

is initiating scoping to determine if it should amend the Kingman RMP in accordance with 43 CFR 1610.5-5 to identify the above described lands as being eligible for actions under the authority of the R&PP Act. Planning criteria relevant to this proposal are found in the Kingman RMP.

Comments: The public is invited to provide comments regarding any concerns, issues, or proposed alternatives to the proposed Kingman RMP amendment.

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

Dated: August 8, 2007.

Wayne King,

Kingman Field Manager.

[FR Doc. E7-16337 Filed 8-17-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-32-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations and Related Actions

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing or related actions in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before August 3, 2007.

Pursuant to § 60.13 of 36 CFR Part 60 written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded by United States Postal Service, to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C St., NW., 2280, Washington, DC 20240; by all other carriers, National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1201 Eye St., NW., 8th floor, Washington, DC 20005; or by fax, 202-371-6447. Written

or faxed comments should be submitted by September 4, 2007.

J. Paul Loether,

*Chief, National Register of Historic Places/
National Historic Landmarks Program.*

CALIFORNIA

San Diego County

Cuyamaca Village, Address Restricted,
San Diego, 07000935.

GEORGIA

Fulton County

Apartments at 2 Collier Road, 2 Collier Rd., Atlanta, 07000937.

Apartments at 22-24 Collier Road, 22-24 Collier Rd., Atlanta, 07000938.

Spalding County

Marian Apartments, 400 W. Poplar St.,
Griffin, 07000936.

Talbot County

Stamper, Martin and Lucretia, House,
3224 Flint Hill Hwy (GA 85E), Shiloh,
07000939.

LOUISIANA

Orleans Parish

Broadmoor Historic District (Boundary Increase), Roughly bounded by Walmsley, S. White, Eden, and S. Jefferson Davis Pkwy, New Orleans,
07000940.

MARYLAND

Anne Arundel County

Freetown Rosenwald School,
(Rosenwald Schools of Anne Arundel County, Maryland MPS), 7825 Freetown Rd., Glen Burnie, 07000943.

Baltimore Independent city, Clifton Park, Bounded by Hartford Rd., Erdman Ave., Clifton Park Terrace, the Baltimore Relt RR and Sinclair Ln., Baltimore (Independent City),
07000941.

Highfield House, 4000 N. Charles St., Baltimore (Independent City),
07000942.

MASSACHUSETTS

Essex County

Essex Town Hall and TOHP Burnham Library, 30 Martin St., Essex,
07000946.

Middlesex County

Concord Armory, 51 Walden St.,
Concord, 07000945.

Norfolk County

Millis Center Historic District, Curve, Daniels, Exchange, Irving, Lavender, Main, Union Sts., Millis, 07000944.

MONTANA

Carbon County

Red Lodge Brewing Company—Red Lodge Canning Company, 904 N. Bonner St., Red Lodge, 07000947.

NEW HAMPSHIRE

Carroll County

Carroll County Court House, 20 Courthouse Square, Ossipee,
07000949.

Rockingham County

Smyth Public Library, 194 High St.,
Candia, 07000948.

NEW MEXICO

Santa Fe County

Arroyo Hondo Pueblo, Address Restricted, Santa Fe, 07000950.

NEW YORK

Kings County

75th Police Precinct Station House, 484 Liberty Ave., Brooklyn, 07000952.

PENNSYLVANIA

Philadelphia County

Biberman Building, 611-619 N. 15th St.,
Philadelphia, 07000951.

[FR Doc. E7-16240 Filed 8-17-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-61,429]

Burns Best, Inc.; Spooner, WI; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Burns Best, Inc., Spooner, Wisconsin. The application did not contain new information supporting a conclusion that the determination was erroneous, and also did not provide a justification for reconsideration of the determination that was based on either mistaken facts or a misinterpretation of facts or of the law. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-61,429; Burns Best, Inc., Spooner, Wisconsin (August 10, 2007).

Signed at Washington, DC, this 13th day of August 2007.

