

Procedures Act or any other statute as indicated in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section above, it is not subject to the regulatory flexibility provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), or to sections 202 and 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) (Pub. L. 104–4). In addition, this action does not significantly or uniquely affect small governments or impose a significant intergovernmental mandate, as described in sections 203 and 204 of UMRA. This rule also does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), nor will it have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of governments, as specified by Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

This technical correction action does not involve technical standards; thus the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. The rule also does not involve special consideration of environmental justice related issues as required by Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994). In issuing this rule, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct, as required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996). EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 FR 8859, March 15, 1998) by examining the takings implications of the rule in accordance with the “Attorney General’s Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings” issued under the executive order. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

The Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*), as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a

copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. Section 808 allows the issuing agency to make a rule effective sooner than otherwise provided by the CRA if the agency makes a good cause finding that notice and public procedure are impracticable, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest. This determination must be supported by a brief statement. 5 U.S.C. 808(2). As stated previously, EPA had made such a good cause finding, including the reasons therefore, and established an effective date of September 10, 2007. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This correction to 40 CFR part 52 for Ohio is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: August 24, 2007.

**Richard C. Karl,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

- Part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 52—[AMENDED]

- 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

#### Subpart KK—Ohio

- 2. Section 52.1885 is amended by revising paragraph (ff)(2) to read as follows:

#### § 52.1885 Control strategy: Ozone.

\* \* \* \* \*

(ff) \* \* \*

(2) Belmont County, as submitted on June 20, 2006, and supplemented on August 24, 2006, and December 4, 2006. The maintenance plan establishes 2009 MVEBs for Belmont County of 2.60 tpd of VOC and 4.69 tpd of NO<sub>x</sub>, and 2018 MVEBs of 1.52 tpd of VOCs and 1.91 tpd of NO<sub>x</sub>.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. E7-17627 Filed 9-7-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## DEPARTMENT OF STATE

### 48 CFR Parts 639 and 652

**[Public Notice: 5929]**

**RIN 1400-AC31**

#### Department of State Acquisition Regulation

**AGENCY:** State Department.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule adds a solicitation provision and contract clause to the Department of State Acquisition Regulation (DOSAR) to implement Department of State requirements regarding security issues for information technology systems, as required by the Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002 (FISMA).

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* This rule is effective September 10, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gladys Gines, Procurement Analyst, Office of the Procurement Executive, 2201 C Street, NW., State Annex Number 6, Room 603, Washington, DC 20522-0602; telephone number: 703-516-1691; e-mail address: [ginesgg@state.gov](mailto:ginesgg@state.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department published a proposed rule, Public Notice 5836 at 72 FR 35023, June 26, 2007, with a request for comments. The rule was proposed to implement the information technology (IT) security policies of the Department for contracts that include information technology resources for services in which the contractor has physical or electronic access to Department information that directly supports the mission of the Department. The rule was discussed in detail in Public Notice 5836. No public comments were received. The Department is now promulgating a final rule with no changes from the proposed rule.

#### Regulatory Findings

#### Administrative Procedure Act

The Department of State does not consider this rule to be a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866, section 3(f), Regulatory Planning and Review. In addition, the Department is exempt from Executive Order 12866 except to the extent that it is promulgating regulations in conjunction with a domestic agency that are significant regulatory actions. The Department has nevertheless reviewed the regulation to ensure its consistency with the regulatory philosophy and

principles set forth in that Executive Order.

#### *Regulatory Flexibility Act*

The Department of State, in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 605(b)), has reviewed this regulation and, by approving it, certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### *Unfunded Mandates Act of 1995*

This rule will not result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more in any year and it will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments. Therefore, no actions were deemed necessary under the provisions of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995.

#### *Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996*

This rule is not a major rule as defined by section 804 of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Act of 1996. This rule will not result in an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more; a major increase in costs or prices; or significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based companies to compete with foreign based companies in domestic and import markets.

#### *Executive Order 12866*

The Department of State does not consider this rule to be a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866, section 3(f), Regulatory Planning and Review. In addition, the Department is exempt from Executive Order 12866 except to the extent that it is promulgating regulations in conjunction with a domestic agency that are significant regulatory actions. The Department has nevertheless reviewed the regulation to ensure its consistency with the regulatory philosophy and principles set forth in that Executive Order.

#### *Executive Order 12988—Civil Justice Reform*

The Department has reviewed this regulation in light of sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988 to eliminate ambiguity, minimize litigation, establish clear legal standards, and reduce burden.

#### *Executive Orders 12372 and 13132—Federalism*

This regulation will not have substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with section 6 of Executive Order 13132, it is determined that this rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to require consultations or warrant the preparation of a federalism summary impact statement. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities do not apply to this regulation.

