

process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Steven Smith, Bureau of Justice Statistics, 810 Seventh St., NW., Washington, DC 20531.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies' estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

**Overview of This Information Collection**

- (1) *Type of Information Collection:* Proposed Collection.
- (2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* 2007 Census of Law Enforcement Aviation Units.
- (3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the*

*Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Not applicable.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Federal, State, and Local Government. This information collection is a census of State and local law enforcement agency aviation units. The census will provide detailed statistics on the operations, personnel, expenditures, equipment, and other information about these important units.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* It is estimated that 250 respondents will complete a one-hour questionnaire.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are an estimated 250 total annual burden hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 27, 2007.

**Lynn Bryant,**  
*Department Clearance Officer, Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. E7-14949 Filed 8-1-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-18-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**Employment and Training Administration**

**Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance**

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under section 221(a)

of the Trade Act of 1974 (“the Act”) and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than August 13, 2007.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than August 13, 2007.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room C-5311, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 24th day of July 2007.

**Richard Church,**  
*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

**APPENDIX—TAA**

[petitions instituted between 7/16/07 and 7/20/07]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
61828	Freightliner (Wkrs)	Cleveland, NC	07/16/07	07/13/07
61829	Crane Plumbing LL (State)	Dallas, TX	07/16/07	07/12/07
61830	Renfro-Charleston Hosiery (Wkrs)	Fort Payne, AL	07/16/07	07/16/07
61831	CVG-Global Truck Division, Seattle 031 (Comp)	Seattle, WA	07/16/07	07/13/07
61832	Magnecomp Corporation (Wkrs)	Temecula, CA	07/16/07	07/10/07
61833	Chapin Watermatics, Inc. (Wkrs)	Watertown, NY	07/17/07	07/16/07
61834	Slinger Manufacturing Company (AFLCIO)	Slinger, WI	07/17/07	07/16/07
61835	Caraustar Mill Group (Comp)	Sinking Spring, PA	07/18/07	07/18/07
61836	Hutchinson FTS Inc. (Comp)	Quincy, MI	07/18/07	07/17/07
61837	St. Paul Metalcraft (State)	Arden Hills, MN	07/18/07	07/17/07
61838	Tyler Pipe (USW)	Tyler, TX	07/18/07	07/16/07
61839	AstenJohnson, Inc. (Comp)	Walterboro, SC	07/19/07	07/18/07
61840	Converse Industries, Inc. (Wkrs)	Kenosha, WI	07/19/07	07/18/07

APPENDIX—TAA—Continued  
[petitions instituted between 7/16/07 and 7/20/07]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
61841 .....	Kay Home Products (Wkrs) .....	Antioch, IL .....	07/19/07	07/18/07
61842 .....	Seton Company (Comp) .....	Saxton, PA .....	07/20/07	07/19/07
61843 .....	Kraft Foods Global, Inc (Comp) .....	Rochelle, IL .....	07/20/07	07/19/07
61844 .....	Carter-Pertaine Inc. (State) .....	Houston, TX .....	07/20/07	07/13/07
61845 .....	NYC American, Inc. (Wkrs) .....	Brooklyn, NY .....	07/20/07	07/19/07
61846 .....	Tingstol (Wkrs) .....	Elk Grove Village, IL ...	07/20/07	07/03/07
61847 .....	Cedar Ideas (Comp) .....	Oakfield, ME .....	07/20/07	07/19/07
61848 .....	Kentucky Derby Hosiery/Gildan—Plant 4 and Fowler Rd. (Comp).	Mt Airy, NC .....	07/20/07	07/18/07
61849 .....	Ada Gage (Comp) .....	Ada, MI .....	07/20/07	07/19/07
61850 .....	Southern Loom Reed (Comp) .....	Gaffney, SC .....	07/20/07	07/13/07
61851 .....	Bosch Security System (IBEW) .....	Lancaster, PA .....	07/20/07	07/19/07
61852 .....	Schnadig Corporation (Comp) .....	Montoursville, PA .....	07/20/07	07/12/07

[FR Doc. E7-14996 Filed 8-1-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,984]

#### Independent Steel Castings Company, New Buffalo, MI; Notice of Revised Determination of Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on Remand

On July 10, 2007, the U.S. Court of International Trade (USCIT) remanded to the U.S. Department of Labor (Department) *Former Employees of Independent Steel Castings Company, Inc. v. United States Department of Labor*, Court No. 06-00338. In its order, the USCIT directed the Department to acquire additional information on criterion two of the Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) program (whether the adversely affected workers in the petitioning workers' firm possess job skills that are not easily transferable to other employment).

The Department's determination regarding the subject workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and ineligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) was issued on June 16, 2006. The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on July 14, 2006 (71 FR 40157). The determination stated that of the three criteria used to assess eligibility for ATAA —(1) Significant number of adversely affected workers age 50 or over; (2) whether workers possess skills that are easily transferable; and (3) whether competitive conditions within the workers' industry are adverse—workers at the subject firm had not satisfied the

second criterion because they possessed skills that were easily transferable. The subject workers had been engaged in the production of investment castings (i.e. steel, aluminum and bronze castings). The subject firm closed May 2005.

The petitioner, the International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America (UAW), requested administrative reconsideration of the negative ATAA determination. The request alleged that the county in which the subject firm is located "has not seen any significant employment growth in the last four years" and has a high unemployment rate.

By a letter dated July 31, 2006, the Department denied the request for reconsideration, stating that the UAW had not presented evidence that the Department had erred in its interpretation of facts or of the law. The letter also outlined the Department's ATAA investigation methodology—Training and Employment Guidance Letter No. 2-03 (TEGL 2-03)—and stated that the UAW's allegations were insufficient to satisfy ATAA criterion two (whether workers possess skills that are easily transferable).

In the complaint, the Plaintiffs alleged that the separated workers did not possess skills that are easily transferable; that the Department "relied on conclusory assertions" provided by the subject firm "while ignoring evidence presented by the Union;" and that the Department "relied on unverified information" provided by the subject firm official.

While the USCIT upheld the Department's position that it was reasonable for the Department to rely on information provided by a knowledgeable subject firm official, the USCIT found that the Department's conclusion on ATAA criterion two was not supported by substantial evidence.

Accordingly, the USCIT remanded the matter to the Department for further investigation and a redetermination of the subject workers' ATAA eligibility.

During the remand investigation, the Department contacted the Plaintiffs' counsel to obtain the position descriptions and lists of skill sets of each of the separated workers. The Department also attempted to contact the subject firm official who provided the Department information during the initial investigation to obtain more information regarding the workers' skills and the skills required to gain new employment in the New Buffalo, Michigan local commuting area. In addition, the Department surveyed companies in the New Buffalo, Michigan local commuting area to determine whether their jobs required the same skills as those which the subject workers possessed.

As a result of the remand investigation, the Department finds that workers at the subject firm do not possess skills that are easily transferable. Accordingly, the workers have satisfied criterion two. Further, the Department finds that at least five percent of the workforce at the subject firm is at least fifty years of age and that competitive conditions within the industry are adverse. Therefore, the Department has concluded that all three ATAA criteria have been met.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on remand, I conclude that the requirements of section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, have been met for workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

"All workers of Independent Steel Castings Company, New Buffalo, Michigan, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after March 2, 2005