

lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping.

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to liquidate without regard to dumping duties entries of the subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption on or after November 29, 2006 (the effective date of this revocation), and to discontinue collection of cash deposits of antidumping duties for entries of subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption on or after November 29, 2006.

This notice serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary material disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This sunset review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 20, 2007.

**David M. Spooner,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

#### **Appendix - Issues in Decision Memorandum**

1. Whether "other factors" require that the Department consider two recent World Trade Organization ("WTO") determinations with respect to zeroing
2. Whether the Department's conclusion in the April 9, 2007, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Section 129 Determinations" ("Final Section 129 Determination") to revoke the order undermines the validity of *Preliminary Results*
3. Whether the Department's implementation in "Final Section 129 Determination" of WTO rulings pertaining to zeroing undermines the validity of *Preliminary Results*
4. Whether the recalculated weighted-average margin of zero percent for Corus Staal in "Final Section 129 Determination" undermines the "likely margin to prevail" if the order were revoked that was referenced in *Preliminary Results*
5. Whether the Department may rely on the presumptions embodied in *Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871, 18872 (April 16, 1998) ("Sunset Review Policy Bulletin")

6. Whether the Department's decision in "Final Section 129 Determination" to revoke the order means that Corus Staal will not dump in the future

7. Whether *Sunset Review Policy Bulletin* presupposes a validly issued order and would not apply in the absence of a validly issued order

8. Whether the Department may rely on margins calculated in administrative reviews based on zeroing

9. Whether domestic producers' withdrawals of administrative review requests prevented meaningful analysis of import and margin trends.

10. The impact of the Section 201 tariffs on steel product imports.

11. The significance of declining margins and steady (or rising) imports [FR Doc. E7-12435 Filed 6-26-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **Patent and Trademark Office**

#### **Patent Term Extension**

**ACTION:** Proposed collection; comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the continuing information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before August 27, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- *E-mail:* Susan.Fawcett@uspto.gov. Include "0651-0020 comment" in the subject line of the message.
- *Fax:* 571-272-0112, marked to the attention of Susan Fawcett.
- *Mail:* Susan K. Fawcett, Records Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Customer Information Services Group, Public Information Services Division, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Requests for additional information should be directed to Robert A. Clarke, Deputy Director, Office of Patent Legal Administration, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450; by telephone at 571-272-7735; or by e-mail at [Robert.Clarke@uspto.gov](mailto:Robert.Clarke@uspto.gov).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

## **I. Abstract**

The Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act at 35 U.S.C. 156 permits the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) to restore the patent term lost due to certain types of regulatory review by the Federal Food and Drug Administration or the Department of Agriculture. Only patents for drug products, medical devices, food additives, and color additives are eligible for extension. The maximum length that a patent may be extended in order to restore the lost portion of the patent term is five years.

The USPTO may in some cases extend the term of an original patent due to certain delays in the prosecution of the patent application, including delays caused by interference proceedings, secrecy orders, or appellate review by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or a Federal court in which the patent is issued pursuant to a decision reversing an adverse determination of patentability. The patent term provisions of 35 U.S.C. 154(b), as amended by Title IV, Subtitle D of the Intellectual Property and Communications Omnibus Reform Act of 1999, require the USPTO to notify the applicant of the patent term adjustment in the notice of allowance and give the applicant an opportunity to request reconsideration of the USPTO's patent term adjustment determination. The USPTO may also reduce the amount of patent term adjustment granted if delays were caused by an applicant's failure to make a reasonable effort to respond within three months of the mailing date of a communication from the USPTO. Applicants may petition for reinstatement of a reduction in patent term adjustment with a showing that, in spite of all due care, the applicant was unable to respond to a communication from the USPTO within the three month period.

