

collect this information. The Commission requires respondents to collect this information. Once OMB approval is received for the consolidated/merged information collection requirements, the Commission will eliminate OMB information collection No. 3060–1091.

On November 30 2005, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (*NPRM*), CG Docket No. 03–123, which addressed the issue of access to emergency services for Internet-based forms of Telecommunications Relay Services (TRS), namely VRS and IP Relay Service. The Commission sought to adopt means to ensure that such calls promptly reach the appropriate emergency service provider. By doing so, the *NPRM* sought comment on various issues: (1) Whether the Commission should require VRS and IP Relay service providers to establish a registration process in which VRS and IP Relay service users provide, in advance, the primary location from which they will be making VRS or IP Relay service calls (the Registered Location), so that a communication assistant (CA) can identify the appropriate Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) to contact; (2) should VRS and IP Relay providers be required to register their customers and obtain a Registered Location from their customers so that they will be able to make the outbound call to the appropriate PSAP; (3) whether there are other means by which VRS and IP Relay service providers may obtain Registered Location information, for example, by linking the serial number of the customer VRS or IP Relay service terminal or equipment to their registered location; (4) any privacy considerations that might be raised by requiring VRS and IP Relay service users to provide location information as a prerequisite to using these services; (5) whether, assuming some type of location registration requirement is adopted, the Commission should require specific information or place limits on the scope of information that providers should be able to obtain; (6) whether the Commission should require VRS and IP Relay providers to provide appropriate warning labels for installation on customer premises equipment (CPE) used in connection with VRS and IP Relay services; (7) whether the Commission should require VRS and IP Relay providers to obtain and keep a record of affirmative acknowledgement by every subscriber of having received and understood the advisory that E911 service may not be

available through VRS and IP Relay or may be in some way limited by comparison to traditional E911 service; and (8) how the Commission may ensure that providers have updated location information, and the respective obligations of the providers and the consumers in this regard.

On May 8, 2006, the Commission released the *Misuse of IP Relay Service and VRS Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, (*IP Relay Fraud FNPRM*), CG Docket No. 03–123, FCC 06–58 which contained the following information collection requirements involving user registration, e.g., callers register to use VRS and IP Relay and provide their requisite information as necessary: The *IP Relay Fraud FNPRM* sought comment on: (1) Whether IP Relay and VRS providers should be required to implement user registration system in which users provide certain information to their providers, in advance, as a means of curbing illegitimate IP Relay and VRS calls; (2) what information should be required of the user; (3) whether there are steps that could be taken, or technology implemented, to prevent the wrongful use of registration information; and (4) whether the Commission should require VRS and IP Relay providers to maintain records of apparently illegitimate calls that were terminated by the providers.

On May 9, 2006, the Commission released the *VRS Interoperability Declaratory Ruling and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* (*Interoperability FNPRM*), In the Matter of Telecommunications Relay Services and Speech-to-Speech Services for individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities, CG Docket No. 03–123, FCC 06–57. In the *Interoperability FNPRM*, the Commission sought comment on the feasibility of establishing a single, open, and global database of proxy numbers for VRS users that would be available to all service providers, so that a hearing person can call a VRS user through any VRS provider, and without having first to ascertain the VRS user's current IP address. The Commission also sought comment on nature of the proxy numbers that might be used and how they might be administered. The Commission sought comment on the role of the Commission in creating and maintaining the database. In the *Interoperability FNPRM*, the Commission recognized: (a) That when a hearing person contacts a VRS user by calling a VRS provider, the calling party has to know in advance the IP address of the VRS user so that the calling party can give that address to the VRS CA (b) that because most consumers' IP addresses are dynamic, the VRS

consumer may not know the IP address of his or her VRS equipment at a particular time; (c) that some VRS providers have created their own database of "proxy" or "alias" numbers that associate with the IP address of their customers, even if a particular person's IP address is dynamic and changes; (d) that databases are maintained by the service provider and, generally, are not shared with other service providers; and (e) that a person desiring to call a VRS consumer via the consumer's proxy number can only use the services of the VRS provider that generates the number. The *Interoperability FNPRM* contained the following information collection requirements involving an open, global database of VRS proxy numbers. The *Interoperability FNPRM* sought comment on: (1) Whether VRS providers should be required to provide information to populate an open, global database of VRS proxy numbers and to keep the information current; (2) whether the Interstate TRS Fund administrator, a separate entity, or a consortium of service providers should be responsible for the maintenance and operation of an open, global database of VRS proxy numbers; (3) whether Deaf and hard of hearing individuals using video broadband communication need uniform and static end-point numbers should be linked to the North American Numbering Plan (NANP) that would remain consistent across all VRS providers so that they can contact one another and be contacted to the same extent that Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN) and VoIP users are able to identify and call one another; (4) whether participation by service providers should be mandatory so that all VRS users can receive incoming calls.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–10897 Filed 6–5–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Submitted for Review to the Office of Management and Budget

May 24, 2007.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this

opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before August 6, 2007. If you anticipate that you will be submitting PRA comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the FCC contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Jasmeet K. Seehra, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10236 NEOB, Washington, DC 20503, (202) 395-3123, or via fax at 202-395-5167 or via Internet at Jasmeet_K_Seehra@omb.eop.gov and to Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-B441, 445 12th Street, SW., DC 20554 or an e-mail to PRA@fcc.gov. If you would like to obtain or view a copy of this information collection after the 60 day comment period, you may do so by visiting the FCC PRA Web page at: <http://www.fcc.gov/omd/pr>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judith B. Herman at 202-418-0214 or via the Internet at Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060-0984.
 Title: Section 90.35(b)(2), Industrial/Business Pool and Section 90.175(b)(1), Frequency Coordinator Requirements.
 Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit and state, local or tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 6,949 respondents; 6,949 responses.

Estimated Time Per Response: 1 hour.

Frequency of Response: One-time reporting requirement and third party disclosure requirement.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

Total Annual Burden: 6,949 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: There is no need for confidentiality.

Needs and Uses: The Commission will submit this extension (no change in the reporting requirements or third party disclosure requirements) to the OMB after this 60 day comment period to obtain the full three-year clearance from them.

Sections 90.35 and 90.175 require third party disclosure requirements by applicants proposing to operate a land mobile radio station. If they have service contours that overlap an existing land mobile station they are required to obtain written concurrence from the frequency coordinator associated with the industry for which the existing station license was issued, or the written concurrence of the licensee of the existing station.

These requirements will be used by Commission personnel in evaluating the applicant's need for such frequencies and to minimize the interference potential to other stations operating on the proposed frequencies.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7-10971 Filed 6-5-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 2815]

Petitions for Reconsideration of Action in Rulemaking Proceeding

May 24, 2007.

Petitions for Reconsideration have been filed in the Commission's Rulemaking proceeding listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR 1.429(e). The full text of these documents is available for viewing and copying in Room CY-B402, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc. (BCPI) (1-800-378-3160). