists and is likely to exist or develop on other products of the same type design.

Certain changes described above expand the scope of the earlier NPRM. As a result, we have determined that it is necessary to reopen the comment period to provide additional opportunity for the public to comment on the proposed AD.

Differences Between This Proposed AD and the MCAI or Service Information

We have reviewed the MCAI and related service information and, in general, agree with their substance. But we might have found it necessary to use different words from those in the MCAI

to ensure the AD is clear for U.S. operators and is enforceable. In making these changes, we do not intend to differ substantively from the information provided in the MCAI and related service information.

We might also have proposed different actions in this AD from those in the MCAI in order to follow FAA policies. Any such differences are highlighted in a Note within the proposed AD.

Costs of Compliance

Based on the service information, we estimate that this proposed AD would affect about 60 products of U.S. registry. We also estimate that it would take about 5 work-hours per product to comply with the basic requirements of this proposed AD. The average labor rate is \$80 per work-hour.

Based on these figures, we estimate the cost of the proposed AD on U.S. operators to be \$24,000, or \$400 per product.

In addition, we estimate that any necessary follow-on actions would take about 13 work-hours and require parts costing \$125, for a cost of \$1,165 per product. We have no way of determining the number of products that may need these actions.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. "Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs," describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in "Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and

responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:

1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD and placed it in the AD docket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new AD:

Piaggio Aero Industries S.p.A.: Docket No. FAA-2007-27532; Directorate Identifier 2007-CE-021-AD.

Comments Due Date

- (a) We must receive comments by November 13, 2007.

Affected ADs

- (b) None.

Applicability

- (c) This AD applies to P-180 airplanes, serial numbers 1004 through 1112, certificated in any category.

Subject

- (d) Air Transport Association of America (ATA) Code 53: Fuselage.

Reason

- (e) The mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) states:

One P-180 aircraft experienced a jamming of its longitudinal flight control cables. Investigations revealed that its fuselage drain holes were plugged, and water was trapped in the lower fuselage.

As a consequence of plugged drain holes, water can accumulate and freeze when the aircraft reaches and holds altitudes where temperature is below the freezing point. If not corrected this may cause the loss of control of the airplane.

The aim of this Airworthiness Directive (AD) is to check for proper operation, fuselage drain holes and the passenger evaporator drain line and to introduce a temporary revision of the Aircraft Maintenance Manual (AMM).

Actions and Compliance

(f) Unless already done, at the next scheduled maintenance inspection or 1 month after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later do the following actions:

(1) Inspect fuselage drain holes and the passenger evaporator drain line for proper operation and do all the necessary corrective actions, following the accomplishment instructions of the Piaggio Aero Industries S.p.A. Mandatory SB-80-0220, dated August 8, 2006.

(2) Incorporate into your maintenance program the following PIAGGIO P.180 AVANTI/AVANTI II MAINTENANCE MANUAL (AMM) sections, which are included in Piaggio Aero Industries S.p.A. Mandatory SB-80-0220, dated August 8, 2006:

- (i) AMM Chapter 12-24-02 Exterior Cleaning—Maintenance Practices
- (ii) AMM Chapter 51-25-00 Processes—Stripping and Painting
- (iii) AMM Chapter 53-00-00 Fuselage—Maintenance Practices

(3) Replace/add the following pages of the AMM that are included in Piaggio Aero Industries S.p.A. Mandatory SB-80-0220, dated August 8, 2006:

- (i) Replace: AMM Chapter 12-24-02, pages 201/202
- (ii) Replace: AMM Chapter 51-25-00, pages 5/6
- (iii) Replace: AMM Chapter 53-00-00, pages 203/204
- (iv) Add: AMM Chapter 53-00-00, pages 205/206

FAA AD Differences

Note: This AD differs from the MCAI and/or service information as follows: No differences.

Other FAA AD Provisions

(g) The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) *Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs):* The Manager, Standards Office, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to ATTN: Sarjapur Nagarajan, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329-4145; fax: (816) 329-4090. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

(2) *Airworthy Product:* For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority

(or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

(3) *Reporting Requirements:* For any reporting requirement in this AD, under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved the information collection requirements and has assigned OMB Control Number 2120-0056.

Related Information

(h) Refer to MCAI EASA AD No. 2007-0031, dated February 9, 2007; and Piaggio Aero Industries S.p.A. Mandatory SB-80-0220, dated August 8, 2006, for related information.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on October 4, 2007.

David R. Showers,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. E7-20126 Filed 10-11-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

36 CFR Chapter I

Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee for Dog Management at Golden Gate National Recreation Area

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, 86 Stat. 770, 5 U.S.C. App 1, section 10), of the seventh meeting of the Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee for Dog Management at Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

DATES: The Committee will meet on Saturday, October 27, 2007 at GGNRA Headquarters, Bldg. 201, Upper Fort Mason, in San Francisco, CA. The meeting will begin at 9 a.m., and is open to the public.

Although the Committee may modify its agenda during the course of its work, the proposed agenda for this meeting is as follows: introductions, approval of the meeting summary from the previous meeting, update on outstanding issues and activities since the previous full committee meeting, discussion on findings from the Technical Subcommittee, discussion of potential dog management recommendations, update on NEPA process and schedule, identification and discussion of possible next steps for the committee, and public comment.

The Committee provides for a public comment period during the meeting;

written comments may also be sent to: Superintendent, GGNRA, Ft. Mason, Bldg. 201, San Francisco, CA 94123, Attn: Negotiated Rulemaking. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

To request a sign language interpreter, please call the park TDD line (415) 556-2766, at least a week in advance of the meeting. Please note that federal regulations prohibit pets in public buildings, with the exception of service animals.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Go to the <http://www.parkplanning.nps.gov/goga> and select *Negotiated Rulemaking for Dog Management at GGNRA* or call the project information line at 415-561-4728.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Committee was established pursuant to the Negotiated Rulemaking Act of 1990 (5 U.S.C. 561-570) to consider developing a special regulation for dogwalking at GGNRA.

Dated: October 7, 2007.

Bernard C. Fagan,

Acting Chief, Office of Policy.

[FR Doc. E7-20134 Filed 10-11-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-52-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 50

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2005-0172; FRL-8482-1]

RIN 2060-AN24

National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; correction.

SUMMARY: The EPA published a proposed rule in the July 11, 2007 **Federal Register** requesting comments on the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone. The document contained an incorrect fax number for submitting comments to the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center. Because of the incorrect fax number, we are allowing an additional