The USPTO administers 35 U.S.C. 154 and 156 through 37 CFR 1.701-1.791. These rules permit the public to submit applications to the USPTO to extend the term of a patent past its original expiration date, to request interim extensions and review of final eligibility decisions, and to withdraw an application requesting a patent term extension after it is submitted. Under 35 U.S.C. 156(d), an application for patent term extension must identify the approved product, the patent to be extended, the claims included in the patent for the approved product, and a method of use or manufacturing for the approved product. In addition, the application for patent term extension must provide a brief description of the

activities undertaken by the applicant during the regulatory review period with respect to the approved product and the significant dates of these activities.

The term of a patent which claims a product, a method of using a product, or a method of manufacturing a product shall be extended if the term of the patent has not expired before an application is submitted. The Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act requires that an application for patent term extension be filed with the USPTO within 60 days of the product receiving regulatory approval from the Federal Food and Drug Administration or the Department of Agriculture. Under 35 U.S.C. 156(e), an interim extension may be granted if the term of an eligible patent for which an application for patent term extension has been

submitted would expire before a certificate of extension is issued.

The information in this collection is used by the USPTO to consider whether an applicant is eligible for a patent term extension or reconsideration of a patent term adjustment and, if so, to determine the length of the patent term extension or adjustment. There are no forms associated with this collection.

## II. Method of Collection

By mail, facsimile, or hand delivery to the USPTO.

## III. Data

OMB Number: 0651-0020.

Form Number(s): None.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profits; not-for-profit institutions.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 26,859 responses per year.

*Estimated Time per Response:* The USPTO estimates that it will take the public from one to 25 hours, depending on the complexity of the situation, to gather the necessary information, prepare the appropriate documents, and submit the applications, requests, and petitions included in this collection.

*Estimated Total Annual Respondent Burden Hours:* 30,905 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Respondent Cost Burden:* \$9,395,120. The USPTO expects that the information in this collection will be prepared by attorneys. Using the professional rate of \$304 per hour for associate attorneys in private firms, the USPTO estimates that the respondent cost burden for submitting the information in this collection will be \$9,395,120 per year.

Item	Estimated time for response (in hours)	Estimated annual responses	Estimated annual burden hours
Application to Extend Patent Term under 35 U.S.C. 156 .....	25	50	1,250
Request for Interim Extension under 35 U.S.C. 156(e)(2) .....	1	1	1
Petition to Review Final Eligibility Decision under 37 CFR 1.750 .....	25	1	25
Initial Application for Interim Extension under 35 U.S.C. 156(d)(5) .....	20	1	20
Subsequent Application for Interim Extension under 37 CFR 1.790 .....	1	1	1
Response to Requirement to Elect .....	1	2	2
Response to Request to Identify Holder of Patent Term .....	2	1	2
Declaration to Withdraw an Application to Extend Patent Term .....	2	1	2
Petition for Reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment Determination .....	1	24,000	24,000
Petition for Reinstatement of Reduced Patent Term Adjustment .....	2	2,800	5,600
Petition to Accord a Filing Date to an Application under 37 CFR 1.740 for Extension of a Patent Term .....	2	1	2
Total .....	.....	26,859	30,905

*Estimated Total Annual Non-hour Respondent Cost Burden:* \$5,988,052. There are no capital start-up, maintenance, or recordkeeping costs associated with this information

collection. However, this collection does have annual (non-hour) costs in the form of filing fees and postage costs.

This collection has filing fees associated with the requirements for

patent term extension and patent term adjustment. The USPTO estimates that the total filing costs associated with this collection will be \$5,977,040 per year.