Ralph Dibattista,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-16282 Filed 8-17-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-60,859]

Eaton Corporation Aerospace Division Including Workers Whose Wages Are Reported Under FEID Number for Perkin Elmer Including On-Site Leased Workers From Aerotek, Kelly Services, Otterbase, and Adecco Phelps, New York and TA-W-60,859A Eaton Corporation, Aerospace Division Employee of Phelps, New York Working Out of Beltsville, Maryland; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on February 28, 2007, applicable to workers at Eaton Corporation, Aerospace Division, in Phelps, New York. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on March 14, 2007 (72 FR 11904).

At the request of a company official, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in the production of solenoid valves.

The company official reports that Ms. Susan Whitlege was an employee of the Eaton Corporation, Aerospace Division in Phelps, New York, and worked off-site at the company's Beltsville, Maryland facility. Ms. Whitlege was among the workers of the firm's Aerospace Division in Phelps, New York, who were separated from employment based on a shift in production of solenoid valves to Mexico.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Eaton Corporation, Aerospace Division, in Phelps, New York, who were adversely affected by the shift in production to Mexico.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to include

Ms. Whitlege, an employee of the Eaton Corporation, Aerospace Division in Phelps, New York, working out of Beltsville, Maryland.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-60,859 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Eaton Corporation, Aerospace Division, including workers whose wages were reported under FEID number for Perkin Elmer, including on-site leased workers from Aerotek, Kelly Services, Otterbase, and Adecco, Phelps, New York (TA-W-60,859), and an employee of Eaton Corporation Aerospace Division, Phelps, New York working out of Beltsville, Maryland (TA-W-60,859A), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after January 30, 2006 through February 28, 2009, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974; and

I further determine that all workers of Eaton Corporation, Aerospace Division, including workers whose wages were reported under FEID number for Perkin Elmer, including on-site leased workers from Aerotek, Kelly Services, Otterbase, and Adecco, Phelps, New York (TA-W-60,859), and an employee of Eaton Corporation Aerospace Division, Phelps, New York working out of Beltsville, Maryland (TA-W-60,859A), are denied eligibility to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 8th day of August 2007.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-16284 Filed 8-17-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-60,086]

Ford Motor Company Product Development and Engineering Center, Dearborn, MI; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

On May 24, 2007, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application on Reconsideration applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 30, 2007 (72 FR 30030).

The previous investigation initiated on September 14, 2006, resulted in a negative determination issued on March 15, 2007, was based on the finding that the subject worker group did not directly support production at the subject firm. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on March 30, 2007 (72 FR 15168).

In the request for reconsideration the petitioners allege that the petitioning group of workers was in direct support of manufacturing and assembly of Ford automobiles at various Ford Motor Company manufacturing facilities.

A company official was contacted to verify whether workers at the subject facility were supporting production at Ford Motor Company manufacturing facilities. The company official stated that workers of the subject facilities were in direct support of production at Ford Motor Company Atlanta Assembly Plant, Hapeville, Georgia (TA-W-59017), Ford Motor Company Norfolk Assembly Plant, Norfolk, Virginia (TA-W-60,367), Ford Motor Company Twin Cities Assembly Plant, St. Paul, Minnesota (TA-W-60,435), and Ford Motor Company St. Louis Assembly Plant, Hazelwood, Missouri, (TA-W-60,478) during the relevant period. All of the above mentioned production facilities were certified eligible for adjustment assistance during April through December 2006.

The investigation further revealed that employment at the subject firm declined during the relevant period.

In accordance with section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents the results of its investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) for older workers.

In order for the Department to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for ATAA, the group eligibility requirements of section 246 of the Trade Act must be met. The Department has determined in this case that the requirements of section 246 have been met.

A significant number of workers at the firm are age 50 or over and possess skills that are not easily transferable. Competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

Conclusion

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I determine that increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by Ford Motor Company contributed importantly to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm and to the decline in sales or production at that firm or subdivision. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Ford Motor Company, Product Development and Engineering Center, Dearborn, Michigan, who became totally or partially separated from