

useful and likely to influence decisions on management and protection of wild horses and burros are those that are either supported by quantitative information or studies or those that include citations to and analysis of applicable laws and regulations. Except for comments provided in electronic format, speakers should submit two copies of their written comments where feasible. The BLM will not necessarily consider comments received after the time indicated under the **DATES** section or at locations other than that listed in the **ADDRESSES** section.

In the event there is a request under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) for a copy of your comments, the BLM will make them available in their entirety, including your name and address. However, if you do not want the BLM to release your name and address in response to a FOIA request, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. The BLM will honor your request to the extent allowed by law. The BLM will release all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, in their entirety, including names and addresses.

Electronic Access and Filing Address

Speakers may transmit comments electronically via the Internet to: ramona_delorme@blm.gov. Please include the identifier "WH&B" in the subject of your message and your name and address in the body of your message.

Dated: October 5, 2007.

Todd S. Christensen,

Acting Deputy, Assistant Director, Renewable Resources and Planning.

[FR Doc. E7-20108 Filed 10-11-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-84-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[CO-922-08-1310-FI; COC67185]

Notice of Proposed Reinstatement of Terminated Oil and Gas Lease

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of proposed reinstatement of terminated oil and gas lease.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of 30 U.S.C. 188(d) and (e), and 43 CFR 3108.2-3(a) and (b)(1), the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) received a

petition for reinstatement of oil and gas lease COC67185 from Klabzuba Oil and Gas, Inc. for lands in Moffat County, Colorado. The petition was filed on time and was accompanied by all the rentals due since the date the lease terminated under the law.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Bureau of Land Management, Milada Krasiliniec, Land Law Examiner, Branch of Fluid Minerals Adjudication, at 303.239.3767.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The lessee has agreed to the amended lease terms for rentals and royalties at rates of \$5.00 per acre or fraction thereof, per year and 16 2/3 percent, respectively. The lessee has paid the required \$500 administrative fee and \$163 to reimburse the Department for the cost of this **Federal Register** notice. The lessee has met all the requirements for reinstatement of the lease as set out in Section 31(d) and (e) of the Mineral Lands Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 188), and the Bureau of Land Management is proposing to reinstate lease COC67185 effective April 1, 2007, under the original terms and conditions of the lease and the increased rental and royalty rates cited above.

Dated: September 4, 2007.

Milada Krasiliniec,

Land Law Examiner.

[FR Doc. E7-20141 Filed 10-11-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-JB-P

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The lessee has agreed to the amended lease terms for rentals and royalties at rates of \$5.00 per acre or fraction thereof, per year and 16 2/3 percent, respectively. The lessee has paid the required \$500 administrative fee and \$163 to reimburse the Department for the cost of this **Federal Register** notice. The lessee has met all the requirements for reinstatement of the lease as set out in Section 31(d) and (e) of the Mineral Lands Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 188), and the Bureau of Land Management is proposing to reinstate lease COC67178 effective April 1, 2007, under the original terms and conditions of the lease and the increased rental and royalty rates cited above.

Dated: September 4, 2007.

Milada Krasiliniec,

Land Law Examiner.

[FR Doc. E7-20143 Filed 10-11-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-JB-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[UT0110-1610-029J]

Notice of Availability of the Draft Resource Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Kanab Field Office in Kane and Garfield Counties, UT

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA, 42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*) and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (FLPMA, 43 U.S.C. 1701 *et seq.*), the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) hereby gives notice that the Kanab Draft Resource Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DRMP/DEIS) is available for a 90-day public review and comment period. This notice also meets requirements in 43 CFR 1610.7-2(b) concerning designation of proposed Areas of Critical Environmental Concern ACECs) and specification of resource use limitations for each proposed ACEC.