#### *National Environmental Policy Act*

The Department has analyzed this regulation for the purpose of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4347) and has determined that it will not have any effect on the quality of the environment.

#### *Paperwork Reduction Act*

This rule does not impose any new reporting or recordkeeping requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

#### **List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 639 and 652**

Government procurement.

- Accordingly, for reasons set forth in the preamble, title 48, chapter 6 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:
- 1. The authority citation for 48 CFR parts 639 and 652 continue to read as follows:

**Authority:** 40 U.S.C. 486(c); 22 U.S.C. 2658.

#### **Subchapter F—Special Categories of Contracting**

#### **PART 639—ACQUISITION OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY**

- 2. A new Part 639, consisting of subpart 639.1, sections 639.107 and 639.107–70, is added to subchapter F as follows:

#### **PART 639—ACQUISITION OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY**

##### **Subpart 639.1—General**

###### **639.107 Contract clause.**

###### **639.107–70 DOSAR solicitation provision and contract clause.**

- (a) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 652.239–70,

Information Technology Security Plan and Accreditation, in solicitations that include information technology resources or services in which the contractor will have physical or electronic access to Department information that directly supports the mission of the Department.

(b) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 652.239–71, Security Requirements for Unclassified Information Technology Resources, in solicitations and contracts containing the provision at 652.239–70. The provision and clause shall not be inserted in solicitations and contracts for personal services with individuals.

#### **Subchapter H—Clauses and Forms**

#### **PART 652—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES**

- 3. Section 652.239–70 is added to read as follows:

##### **652.239–70 Information Technology Security Plan and Accreditation.**

As prescribed in 639.107–70(a), insert the following provision:

##### **Information Technology Security Plan and Accreditation (SEP 2007)**

All offers/bids submitted in response to this solicitation must address the approach for completing the security plan and certification and accreditation requirements as required by the clause at 652.239–71, Security Requirements for Unclassified Information Technology Resources.

(End of provision)

- 4. Section 652.239–71 is added to read as follows:

##### **652.239–71 Security Requirements for Unclassified Information Technology Resources.**

As prescribed in 639.107–70(b), insert the following clause:

##### **Security Requirements for Unclassified Information Technology Resources (SEP 2007)**

(a) **General.** The Contractor shall be responsible for information technology (IT) security, based on Department of State (DOS) risk assessments, for all systems connected to a Department of State (DOS) network or operated by the Contractor for DOS, regardless of location. This clause is applicable to all or any part of the contract that includes information technology resources or services in which the Contractor has physical or electronic access to DOS's information that directly supports the mission of DOS. The term “information technology”, as used in this clause, means any equipment, including telecommunications equipment, that is used in the automatic acquisition, storage, manipulation, management, movement, control, display, switching, interchange,

transmission, or reception of data or information. This includes both major applications and general support systems as defined by OMB Circular A-130. Examples of tasks that require security provisions include:

(1) Hosting of DOS e-Government sites or other IT operations;

(2) Acquisition, transmission or analysis of data owned by DOS with significant replacement cost should the Contractor's copy be corrupted; and

(3) Access to DOS general support systems/major applications at a level beyond that granted the general public; e.g., bypassing a firewall.

(b) *IT Security Plan.* The Contractor shall develop, provide, implement, and maintain an IT Security Plan. This plan shall describe the processes and procedures that will be followed to ensure appropriate security of IT resources that are developed, processed, or used under this contract. The plan shall describe those parts of the contract to which this clause applies. The Contractor's IT Security Plan shall comply with applicable Federal laws that include, but are not limited to, 40 U.S.C. 11331, the Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA) of 2002, and the E-Government Act of 2002. The plan shall meet IT security requirements in accordance with Federal and DOS policies and procedures, as they may be amended from time to time during the term of this contract that include, but are not limited to:

(1) OMB Circular A-130, Management of Federal Information Resources, Appendix III, Security of Federal Automated Information Resources;

(2) National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Guidelines (see NIST Special Publication 800-37, Guide for the Security Certification and Accreditation of Federal Information Technology Systems (<http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-37/SP800-37-final.pdf>)); and

(3) Department of State information security sections of the Foreign Affairs Manual (FAM) and Foreign Affairs Handbook (FAH) (<http://foia.state.gov/Regs/Search.asp>), specifically:

(i) 12 FAM 230, Personnel Security;

(ii) 12 FAM 500, Information Security (sections 540, 570, and 590);

(iii) 12 FAM 600, Information Security Technology (section 620, and portions of 650);

(iv) 5 FAM 1060, Information Assurance Management; and

(v) 5 FAH 11, Information Assurance Handbook.

