

AMENDMENTS TO PFC APPROVALS—Continued

Amendment No. City, State	Amendment approved date	Original approved net PFC revenue	Amended approved net PFC revenue	Original estimated charge exp. date	Amended estimated charge exp. date
93-01-C-04-ILM, Wilmington, NC	07/12/07	1,669,168	1,526,487	09/01/96	09/01/96
97-02-U-01-ILM, Wilmington, NC	07/12/07	NA	NA	09/01/96	09/01/96
05-01-C-01-EAR, Kearney, NE	07/16/07	150,000	0	03/01/10	09-01/07
94-01-C-03-AVL, Asheville, NC	07/17/07	5,645,711	5,622,844	10/01/02	10/01/02
95-04-C-01-HSV, Huntsville, AL	07/18/07	16,174	22,676	02/01/03	02/01/03
04-02-C-01-RDU, Raleigh-Durham, NC	07/18/07	595,223,253	765,251,376	07/01/32	09/01/32
00-09-C-01-OAK, Oakland, CA	07/19/07	38,409,000	33,380,000	02/01/03	02/01/03
02-05-C-01-HDN, Hayden, CO	07/19/07	1,052,470	1,009,039	12/01/05	12/01/05
03-07-C-02-RNO, Reno, NV	07/19/07	9,426,597	5,556,400	12/01/04	12/01/04
*93-01-I-01-CWA, Mosinee, WI	07/19/07	7,725,600	7,725,600	11/01/12	07/01/10
97-02-U-01-CWA, Mosinee, WI	07/19/07	NA	NA	11/01/12	07/01/10
*97-03-C-01-CWA, Mosinee, WI	07/19/07	3,529,500	3,529,500	07/01/19	04/01/16
98-03-C-02-FAR, Fargo, ND	07/20/07	1,468,938	1,468,928	07/01/02	08/01/02
01-05-C-01-FAR, Fargo, ND	07/20/07	1,387,328	1,475,152	07/01/04	07/01/04
92-01-I-01-STT, St. Thomas, VI	07/24/07	3,871,005	3,808,574	08/01/95	08/01/95
94-02-U-01-STT, St. Thomas, VI	07/24/07	NA	NA	08/01/95	08/01/95
96-04-U-01-STT, St. Thomas, VI	07/24/07	NA	NA	08/01/95	08/01/95
97-02-C-02-ERI, Erie, PA	07/25/07	1,216,914	1,216,914	05/01/01	05/01/01

NOTES: The amendments denoted by an asterisk (\*) include a change to the PFC level charged from \$3.00 per enplaned passenger to \$4.50 per enplaned passenger. For Mosinee, WI, this change is effective on September 1, 2007.

Issued in Washington, DC on July 31, 2007.  
**Joe Hebert,**  
*Manager, Financial Analysis and Passenger Facility Charge Branch.*  
 [FR Doc. 07-3816 Filed 08-2-07; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4910-13-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Federal Highway Administration**

**Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on Proposed Highway in California**

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.  
**ACTION:** Notice of Limitation on Claims for Judicial Review of Actions by FHWA and Other Federal Agencies.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces actions taken by the FHWA and other Federal agencies that are final within the meaning of 23 U.S.C. 139(j)(1). *The actions relate to:* the proposed State Route 46 highway project, in the City of Wasco between Kilo Posts 74.03/82.43 (Post Miles 46.00/51.22) in Kern County, State of California; and, the proposed Westside Parkway Project between Heath Road and SR-99 in Bakersfield, Kern County, State of California. Those actions grant approvals for the two proposed projects.  
**DATES:** By this notice, the FHWA is advising the public of final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(j)(1). A claim seeking judicial review of the Federal agency actions on the highway project will be barred unless the claim is filed on or before January 30, 2008. If the Federal law that authorizes

judicial review of a claim provides a time period of less than 180 days for filing such claim, then that shorter time period still applies.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mayela Sosa, Project Development Team Leader, Federal Highway Administration, 650 Capitol Mall, Suite 4-100, Sacramento, CA 95814; weekdays 7 a.m. to 4 p.m.(Pacific time); telephone (916) 498-5057; e-mail: [mayela.sosa@fhwa.dot.gov](mailto:mayela.sosa@fhwa.dot.gov). Juergen Vespermann, Senior Environmental Planner, California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), 2015 E. Shields Avenue #100, Fresno, CA 93726; weekdays 7 a.m. to 4 p.m. (Pacific time); telephone (559) 243-8157; e-mail: [juergen\\_vespermann@dot.ca.gov](mailto:juergen_vespermann@dot.ca.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given that the FHWA and other Federal agencies have taken final agency actions by issuing approvals for the following highway projects in the State of California. It is proposed to widen State Route 46 from a two-lane conventional highway to a four-lane conventional highway, four-lane expressway, or combination of the two between the Jumper Avenue alignment (along the west side of the Wasco State Prison) and "J" Street (State Route 43-North), from kilometer posts 74.03 to 82.43 (post mile 46.00 to 51.22), in the City of Wasco in Kern County, California. Additionally, the Westside Parkway facility is proposed as an 8.1-mile-long eight-lane freeway from approximately Heath Road to a point near SR-99 at Truxtun Avenue in the

City of Bakersfield and an unincorporated portion of Kern County. The project would cross a number of existing features such as other roadways, canals, and the Kern River. The project also includes the extension of Mohawk Street south from Rosedale Highway, across the Kern River, to Truxtun Avenue. In addition to connecting to Stockdale Highway and Truxtun Avenue, interchanges would be provided at Allen Road, Calloway Drive, Coffee Road, and Mohawk Street. Grade-separations would be constructed at Jewetta Avenue and Renfro Road to carry local roadways over the Westside Parkway.  
 The actions by the Federal agencies and the laws under which such actions were taken on the proposed State Route 46 project are described in the Environmental Assessment (EA)/ Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the project, approved on November 14, 2006 and in other documents in the FHWA administrative record. For the Westside Parkway facility, the actions by the Federal agencies, and the laws under which such actions were taken, are described in the Tier 2 Environmental Assessment/Final Environmental Impact Report (EA/FEIR) with Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the project, approved on January 9, 2007 and in other documents in the FHWA administrative record. The EAs/FONSIs and other documents are available by contacting FHWA or Caltrans at the addresses provided above.  
*This notice applies to all Federal agency decisions as of the issuance date*

of this notice and all laws under which such actions were taken, including but not limited to:

1. *General*: National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) [42 U.S.C. 4321–4351]; and Federal-Aid Highway Act [23 U.S.C. 109 and 23 U.S.C. 128].

2. *Air*: Clean Air Act [42 U.S.C. 7401–7671(q)].

3. *Land*: Landscape and Scenic Enhancement (Wildflowers) [23 U.S.C. 319].

4. *Wetlands and Water Resources*: Safe Drinking Water Act [42 U.S.C. 300(f)–300(j)(6)]; and Wetlands Mitigation [23 U.S.C. 103(b)(6)(m) and 133(b)(11)].

5. *Wildlife*: Endangered Species Act [16 U.S.C. 1531–1544 and Section 1536]; Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act [16 U.S.C. 661–667(d)]; and Migratory Bird Treaty Act [16 U.S.C. 703–712].

6. *Historic and Cultural Resources*: Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended [16 U.S.C. 470(f) *et seq.*]; Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act [16 U.S.C. 469–469c]; Archaeological Resources Protection Act of 1979 [16 U.S.C. 470 *et seq.*]; and Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act [25 U.S.C. 3001–3013].

7. *Social and Economic*: Civil Rights Act of 1964 [42 U.S.C. 2000(d)–2000(d)(1)]; Farmland Protection Policy Act [7 U.S.C. 4201–4209]; and The Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Act of 1970, as amended.

