

Microscope, Model FP 5600/XX Titan Krios cryo-EM. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 72 FR 36961, July 6, 2007.

Docket Number: 07-039. Applicant: Regents of the University of California, Los Angeles, CA. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model FP 5600/30 Titan 80-300 S/TEM. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 72 FR 36961, July 6, 2007.

Docket Number: 07-043. Applicant: Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Technai G2 Spirit TWIN. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: See notice at 72 FR 36961, July 6, 2007.

Docket Number: 07-044. Applicant: Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Technai G2 Spirit TWIN. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 72 FR 36961, July 6, 2007.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. Reasons: Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated July 26, 2007.

**Faye Robinson,**

*Director.*

*Statutory Import Programs Staff.*

[FR Doc. E7-14926 Filed 8-1-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-570-911]

#### **Circular Welded Carbon Quality Steel Pipe from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 2, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Damian Felton or Nancy Decker, AD/

CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0133 and (202) 482-0196, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On June 27, 2007, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated the countervailing duty investigation of circular welded carbon quality steel pipe from the People's Republic of China. See *Notice of Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation: Circular Welded Carbon Quality Steel Pipe from the People's Republic of China*, 72 FR 36668 (July 5, 2007). Currently, the preliminary determination is due no later than August 31, 2007.

##### **Postponement of Due Date for Preliminary Determinations**

Section 703(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue the preliminary determination in a countervailing duty investigation within 65 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation. However, if the Department concludes that the parties concerned in the investigation are cooperating and determines that the investigation is extraordinarily complicated, section 703(c)(1)(B)(i) of the Act allows the Department to postpone making the preliminary determination until no later than the 130 days after the date on which the administering authority initiated the investigation.

The Department concludes that, thus far, the parties concerned are cooperating. Furthermore, due to the complexity of the alleged countervailable subsidy practices being investigated, which include provision of goods or services for less than adequate remuneration and government restraints on exports, it is not practicable to complete the preliminary determination of this investigation within the original time limit (i.e., August 31, 2007). Therefore, in accordance with section 703(c)(1)(B)(i) of the Act, we are fully extending the due date for the preliminary determination to no later than 130 days after the day on which the investigation was initiated. As this deadline falls on a weekend, however, the fully extended deadline is the next business day, November 5, 2007.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 703(c)(2) of the Act.

Dated: July 26, 2007.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E7-15032 Filed 8-1-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-533-821]

#### **Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Products from India: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 2, 2007.

##### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Gayle Longest or Robert Copyak, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3338 or (202) 482-2209, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On February 2, 2007, the U.S. Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from the India covering the period of review January 1, 2006, through December 31, 2006. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 72 FR 5005 (February 2, 2007). The preliminary results are currently due no later than September 4, 2007.

##### **Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order or finding for which a review is requested. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act further states that if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period specified, the administering authority may extend the 245-day period to issue its preliminary results by up to 120 days.

Due to new subsidy allegations and the large number of companies and programs in this administrative review, we have determined that it is not