

www.regulations.gov, to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the docket, and to access those documents in the docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "docket search," then key in the docket ID number identified above. Please note that EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing at <http://www.regulations.gov> as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, Confidential Business Information (CBI), or other information whose public disclosure is restricted by statute. For further information about the electronic docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Title: NESHAP for Automobile and Light-duty Truck Surface Coating (Renewal).

ICR Numbers: EPA ICR Number 2045.03, OMB Control Number 2060-0550.

ICR Status: This ICR is scheduled to expire on August 31, 2007. Under OMB regulations, the Agency may continue to conduct or sponsor the collection of information while this submission is pending at OMB. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in title 40 of the CFR, after appearing in the **Federal Register** when approved, are listed in 40 CFR part 9, and displayed either by publication in the **Federal Register** or by other appropriate means, such as on the related collection instrument or form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers in certain EPA regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR part 9.

Abstract: Respondents are owners or operators of automobile and light-duty truck surface coating operations. Owners or operators of the affected facilities described must make initial reports when a source becomes subject to the standard, conduct and report on a performance test, demonstrate and report on continuous monitor performance, and maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility. Semiannual reports of excess emissions are required. These notifications, reports, and records are essential in determining compliance; and are required, in general, of all sources subject to National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP). Any owner or operator subject to the provisions of this part shall maintain a

file of these measurements, and retain the file for at least five years following the date of such measurements, maintenance reports, and records. All reports are sent to the delegated state or local authority. In the event that there is no such delegated authority, the reports are sent directly to the EPA regional office.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 91 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Owners or operators of automobile and light-duty truck surface coating operations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 65.

Frequency of Response: Initially, Semiannually, and On Occasion.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 25,190.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$2,321,787, which includes \$0 annualized Capital Startup costs, \$78,000 annualized Operating and Maintenance (O&M) costs, and \$2,243,787 annualized Labor costs.

Changes in the Estimates: There is an adjustment decrease of 8,247 hours in the total estimated burden and an increase in burden cost of \$71,000 as currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved Burdens. These adjustments are not due to any program changes. The changes in the burden and cost estimates have occurred because the standard has been in effect for more than three years and the requirements are different during initial compliance (new facilities) as compared to on-going compliance (existing facilities). The previous ICR reflected those burdens and costs associated with the initial compliance activities for subject facilities. Such activities include purchasing monitoring equipment,

conducting performance tests and establishing recordkeeping systems. This ICR reflects the on-going burden for existing facilities. Activities for existing sources include continuously monitoring of pollutants and the submission of semiannual reports. The overall result is a decrease in burden hours, and an increase in burden cost.

Dated: August 9, 2007.

Sara Hisel-McCoy,

Acting Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. E7-16229 Filed 8-16-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6690-1]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments Availability of EPA Comments Prepared Pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), Under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as Amended. Requests for Copies of EPA Comments Can Be Directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202-564-7167. An Explanation of the Ratings Assigned to Draft Environmental Impact Statements (EISs) Was Published in FR Dated April 6, 2007 (72 FR 17156)

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20070121, ERP No. D-FHW-J40176-UT, Hyde Park/North Logan Corridor Project, Proposed 200 East Transportation Corridor between North Logan City and Hyde Park, Funding, Right-of-Way Acquisitions and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Cache County, UT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the air impacts. EPA recommends an analysis of cumulative and multi-year construction air impacts, specifically for PM 2.5 and PM 10. EPA also requests further mitigation measures for construction emissions and diesel exhaust in close proximity to a school. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070141, ERP No. D-UAF-B15000-MA, Final Recommendations and Associated Actions for the 104th Fighter Wing Massachusetts Air National Guard, Base Realignment and Closure, Implementation, Westfield-Barnes Airport, Westfield, MA.

Summary: EPA encouraged the Air National Guard to work closely with the

host communities and the neighborhoods that will be impacted by noise increases from the project to specifically identify and explain the impacts and potential mitigation measures in the final EIS.

Rating EC1.

EIS No. 20070181, ERP No. D-FHW-40098-VT, Middlebury Spur Project, Improvements to the Freight Transportation System in the Town of Middlebury in Addison County to the Town of Pittsford in Rutland County, VT.

Summary: EPA requested additional information regarding the regional air emissions analysis and recommended that measures be implemented to reduce pollution from diesel engines. EPA also requested additional information regarding wetland impacts and mitigation.

Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070210, ERP No. D-USA-K11117-CA, Camp Parks Real Property Master Plan and Real Property Exchange, Provide Exceptional Training and Modern Facilities for Soldiers, Master Planned Development, Alameda and Contra Costa Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to air quality, recommended additional mitigation for air impacts, and requested additional information on impacts from increased training activities.

Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070229, ERP No. D-AFS-H65037-00, Nebraska and South Dakota Black-Tailed Prairie Dog Management, To Mange Prairie Dog Colonies in an Adaptive Fashion, Nebraska National Forest and Associated Units, Including Land and Resource Management Plan Amendment 3, Dawes, Sioux, Blaines Counties, NE and Custer, Fall River, Jackson, Pennington, Jones, Lyman, Stanley Counties, SD.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed action and supports alternative 5, as the environmentally preferable alternative because of reduced rates of pesticide use and less risk to non-target species.

Rating LO.

EIS No. 20070234, ERP No. D-FHW-G40194-TX, U.S. 290 Corridor, Propose to Construct Roadway Improvements from Farm-to-Market (FM) 2920 to Interstate Highway (IH) 610, Funding and Right-of-Way Grant, Harris County, TX.

Summary: EPA does not object to the preferred alternative.

Rating LO.

EIS No. 20070256, ERP No. D-AFS-L65539-00, Umatilla National Forest Invasive Plants Treatment, Propose to Treat Invasive Plants and Restore Treated Sites, Asotin, Columbia, Garfield, Walla Walla Counties, WA and Grant, Morrow, Umatilla, Union, Wallowa, Wheeler Counties, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the proposed project due to the potential to further degrade streams that are currently 303(d) list for temperature, sediment and other water quality criteria.

Rating EC2.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20070093, ERP No. F-CGD-K03027-CA, Cabrillo Port Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Deepwater Port, Construction and Operation an Offshore Floating Storage and Regasification Unit (FSRU), Application for License, Ventura and Los Angeles Counties, CA.

Summary: As a result of Governor Schwarzenegger's disapproval of the project on 5/18/07, any comments EPA might have had on the final EIS are considered to be moot and were not submitted.

EIS No. 20070134, ERP No. F-FHW-D40334-VA, I-81, Corridor Improvement Study in Virginia, Transportation Improvements from the Tennessee Border to the West Virginia Border, (Tier 1), Several Counties, VA and WV.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the impacts on the aquatic environment.

EIS No. 20070252, ERP No. F-USA-J11023-CO, Fort Carson Transformation Program, Implementation, Base Realignment and Closure Activities, Fort Carson, El Paso, Pueblo and Fremont Counties, CO.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concern about impacts not fully addressed by the proposed mitigation plan and recommend that the mitigation plan be strengthened.

EIS No. 20070265, ERP No. F-AFS-K65312-CA, Pilgrim Vegetation Management Project, Proposed Restoration of Forest Health and Ecosystem, Implementation, Shasta-Trinity National Forest, Siskiyou County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the possibility of inadvertent exposure to humans and non-target species to Sporangia, potential adverse effects to

snag-dependent and late-successional species, and road-related resource impacts.

EIS No. 20070271, ERP No. F-AFS-F65061-WI, Fishbone Project Area, Vegetation and Road Management, Implementation, Washburn Ranger District, Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest, Bayfield County, WI.

Summary: The Final EIS addressed EPA's previous concerns; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed action.

EIS No. 20070279, ERP No. F-AFS-L65475-WA, White Pass Expansion Master Development Plan, Implementation, Naches Ranger District, Okanogan-Wenatchee National Forests and Cowlitz Valley Ranger District, Gifford Pinchot National Forest, Yakima and Lewis Counties, WA.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns about impacts to riparian areas and habitat connectivity. EPA recommends that additional information regarding watershed protection, mitigation measures and monitoring, and potential skier visitation be considered in decisions as the project proceeds.

EIS No. 20070280, ERP No. F-USA-D11041-VA, Fort Belvoir 2005 Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Recommendations and Related Army Actions, Implementation, Fairfax County, VA.

Summary: The Army adequately addressed EPA's comments within the final EIS; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed action.

EIS No. 20070287, ERP No. F-USA-D15000-MD, Garrison Aberdeen Proving Ground, Base Realignment and Closure Actions, Realignment of Assets and Staff, Implementation, Harford and Baltimore Counties, MD.

Summary: EPA's previous concerns have been resolved; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed action.

Dated: August 14, 2007.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E7-16242 Filed 8-16-07; 8:45 am

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6689-9]

Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability.

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information, (202) 564-7167 or <http://www.epa.gov/>