

*Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):* \$0.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance):* \$0.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they also will become a matter of public record.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 7th day of September 2007.

**Kimberley Hill,**

*Acting Chief, Division of Management Systems, Bureau of Labor Statistics.*

[FR Doc. E7-17945 Filed 9-11-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-24-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment Standards Administration

#### Proposed Extension of the Approval of Information Collection Requirements

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)].

This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Employment Standards Administration is soliciting comments concerning its proposal to extend OMB approval of the information collection: Worker Information—Terms and Conditions of Employment (WH-516 English and WH-516 Espanol). A copy of the information collection request can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addresses section of this Notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addresses section below on or before November 13, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Ms. Hazel M. Bell, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Ave., NW., Room S-3201, Washington, DC 20210, telephone (202) 693-0418, fax (202) 693-1451, E-mail [bell.hazel@dol.gov](mailto:bell.hazel@dol.gov). Please use only one

method of transmission for comments (mail, fax, or E-mail).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

Various sections of the Migrant and Seasonal Agricultural Worker Protection Act (MSPA), 29 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*, require respondents (i.e., Farm Labor Contractors, Agricultural Employers, and Agricultural Associations) to disclose employment terms and conditions in writing to: (1) Migrant agricultural workers at the time of recruitment [MSPA section 201(a)]; (2) seasonal agricultural workers, upon request, at the time an offer of employment is made [MSPA section 301(a)(1)]; and (3) seasonal agricultural workers employed through a day-haul operation at the place of recruitment [MSPA section 301(a)(2)]. See 29 CFR 500.75-.76. Moreover, MSPA sections 201(b) and 301(b) require respondents to provide each migrant worker, upon request, with a written statement of the terms and conditions of employment. See 29 CFR 500.75(d). MSPA sections 201(g) and 301(f) require providing such information in English or, as necessary and reasonable, in a language common to the workers and that the DOL make forms available to provide such information. The DOL prints and makes Optional Form WH-516, Worker Information—Terms and Conditions of Employment, available for these purposes. See 29 CFR 500.75(a), 500.76(a).

MSPA sections 201(a)(8) and 301(a)(1)(H) require disclosure of certain information regarding whether State workers' compensation or state unemployment insurance is provided to each migrant or seasonal agricultural worker. See 29 CFR 500.75(b)(6). For example, if State workers' compensation is provided, the respondents must disclose the name of the State workers' compensation insurance carrier, the name of the policyholder of such insurance, the name and the telephone number of each person who must be notified of an injury or death, and the time period within which this notice must be given. See 29 CFR 500.75(b)(6)(i). Respondents may also meet this disclosure requirement by providing the worker with a photocopy of any notice regarding workers' compensation insurance required by law of the state in which such worker is employed. See 29 CFR 500.75(b)(6)(ii). Form WH-516 is an optional form that allows respondents to disclose employment terms and conditions in writing to migrant and seasonal agricultural workers as

required by the MSPA. Respondents may either complete the optional form and use it to make the required disclosures to the workers or use the form as a written reflection of the information workers may request from employers under the MSPA. Disclosure of the information on this form is beneficial to both parties in that it enables workers to understand their employment terms and conditions, while also providing respondents with an easy way to disclose the information required by the MSPA and the regulations. This information collection is currently approved for use through February 29, 2008.

##### II. Review Focus

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

##### III. Current Actions

The DOL seeks the approval for the extension of this currently approved information collection in order to carry out its responsibility to ensure that farm labor contractors, agricultural employers and agricultural associations have disclosed to their migrant and seasonal agricultural workers the terms and conditions of employment as required by the MSPA and its regulations.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection of information.

*Agency:* Employment Standards Administration.

*Title:* Worker Information—Terms and Conditions of Employment.

*OMB Number:* 1215-0187.

*Agency Number:* WH-516 English and WH-516 Espanol.

*Affected Public:* Farms, Individuals or households, Business or other for-profit.

*Total Respondents:* 129,250.

*Total Responses:* 3,102,000.

*Average Time per Response:* 1.5 minutes.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 77,550.

*Frequency:* On Occasion.

*Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):* \$0.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance):* \$93,060.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: September 6, 2007.

