

Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on April 11, 2006, applicable to workers of MRC Industrial Group, Warren, Michigan. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on April 24, 2006 (71 FR 21044).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm.

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information shows that a worker separation occurred involving an on-site contract employee of the Warren, Michigan facility of MRC Industrial Group.

Mr. Norman Gerald Hilliker, Jr. provided various consulting services for the production of precision fasteners, nuts, rivets and pins for motor vehicles product by the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include a contract employee working on-site at the Warren, Michigan location of the subject firm.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers employed on-site at MRC Industrial Group, Warren, Michigan who were adversely affected by increased company imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-59,140 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of MRC Industrial Group, including an on-site contract employee, Warren, Michigan, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after March 30, 2005, through April 11, 2008, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC, this 17th day of May 2007.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-14233 Filed 7-23-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-61,699]

Prelude Foam Products, Inc., Thomasville, NC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on June 18, 2007 in response

to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Prelude Foam Products, Inc., Thomasville, North Carolina.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 13th day of July 2007.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-14218 Filed 7-23-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-61,441]

Reitter & Schefenacker USA LP Lighting Division Including On-Site Leased Workers of Kelly Services, Hamilton-Ryker and Manpower, Selmer, TN; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on July 5, 2007, applicable to workers of Reitter & Schefenacker USA LP, Lighting Division, including on-site leased workers of Kelly Services and Hamilton-Ryker, Selmer, Tennessee. The notice will be published soon in the **Federal Register**.

At the request of a company official, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in the production of automobile turn signals and tail lights.

New information shows that leased workers of Manpower were employed on-site at the Selmer, Tennessee location of Reitter & Schefenacker USA LC, Lighting Division.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include leased workers of Manpower working on-site at Reitter & Schefenacker USA LP, Lighting Division, Selmer, Tennessee.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers employed at Reitter & Schefenacker USA LP, Lighting Division, Selmer,

Tennessee who were adversely affected by a shift in production to Mexico.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-61,441 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Reitter & Schefenacker USA LP, Lighting Division, including on-site leased workers of Kelly Services, Hamilton-Ryker and Manpower, Selmer, Tennessee, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 2, 2006, through July 5, 2009, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC, this 17th day of July 2007.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-14223 Filed 7-23-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-61,764]

Victor Forstmann, Inc., East Dublin, GA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on June 29, 2007 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Victor Forstmann, Inc., East Dublin, Georgia.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 12th day of July 2007.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-14225 Filed 7-23-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-61,488]

Webb Furniture Plant #1, Galax, VA; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at

Webb Furniture Plant #1, Galax, Virginia. The application did not contain new information supporting a conclusion that the determination was erroneous, and also did not provide a justification for reconsideration of the determination that was based on either mistaken facts or a misinterpretation of facts or of the law. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-61,488; Webb Furniture Plant #1, Galax, Virginia (July 13, 2007)

Signed at Washington, DC, this 18th day of July 2007.

Richard Church,
Certifying Officer Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-14231 Filed 7-23-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. OSHA-2007-0059]

Concrete and Masonry Construction; Extension of the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) Approval of Information Collection (Paperwork) Requirements

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Request for public comment.

SUMMARY: OSHA solicits comments concerning its proposal to extend OMB approval of the Information Collection requirements contained in the Standard on Concrete and Masonry Construction (29 CFR part 1926, subpart Q). This Subpart protects employees who construct, erect, brace, maintain, remove, or perform similar tasks on concrete or masonry structures.

DATES: Comments must be submitted (postmarked, sent, or received) by September 24, 2007.

ADDRESSES:

Electronically: You may submit comments and attachments electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov>, which is the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Follow the instructions online for submitting comments.

Facsimile: If your comments, including attachments, are not longer than 10 pages, you may fax them to the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693-1648.

Mail, hand delivery, express mail, messenger, or courier service: When using this method, you must submit three copies of your comments and attachments to the OSHA Docket Office, Docket No. OSHA-2007-0059, U.S.

Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room N-2625, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210. Deliveries (hand, express mail, messenger, and courier service) are accepted during the Department of Labor's and Docket Office's normal business hours, 8:15 a.m. to 4:45 p.m., e.t.

Instructions: All submissions must include the Agency name and OSHA docket number for the ICR (OSHA-2007-0059). All comments, including any personal information you provide, are placed in the public docket without change, and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>. For further information on submitting comments see the "Public Participation" heading in the section of this notice titled **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

Docket: To read or download comments or other material in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov> or the OSHA Docket Office at the address above. All documents in the docket (including this **Federal Register** notice) are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index; however, some information (e.g., copyrighted material) is not publicly available to read or download through the Web site. All submissions, including copyrighted material, are available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office. You may also contact Stewart Burkhammer at the address below to obtain a copy of the ICR.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Stewart Burkhammer, Directorate of Construction, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-3468, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693-2020.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent (*i.e.*, employer) burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing information collection requirements in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program ensures that information is in the desired format, reporting burden (time and costs) is minimal, collection instruments are clearly understood, and OSHA's estimate of the information collection burden is accurate. The Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (the OSH Act) (29 U.S.C. 651 *et seq.*) authorizes information collection

by employers as necessary or appropriate for enforcement of the OSH Act or for developing information regarding the causes and prevention of occupational injuries, illnesses, and accidents (29 U.S.C. 657). The OSH Act also requires that OSHA obtain such information with minimum burden upon employers, especially those operating small businesses, and to reduce to the maximum extent feasible unnecessary duplication of efforts in obtaining information (29 U.S.C. 657). The information collection requirements, and their rationale, contained in 29 CFR part 1926, subpart Q Concrete and Masonry Construction are listed below.

Paragraph (c)(2) of § 1926.701 requires that signs and barriers be erected to limit employee access to the post-tensioning area during tensioning operations. Paragraphs (a)(2), (j)(1), and (j)(2) warn equipment operators not to activate their equipment if another employee enters the area to perform a task (*e.g.*, cleaning, inspecting, maintenance, repairing), thereby preventing serious injury or death.

Paragraph (a)(2) of § 1926.703 requires employers to make available, at the jobsite, drawings or plans for: The jack layout, formwork (including shoring equipment), working decks, and scaffolds, as well as any revisions to these documents. Paragraph (a) of § 1926.705 requires employers engaged in lift-slab operations to have specific designs and plans detailing the lift-slab operation. Drawings, plans and/or designs are developed and kept available at the jobsite as a usual and customary business practice to be used by the various contractors during construction; therefore, OSHA assumes there are no burden hours or costs associated with preparing drawings, plans, or designs and having them at the jobsite.

Section 1926.705(b) requires that jacks used for lifting operations be marked to indicate their rated capacity. Manufacturers of jacks rate the equipment as a usual and customary practice; therefore, OSHA assumes there are no burden hours or costs to employers for these marking requirements.

II. Special Issues for Comment

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the proposed information collection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency's functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of OSHA's estimate of the burden (time and costs) of the