(c) *Submittal of IT Security Plan.* Within 30 days after contract award, the Contractor shall submit the IT Security Plan to the Contracting Officer and Contracting Officer's Representative (COR) for acceptance. This plan shall be consistent with and further detail the approach contained in the contractor's proposal or sealed bid that resulted in the award of this contract and in compliance with the requirements stated in this clause. The plan, as accepted by the Contracting Officer and COR, shall be incorporated into the contract as a compliance document. The Contractor shall comply with the accepted plan.

(d) *Accreditation.* Within six (6) months after contract award, the Contractor shall

submit written proof of IT security accreditation for acceptance by the Contracting Officer. Such written proof may be furnished either by the Contractor or by a third party. Accreditation must be in accordance with NIST Special Publication 800-37. This accreditation will include a final security plan, risk assessment, security test and evaluation, and disaster recovery plan/continuity of operations plan. This accreditation, when accepted by the Contracting Officer, shall be incorporated into the contract as a compliance document, and shall include a final security plan, a risk assessment, security test and evaluation, and disaster recovery/continuity of operations plan. The Contractor shall comply with the accepted accreditation documentation.

(e) *Annual verification.* On an annual basis, the Contractor shall submit verification to the Contracting Officer that the IT Security Plan remains valid.

(f) *Warning notices.* The Contractor shall ensure that the following banners are displayed on all DOS systems (both public and private) operated by the Contractor prior to allowing anyone access to the system:

Government Warning

\*\*WARNING\*\*WARNING\*\*  
WARNING\*\*

Unauthorized access is a violation of U.S. law and Department of State policy, and may result in criminal or administrative penalties. Users shall not access other user's or system files without proper authority. Absence of access controls IS NOT authorization for access! DOS information systems and related equipment are intended for communication, transmission, processing and storage of U.S. Government information. These systems and equipment are subject to monitoring by law enforcement and authorized Department officials. Monitoring may result in the acquisition, recording, and analysis of all data being communicated, transmitted, processed or stored in this system by law enforcement and authorized Department officials. Use of this system constitutes consent to such monitoring.

\*\*WARNING\*\*WARNING\*\*  
WARNING\*\*

(g) *Privacy Act notification.* The Contractor shall ensure that the following banner is displayed on all DOS systems that contain Privacy Act information operated by the Contractor prior to allowing anyone access to the system:

This system contains information protected under the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-579). Any privacy information displayed on the screen or printed shall be protected from unauthorized disclosure. Employees who violate privacy safeguards may be subject to disciplinary actions, a fine of up to \$5,000, or both.

(h) *Privileged or limited privileged access.* Contractor personnel requiring privileged access or limited privileged access to systems operated by the Contractor for DOS or interconnected to a DOS network shall adhere to the specific contract security requirements contained within this contract and/or the Contract Security Classification Specification (DD Form 254).

(i) *Training.* The Contractor shall ensure that its employees performing under this contract receive annual IT security training in accordance with OMB circular A-130, FISMA, and NIST requirements, as they may be amended from time to time during the term of this contract, with a specific emphasis on rules of behavior.

(j) *Government access.* The Contractor shall afford the Government access to the Contractor's and subcontractor's facilities, installations, operations, documentation, databases and personnel used in performance of the contract. Access shall be provided to the extent required to carry out a program of IT inspection (to include vulnerability testing), investigation and audit to safeguard against threats and hazards to the integrity, availability and confidentiality of DOS data or to the function of information technology systems operated on behalf of DOS, and to preserve evidence of computer crime.

(k) *Subcontracts.* The Contractor shall incorporate the substance of this clause in all subcontracts that meet the conditions in paragraph (a) of this clause.

(l) *Notification regarding employees.* The Contractor shall immediately notify the Contracting Officer when an employee either begins or terminates employment when that employee has access to DOS information systems or data.

(m) *Termination.* Failure on the part of the Contractor to comply with the terms of this clause may result in termination of this contract.

(End of clause)

Dated: August 28, 2007.

**Corey M. Rindner,**

*Procurement Executive, Bureau of Administration, Department of State.*

[FR Doc. E7-17752 Filed 9-7-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4710-24-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### 50 CFR Part 679

[I.D. 041307D]

RIN 0648-AU68

### Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Allocating Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Area Fishery Resources; Notice of Amendment 80 Public Workshop

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notification of public workshop.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS will present a public workshop on the implementation of the Amendment 80 Program (Program) for potentially eligible participants and other interested parties. The Program