DATES: To ensure that your written comments on the DRMP/DEIS will be considered, they should be submitted to the BLM within 90 days following the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes its notice of availability in the **Federal Register**. The BLM will announce public meetings and other public-involvement activities

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[CO-922-08-1310-FI; COC67178]

Notice of Proposed Reinstatement of Terminated Oil and Gas Lease

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of proposed reinstatement of terminated oil and gas lease.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of 30 U.S.C. 188(d) and (e), and 43 CFR 3108.2-3(a) and (b)(1), the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) received a petition for reinstatement of oil and gas lease COC67178 from Klabzuba Oil and Gas, Inc. for lands in Moffat County, Colorado. The petition was filed on time and was accompanied by all the rentals due since the date the lease terminated under the law.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Bureau of Land Management, Milada Krasiliniec, Land Law Examiner, Branch of Fluid Minerals Adjudication, at 303.239.3767.

at least 15 days in advance through public notices, news releases, direct mailings, and on the project Web site at: <http://www.blm.gov/rmp/ut/kanab/>. Public meetings will be held in Kanab, Panguitch, St. George, Escalante and Salt Lake City, Utah, and in other locations if warranted.

ADDRESSES: Address written comments to: RMP Comments, Kanab Field Office, Bureau of Land Management, 318 North 100 East, Kanab, Utah 84741. Comments may also be faxed to the Field Office at 435-644-4620, or submitted electronically by e-mail at: UT_Kanab_Comments@blm.gov.

Comments and information submitted on the DRMP/EIS, including names, email addresses, and street addresses of respondents, will be available for public review and disclosure at the above address. The BLM will not accept anonymous comments. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information or to have your name added to the Kanab RMP mailing list, visit the RMP Web site: <http://www.blm.gov/rmp/ut/kanab/>. You may

also contact Keith Rigrup, Land Use Planner, Kanab Field Office, 318 North 100 East, Kanab, Utah 84741, phone 435-644-4600. The DRMP/DEIS may be viewed and downloaded in portable document format (PDF) at the project Web site: <http://www.blm.gov/rmp/ut/kanab/>. Copies of the DRMP/DEIS are also available at the BLM Kanab Field Office.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The DRMP/DEIS addresses public lands and resources, including the Federal mineral estate, managed by the Kanab Field Office in Kane and Garfield Counties, Utah. These lands and resources are currently managed under five land use plans: Cedar-Beaver-Garfield-Antimony RMP (1986), Escalante Management Framework Plan (MFP) (1981), Paria MFP (1981), Vermilion MFP (1981), and Zion MFP (1981). The planning area includes approximately 550,000 acres of BLM-administered surface lands and an additional 96,600 acres of Federally-owned minerals under Federal, state, and private lands in the area. Decisions in the Kanab RMP will only apply to BLM-administered public lands and Federal mineral estate. The DRMP/DEIS proposes and analyzes four alternatives for future management of the public lands and resources in the planning area.

• **Alternative A (No Action):** Proposes to continue existing management, which is defined by five existing land use plans currently prescribing the management of public lands and the Federal mineral estate within the Kanab Field Office.

• **Alternative B (BLM's Preferred Alternative):** Proposes managing the public lands and Federal mineral estate for multiple uses and sustaining the health, diversity, and productivity of the lands for present and future generations, balancing resource protection with resource or commodity production and use.

• **Alternative C:** Proposes managing the lands and resources with an emphasis on resource protection, including managing for wilderness characteristics (naturalness, and outstanding opportunities for solitude and primitive recreation), ACECs, and Wild and Scenic Rivers.

• **Alternative D:** Proposes managing the lands and resources with an emphasis on encouraging commodity production and use.

Examples of issues considered in the DRMP/DEIS include access and transportation, recreation and off-highway vehicle management, special designations (including ACECs and suitability findings for eligible wild and scenic rivers, among others), range management and livestock, oil and gas leasing, mining, special status species, and non-WSA lands with wilderness characteristics. As required by law, the DRMP/DEIS considers the designation and protection of ACECs. ACEC values and resource use limitations vary by ACEC, and the ACECs themselves vary by alternative. (Refer to the table below.) More detailed management prescriptions in these areas are provided in the DRMP/DEIS.

| Values and use limitations | Alt. A (no action) | Alt. B (preferred) | Alt. C | Alt. D |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|
|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|

Water Canyon/South Fork Indian Canyon ACEC

Values: Scenery, recreational, botanical, and biological values.

Limitations: For alternatives where an ACEC would be designated, the management constraints are listed below.

