

FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (*Assessment Policy Notice*). This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the period of review produced by companies included in these final results of reviews for which the reviewed companies did not know that the merchandise it sold to the intermediary (e.g., a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediary involved in the transaction. See *Assessment Policy Notice* for a full discussion of this clarification.

Cash Deposit Requirements

To calculate the cash deposit rate for each producer and/or exporter included in this administrative review, we divided the total dumping margins for each company by the total net value for that company's sales during the review period.

The following deposit rates will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of wire rod from Trinidad and Tobago entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for Mittal will be the rate established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.5 percent and, therefore, *de minimis*, the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent final results in which that manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value ("LTFV") investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent final results for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and, (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be 11.40 percent, the "All Others" rate established in the LTFV investigation. See *Wire Rod Orders*.

These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR

351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and increase the subsequent assessment of the antidumping duties by the amount of antidumping duties reimbursed.

These preliminary results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 29, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-13134 Filed 7-5-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-809]

Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From India

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 6, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Baker or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2924 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 7, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the 2005-2006 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain forged stainless steel flanges (stainless steel flanges) from India. See *Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges from India; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission and Intent to Rescind*, 72 FR 10142 (March 7, 2007). The review covers the period from February 1, 2005 through January 31, 2006, and three manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States: Echjay Forgings Pvt. Ltd., Shree Ganesh Forgings, Ltd., and Rollwell

Forge, Ltd. (Rollwell). In the preliminary results we stated that we would issue our final results for the antidumping duty review no later than 120 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results (i.e., July 5, 2007).

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

The Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), at section 751(a)(3)(A), states that if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time specified, the administering authority may extend the 120-day period, following the date of the publication of the preliminary results, to issue its final results by an additional 60 days. Due to the complexity of the issues raised in this review, which necessitated issuing an additional supplemental questionnaire to Rollwell following issuance of the preliminary results, and the corresponding necessity to analyze the response and comments, the completion of the final results within the 120-day period is not practicable.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for issuing the final results of review by an additional 30 days until August 4, 2007. Because August 4, 2007, falls on a Saturday, the final results will be due on August 6, 2007, the next business day.

Dated: June 28, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-13122 Filed 7-5-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-803]

Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Final Results and Partial Rescission of the 2005-2006 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles, from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 6, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Flessner or Robert James, AD/CVD Enforcement Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution

Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6312 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results and partial rescission of the 2005-2006 antidumping duty administrative review of heavy forged hand tools, finished or unfinished, with or without handles (Hand Tools), from the People's Republic of China (PRC) on March 8, 2007. See *Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles, From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of the 2005-2006 Administrative Reviews*, 72 FR 10492 (March 8, 2007). We received a case brief from respondent Shandong Machinery Import & Export Company (SMC) on April 9, 2007. Separate rebuttal briefs were received from both petitioners, Ames True Temper (Ames) and Council Tool Company (Council Tools) on April 16, 2007. On April 24, 2007, the Customs Unit of the Department forwarded certain U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) documents in response to our standard request. We placed these on the record of this review on April 24, 2007. See Memorandum to the File from Mark Flessner, Case Analyst, entitled "Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles, From the People's Republic of China (A-580-803): U.S. Entry Documents and Opportunity to Comment," dated April 24, 2007. SMC, Ames, and Council Tools filed comments concerning these CBP documents on May 9, 2007. SMC requested and was granted time to file a rebuttal to the Ames and Council Tools comments; SMC's rebuttal was received on May 16, 2007.

Extension of Time Limits for Final Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1), the Department shall issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Tariff Act further provides that the Department shall issue the final results of review within 120 days after the date on which the notice of the preliminary results was published in the **Federal Register**. However, if the Department determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2) allow the Department

to extend the 245-day period to 365 days and the 120-day period to 180 days.

Due to the addition of important new information to the record, the complexity of the issues involved, and the time required to analyze the numerous submissions and arguments raised in parties' briefs, the Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete these reviews within the original time period.

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h) allow the Department to extend the deadline for the final results of a review to a maximum of 180 days from the date on which the notice of the preliminary results was published. The current deadline for the final results is July 6, 2007. For the reasons noted above, the Department is extending the time limit for the completion of the final results for the 2005-2006 antidumping duty administrative review of Hand Tools from the PRC until no later than August 6, 2007, which is within 180 days from the date on which the notice of the preliminary results was published.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act.

Dated: June 28, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-13121 Filed 7-5-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-879]

Polyvinyl Alcohol from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony with Final Determination

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On May 30, 2007, the United States Court of International Trade ("Court") sustained the final remand determination made by the Department of Commerce ("the Department") pursuant to the Court's remand of the final determination of sales at less than fair value of polyvinyl alcohol from the People's Republic of China. See *Sinopec Sichuan Vinyln Works v. United States*, Court No. 03-00791, Slip Op. 07-88 (CIT May 30, 2007) ("*Sinopec IV*"). This case arises out of the Department's *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than*

Fair Value: Polyvinyl Alcohol from the People's Republic of China 68 FR 47538 (Aug. 11, 2003) ("*Final Determination*"), as amended by *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Polyvinyl Alcohol From the People's Republic of China* 68 FR 52183 (Sept. 2, 2003) ("*Amended Final Determination*"). The final judgment in this case was not in harmony with the Department's *Final Determination and Amended Final Determination*.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 6, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Hallie Noel Zink, AD/CVD Operations, China/NME Group, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6907.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In *Sinopec Sichuan Vinyln Works v. United States*, Slip Op. 06-191, 2006 WL 3929638 (CIT Dec. 28, 2006) (not reported in F. Supp.) ("*Sinopec III*"), the Court remanded the Department's calculation of Sinopec Sichuan Vinyln Works' ("SVW") overhead costs for adjustments that comport with the Department's estimation of double-counting, if any, that may have occurred. Additionally, the Court stated that the Department was to provide the Court with a well-reasoned explanation for its final decision.

On March 16, 2007, the Department issued the draft results of redetermination pursuant to remand ("draft results") for comment by interested parties. On March 23, 2007, SVW and Defendant-Intervenors¹ submitted comments in response to the Department's draft results of redetermination pursuant to remand. On April 14, 2007, the Department issued its final results of redetermination pursuant to remand to the Court. The remand redetermination explained that in accordance with the Court's instructions, the Department analyzed the information on the record and found no evidence on the record establishing the existence of double-counting. Therefore, the Department found that double-counting did not occur. Thus, for these final remand results, the Department applied Jubilant's² financial ratios to SVW's costs without any adjustment. Additionally, the Department provided the Court with further explanation with regard to its final decision, which was based upon the following findings: i) there is no

¹ Celanese Chemicals Ltd., and E.I. Dupont de Nemours & Co.

² Jubilant Organosys Ltd.'s (Jubilant).