Item	Estimated annual responses	Fee amount	Estimated annual filing costs
Application to Extend Patent Term under 35 U.S.C. 156 .....	50	\$1,120	\$56,000
Request for Interim Extension under 35 U.S.C. 156(e)(2) .....	1	0	0
Petition to Review Final Eligibility Decision under 37 CFR 1.750 .....	1	0	0
Initial Application for Interim Extension under 35 U.S.C. 156(d)(5) .....	1	420	420
Subsequent Application for Interim Extension under 37 CFR 1.790 .....	1	220	220
Response to Requirement to Elect .....	2	0	0
Response to Request to Identify Holder of Patent Term .....	1	0	0
Declaration to Withdraw an Application to Extend Patent Term .....	1	0	0
Petition for Reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment Determination .....	24,000	200	4,800,000
Petition for Reinstatement of Reduced Patent Term Adjustment .....	2,800	400	1,120,000
Petition to Accord a Filing Date to an Application under 37 CFR 1.740 for Extension of a Patent Term .....	1	400	400
Total .....	26,859	.....	5,977,040.00

Customers may incur postage costs when submitting the information in this

collection to the USPTO by mail. The USPTO estimates that the average first-

class postage cost for a mailed submission will be 41 cents and that up

to 26,859 submissions will be mailed to the USPTO per year. The total estimated postage cost for this collection is \$11,012 per year.

The total non-hour respondent cost burden for this collection in the form of filing fees and postage costs is estimated to be \$5,988,052 per year.

#### IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, e.g., the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: June 20, 2007.

**Susan K. Fawcett,**

*Records Officer, USPTO, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Customer Information Services Group, Public Information Services Division.*

[FR Doc. E7-12410 Filed 6-26-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-16-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

##### Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

##### Chief of Engineers Environmental Advisory Board

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), announcement is made of the following committee meeting:

*Name of Committee:* Chief of Engineers Environmental Advisory Board (EAB).

*Topic:* The EAB will discuss national considerations related to ecosystem restoration through integrated water resources management.

*Date of Meeting:* July 18, 2007.

*Place:* Hotel Palomar, 2121 P Street, NW., Washington, DC.

*Time:* 9 a.m. to 12 p.m.

Thirty minutes will be set aside for public comment. Members of the public who wish to speak must register prior to the start of the meeting. Registration will begin at 8:30. Statements are limited to 3 minutes.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Rennie Sherman, Executive Secretary, *rennie.h.sherman@usace.army.mil* (202) 761-7771.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The EAB advises the Chief of Engineers by providing expert and independent advice on environmental issues facing the Corps of Engineers. The public meeting will include presentations by the EAB as well as by Corps staff. The meeting is open to the public, and public comment is tentatively scheduled for 30 minutes beginning at 11:15. Written statements may be submitted prior to the meeting or up to 30 days after the meeting to Ms. Sherman at

*rennie.h.sherman@usace.army.mil*.

**Brenda S. Bowen,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 07-3152 Filed 6-26-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-92-M**

#### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

##### Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

##### Inland Waterways Users Board

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), announcement is made of the forthcoming meeting.

*Name of Committee:* Inland Waterways Users Board (Board).

*Date:* July 31, 2007.

*Location:* Holiday Inn Louisville-Downtown, 120 West Broadway, Louisville, KY 40202, (502) 582-2241.

*Time:* Registration will begin at 8:30 a.m. and the meeting is scheduled to adjourn at 1 p.m.

*Agenda:* The Board will hear briefings on the status of both the funding for inland navigation projects and studies, and the Inland Waterways Trust Fund, and be provided updates of various inland waterways projects.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Mark R. Pointon, Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, CECW-CO, 441 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20314-1000; Ph: (202) 761-4258.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting is open to the public. Any

interested person may attend, appear before, or file statements with the committee at the time and in the manner permitted by the committee.

**Brenda S. Bown,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 07-3151 Filed 6-26-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-92-M**

#### DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

##### Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed information collection requests.

**SUMMARY:** The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** An emergency review has been requested in accordance with the Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 3507 (j)), since public harm is reasonably likely to result if normal clearance procedures are followed. Approval by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has been requested by July 3, 2007. A regular clearance process is also beginning. Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before August 27, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments regarding the emergency review should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Nicole Cafarella, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget; 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10222, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or faxed to (202) 395-6974.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Director of OMB provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, publishes this notice containing proposed information collection