**Hazel M. Bell,**

*Acting Chief, Branch of Management Review and Internal Control, Division of Financial Management, Office of Management, Administration and Planning, Employment Standards Administration.*

[FR Doc. E7-17891 Filed 9-11-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-27-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-302]

### Carolina Power & Light Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-72 issued to the Carolina Power & Light Company (FPC, the licensee) for operation of the Crystal River Nuclear Plant, Unit No. 3 (CR-3), located in Citrus County, Florida.

The proposed amendment would change the Technical Specifications (TSs) related to low pressure injection, reactor building spray, decay heat closed cycle cooling water, and decay heat seawater systems to extend the allowable completion time associated with one inoperable train of these systems.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in Title 10

of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), section 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

Part of the proposed changes introduces a Condition for an inoperable LPI [low pressure injection] with an AOT [allowed outage time] of seven days, introduces another Condition for an inoperable BS train coincident with an inoperable Containment Cooling train with an AOT of 72 hours, and extends the AOT for one inoperable BS train, DC train, and/or RW train to seven days. These systems are not initiators for any accident previously evaluated. The consequences of an event during the extended Completion Time are no more severe than the consequences of the same event during the current Completion Time. Therefore, the consequences of an event previously analyzed are not increased, so the proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

Another part of the proposed changes eliminates second Completion Times from the CR-3 ITS [Improved TSs]. Second Completion Times are not an initiator to any accident previously evaluated. As a result, the probability of an accident previously evaluated is not affected. The consequences of an accident during the revised Completion Time are no different from the consequences of the same accident during the existing Completion Times. As a result, the consequences of an accident previously evaluated are not affected by this change. The proposed changes do not alter or prevent the ability of SSCs [structures, systems, or components] from performing their intended function to mitigate the consequences of an initiating event within the assumed acceptance limits. The proposed changes do not affect the source term, containment isolation, or radiological release assumptions used in evaluating the radiological consequences of an accident previously evaluated. Further, the proposed changes do not increase the types or amounts of radioactive effluent that may be released offsite, nor significantly increase individual or cumulative occupational/public radiation exposures. The proposed changes are consistent with the safety analysis assumptions and resultant consequences.

The proposed editorial/administrative changes remove obsolete information and provide clarification. These changes do not

affect any system that is an initiator for any accidents previously evaluated. The consequences of an accident previously evaluated are not affected. The proposed changes do not alter or prevent the ability of SSCs from performing their intended function to mitigate the consequences of an initiating event. The proposed editorial/administrative changes do not affect the source term, containment isolation, or radiological release assumptions used in evaluating the radiological consequences of an accident previously evaluated. Further, the proposed editorial/administrative changes do not increase the types or amounts of radioactive effluent that may be released offsite, nor significantly increase individual or cumulative occupational/public radiation exposures. The proposed changes are consistent with the safety analysis assumptions and resultant consequences.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes do not involve a physical alteration of the plant (i.e., no new or different type of equipment will be installed) or a change in the methods governing normal plant operation. These changes do not alter any assumptions made in the safety analysis.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. Does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

One part of the proposed changes introduces a Condition for an inoperable LPI with an AOT of seven days, introduces another Condition for an inoperable BS train coincident with an inoperable Containment Cooling train with an AOT of 72 hours, and extends the AOT for one inoperable BS train, DC train, and/or RW train to seven days. An evaluation presented in Reference 8.3, and accepted by the NRC, concluded that the extended Completion Time did not result in a significant reduction in the margin of safety. An analysis performed by FPC also drew the same conclusion. Therefore, extending the AOT to seven days for these components does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The proposed change to delete the second Completion Time from the CR-3 ITS does not alter the manner in which safety limits, limiting safety system settings or LCOs [limiting conditions for operation] are determined. The safety analysis acceptance criteria are not affected by this change. The proposed changes will not result in plant operation in a configuration outside of the design basis.

Similarly, the proposed editorial/administrative changes do not alter the manner in which safety limits, limiting safety system settings or LCOs are determined. The safety analysis acceptance criteria are not affected by this change. As such, the proposed editorial/administrative changes will not result in plant operation in a configuration outside of the design basis.