8. *Hazardous Materials*: Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act [42 U.S.C. 9601–9675]; Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986; and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act [42 U.S.C. 6901–6992(k)].

9. *Executive Orders*: E.O. 11990 Protection of Wetlands; E.O. 11988 Floodplain Management; E.O. 12898 Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low Income Populations; E.O. 11593 Protection and Enhancement of the Cultural Environment; E.O. 13007 Indian Sacred Sites; E.O. 13287 Preserve America; 13175 Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments; E.O. 11514 Protection and Enhancement of Environmental Quality; and E.O. 13112 Invasive Species.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program)

**Authority:** 23 U.S.C. 139(I)(1).

Issued on: July 26, 2007.

**Maiser Khaled,**

*Director, Project Development and Environment, Sacramento, California.*

[FR Doc. E7–15098 Filed 8–2–07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-RY-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Railroad Administration

#### Petition for Waiver of Compliance

In accordance with Part 211 of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), notice is hereby given that the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) received a request for a waiver of compliance from certain requirements of its safety standards. The individual petition is described below, including the party seeking relief, the regulatory provisions involved, the nature of the relief being requested, and the petitioner's arguments in favor of relief.

#### Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority

[Docket Number FRA–2006–25040]

Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority (CMTA), located in Austin, TX, seeks a Conditional Test Waiver of compliance from certain parts of Title 49 of the CFR as part of its ongoing efforts to test and eventually commission new non-FRA compliant diesel multiple units for its start-up commuter rail service linking the City of Leander with downtown Austin, TX.

CMTA is seeking a permanent waiver of compliance from the provisions of 49 CFR Part 219, *Drug and Alcohol*; Part 221, *Rear End Marking Devices*; Part 223, *Safety Glazing Standards*; Part 225, *Accident and Incident Reporting*; Part 229, *Railroad Locomotive Safety Standards*; Part 231, *Railroad Safety Appliance Standards*; Part 238, *Passenger Equipment Safety Standards*; Part 239, *Passenger Train Emergency Preparedness*; and Part 240, *Qualification and Certification of Locomotive Engineers*. In order to begin revenue operation in the fall of 2008, CMTA would like this Conditional Test Waiver in order to meet the system commissioning and testing requirements of the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) System Safety and Security Certification process. Lastly, CMTA posits this Conditional Test Waiver is needed to successfully complete system integration, vehicle acceptance, training, and equipment familiarization. The testing will be conducted consistent with the operating principles of temporal separation set

forth in the original petition for permanent waiver. Interested parties are invited to participate in these proceedings by submitting written views, data, or comments. FRA does not anticipate scheduling a public hearing in connection with these proceedings since the facts do not appear to warrant a hearing. If any interested party desires an opportunity for oral comment, they should notify FRA, in writing, before the end of the comment period and specify the basis for their request.

All communication concerning these proceedings should identify the appropriate docket number (e.g., Waiver Petition Docket Number FRA–2006–25040) and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, DOT Docket Management Facility, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590.

Communications received within 30 days of the date of this notice will be considered by FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m.–5 p.m.) at the above facility. All documents in the public docket are also available for inspection and copying on the Internet at the docket facility's Web site at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

Issued in Washington, DC on July 30, 2007.

**Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,**

*Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development.*

[FR Doc. E7–15157 Filed 8–2–07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-06-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Railroad Administration

#### Petition for Waiver of Compliance

In accordance with Part 211 of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), notice is hereby given that the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) received a request for a waiver of compliance from certain requirements of its safety standards. The individual petition is described below, including the party seeking relief, the regulatory provisions involved, the nature of the relief being requested, and the petitioner's arguments in favor of relief.

#### Kansas City Southern Railway Company

[Docket Number FRA–2007–28700]

Kansas City Southern Railway Company (KCS) seeks a waiver of compliance from certain requirements