

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Project No. 2030–113]

Portland General Electric Company; Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon; Notice of Application and Soliciting Comments, Motions to Intervene, and Protests

August 2, 2007.

Take notice that the following application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

a. *Application Type*: Shoreline Management Plan (SMP).

b. *Project No*: 2030–113.

c. *Date Filed*: July 11, 2007.

d. *Applicant*: Portland General Electric Company and the Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon (CTWS).

e. *Name of Project*: Pelton Round Butte Hydroelectric Project.

f. *Location*: The project is located on the Deschutes River in Jefferson County, Oregon. The project occupies 3,503.74 acres of federal and tribal lands administered by the U.S. Forest Service (USFS), U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM), and U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA).

g. *Filed Pursuant to*: Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)–825(r).

h. *Applicant Contact*: Ms. Julie A. Keil, Director of Hydro Licensing, Portland General Electric Company, 121 SW Salmon, Portland, OR 97204, (503) 464–8864.

i. *FERC Contact*: Any questions on this notice should be addressed to Lesley Kordella at (202) 502–6406, or by e-mail: lesley.kordella@ferc.gov.

j. *Deadline for filing comments and/or motions*: September 4, 2007.

All documents (original and eight copies) should be filed with: Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, DHAC, PJ–12.1, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. Please include the project number (p–2030–113) on any comments or motions filed. Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

k. *Description of Proposal*: The licensees submitted a revised SMP as required by article 428 of the project license. The SMP was developed to guide development and resource

protection on Lake Billy Chinook and Lake Simtustus. It is intended to provide a tool to manage new shoreline development within the project boundary, protect public health and safety, recognize existing uses of the shoreline, and achieve a balance of the interests of the licensees and private and commercial property owners and recreational users, while allowing the licensees to efficiently manage the project's power generating facilities and fulfill the project purposes.

l. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or call toll-free 1–866–208–3676, or for TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

m. Individuals desiring to be included on the Commission's mailing list should so indicate by writing to the Secretary of the Commission.

n. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

o. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "Comments," "Recommendations for Terms and Conditions," "Protest," or "Motion To Intervene," as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

p. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an

agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–15525 Filed 8–8–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. AD07–12–000]

Reliability Standard Compliance and Enforcement in Regions With Independent System Operators and Regional Transmission Organizations; Second Notice of Technical Conference

August 2, 2007.

As announced on June 15, 2007, the staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission will hold a technical conference in the above-referenced proceeding on September 18, 2007, at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington DC. It will be held in the Commission Meeting Room (Room 2C) from 9:30 a.m. until 1 p.m. (EDT).

All interested parties are invited.

The conference will explore issues associated with the cost recovery of penalties for Reliability Standard violations assessed against independent system operators (ISOs) and regional transmission organizations (RTOs) in the United States, as set forth in *Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.*, 119 FERC ¶ 61,222 (May 31, 2007) in Docket Nos. ER07–701–000 and AD07–12–000. In that Order, the following topics were identified for discussion:

1. How each ISO's or RTO's regional tariffs and other operational agreements and protocols allocate reliability responsibilities among the parties;

2. What provisions exist in those tariffs, agreements, and protocols to establish responsibility for penalty costs associated with Reliability Standard violations, and do those provisions result in uniform responsibility for such penalty costs;

3. What provisions exist that may prevent an entity from being registered for compliance with relevant Reliability Standards if, notwithstanding its registration status, such entity's failure to perform under tariffs, agreements, and protocols could lead to a violation of Reliability Standards; and

4. What policies for any pass-through of penalty costs associated with Reliability Standard violations by ISOs