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Off Highway Vehicle (OHV) Designations. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 220 acres would be limited to existing roads and trails; 0 miles of open routes. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 220 acres would be designated and managed as part of the Cottonwood Canyon ACEC. 220 acres would be designated and managed as part of the Cottonwood Canyon ACEC. 220 acres would be designated and managed as part of the Cottonwood Canyon ACEC. 220 acres would be designated and managed as part of the Cottonwood Canyon ACEC. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 220 acres would be designated and managed as part of the Cottonwood Canyon ACEC. 220 acres would be designated and managed as part of the Cottonwood Canyon ACEC. 220 acres would be designated and managed as part of the Cottonwood Canyon ACEC. 220 acres would be designated and managed as part of the Cottonwood Canyon ACEC. | ACEC not designated. |
| Oil and Gas Leasing | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 220 acres overlapping with a Wilderness Study Area (WSA) would be closed to leasing. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Until an activity plan is developed, fire suppression would be determined on a case-by-case basis as an interim management tool. | | ACEC not designated. |
| Mineral Entry | | | | ACEC not designated. |
| Other | | | | ACEC not designated. |

Cottonwood Canyon potential ACEC

Values: Scenery, cultural resources, wildlife, and natural processes.

| Values and use limitations | Alt. A (no action) | Alt. B (preferred) | Alt. C | Alt. D |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Limitations: For alternative(s) where an ACEC would be designated, the management constraints are listed below. | | | | |
| Visual Resource Management (VRM). | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2,400 acres would be Class I where the ACEC overlaps with a WSA. 1,400 acres would be Class II. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3,700 acres would be Class I, where the ACEC overlaps with a WSA, suitable Wild and Scenic River segments, and lands with Wilderness Characteristics (WC). 100 acres would be Class II. 3,800 acres would be closed. | ACEC not designated. |
| OHV Designations | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3,800 acres would be limited to designated routes, and 4 miles of open routes. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Water Canyon Allotment would be unavailable for livestock grazing. 3,800 acres would be closed to new ROWs. 3,800 acres would be closed to leasing. | ACEC not designated. |
| Livestock Grazing | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Water Canyon Allotment would be unavailable for livestock grazing. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Water Canyon Allotment would be unavailable for livestock grazing. | ACEC not designated. |
| Rights Of Way (ROWS) | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3,800 acres would be closed to new ROWs. 3,800 acres would be closed to leasing. | ACEC not designated. |
| Oil and Gas Leasing | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1,400 acres would be open to leasing with major constraints (NSO). 2,400 acres where the ACEC overlaps a WSA would be closed to leasing. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3,800 acres would be proposed for withdrawal. 3,800 acres would be closed. Retain all lands and interests in land in Federal ownership. Work with the School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration (SITLA) to acquire state inholdings. | ACEC not designated. |
| Mineral Entry | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3,800 acres would be proposed for withdrawal. 3,800 acres would be closed. Retain all lands and interests in land in Federal ownership. Work with the School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration (SITLA) to acquire state inholdings. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3,800 acres would be proposed for withdrawal. 3,800 acres would be closed. Retain all lands and interests in land in Federal ownership. Work with SITLA to acquire state inholdings. | ACEC not designated. |
| Mineral Material Sales | ACEC not designated | | | ACEC not designated. |
| Other | ACEC not designated | | | ACEC not designated. |

Welsh's Milkweed potential ACEC

Values: Scenery, rare geologic feature, Coral Pink Sand Dunes tiger beetle, Welsh's Milkweed.

Limitations: For alternative(s) where an ACEC would be designated, the management constraints are listed below.

| | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| VRM | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1,250 acres would be Class I where the ACEC overlaps with a WSA. 50 acres would be Class II. | ACEC not designated. |
| OHV Designations | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1,300 acres would be closed to OHV designations. | ACEC not designated. |
| ROWS | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1,300 acres would be closed to new ROWs. | ACEC not designated. |
| Oil and Gas Leasing | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1,300 acres would be closed to leasing. | ACEC not designated. |
| Mineral Entry | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1,300 acres would be proposed for withdrawal. | ACEC not designated. |
| Mineral Material Sales | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1,300 acres would be closed. | ACEC not designated. |
| Forest Product Sales | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1,300 acres would be closed to forest product sales (woodcutting, posts, Christmas trees). | ACEC not designated. |

| Values and use limitations | Alt. A (no action) | Alt. B (preferred) | Alt. C | Alt. D |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Other | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retain all lands and interest in land in Federal ownership. • Close to wilding collection without a permit. • Prohibit motorized use in and through islands of vegetation in designated critical habitat for Welsh's Milkweed (790 acres). | ACEC not designated. |

Vermilion Cliffs potential ACEC

Values: Scenery, cultural resources, wildlife and natural process.

Limitations: For alternative(s) where an ACEC would be designated, the management constraints are listed below.

| | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| VRM | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 11,100 acres would be designated as Class I where the ACEC overlaps with WC. • 12,300 acres would be designated as Class II. • 11,100 acres would be closed (WC). • 12,300 acres would be limited to designated routes, and 49 miles of open routes. • 23,400 acres would be closed to new ROWs. • 12,300 acres would be open to leasing with major constraints (No Surface Occupancy (NSO)). • 11,100 acres would be closed to leasing: (WC). • 23,400 acres would be proposed for withdrawal. • Restrict climbing within spatial and seasonal buffers surrounding raptor nests. • Retain lands and interests in land in Federal ownership. Work with SITLA to acquire state inholdings. | ACEC not designated. |
| OHV Designations | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | | ACEC not designated. |
| ROWS | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | | ACEC not designated. |
| Oil and Gas Leasing | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | | ACEC not designated. |
| Mineral Entry | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | | ACEC not designated. |
| Other | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | | ACEC not designated. |

White Cliffs potential ACEC

Values: Scenery, cultural resources, wildlife and natural processes.

Limitations: For alternative(s) where an ACEC would be designated, the management constraints are listed below.

| | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| VRM | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 26,000 acres would be designated as Class I because it overlaps with WC. | ACEC not designated. |
| OHV Designations | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 26,000 acres would be closed because it overlaps with WC. | ACEC not designated. |
| ROWS | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 26,000 acres would be closed to new ROWs. | ACEC not designated. |
| Oil and Gas Leasing | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 26,000 acres would be closed to leasing because it overlaps with WC. | ACEC not designated. |
| Mineral Entry | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 26,000 acres would be proposed for withdrawal. | ACEC not designated. |

| Values and use limitations | Alt. A (no action) | Alt. B (preferred) | Alt. C | Alt. D |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Other | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Restrict climbing within spatial and seasonal buffers surrounding raptor nests. Retain lands and interests in land in Federal ownership. Work with SITLA to acquire state inholdings. | ACEC not designated. |

Parunuweep Canyon potential ACEC

Values: Scenery, cultural resources, and wildlife.

Limitations: For alternative(s) where an ACEC would be designated, the management constraints are listed below.

| | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| VRM | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 6,100 acres would be designated as Class I because it overlaps with a WSA. 6,100 acres would be closed because it overlaps with a WSA. Avoid new ROWs in 6,100 acres. 6,100 acres would be closed to leasing. 6,100 acres would be proposed for withdrawal. Limit camping associated with Special Recreation Permits (SRPs) to areas/sites identified during permitting. Regulate rock climbing within 300 feet of cultural sites. Climbing routes that impact cultural resource sites would not be allowed, and climbing routes designed to access cultural resource sites would not be allowed unless under permit for scientific investigation. Preclude SRP tours or visitation of sites without prior consultation/clearance with BLM archaeologists and other specialists. Restrict climbing within spatial and seasonal buffers surrounding raptor nests. Retain all lands and interest in lands in Federal ownership. | ACEC not designated. |
| OHV Designations | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | | ACEC not designated. |
| ROWS | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | | ACEC not designated. |
| Oil and Gas Leasing | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | | ACEC not designated. |
| Mineral Entry | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | | ACEC not designated. |
| Other | ACEC not designated | ACEC not designated | | ACEC not designated. |
| Total Acres Designated | 220 acres | 3,800 acres | 60,600 acres | 0 |

The application of the Federal coal unsuitability criteria to the Alton, Kaiparowits, and Kolob Coal Fields is included in Appendix F of the DRMP/DEIS. As required by 43 CFR 3461.2–1(a)(2), the public is invited to comment on the results of the application of the criteria and the process used to derive these results. The criteria are listed

under 43 CFR 3461.5. Additionally, any person who may be adversely affected by adoption of coal leasing decisions in the proposed plan may request a public

hearing on coal leasing potential before the DRMP/DEIS is finalized.

Jeff Rawson,

Utah Associate State Director.

[FR Doc. E7-20115 Filed 10-11-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-\$\$-P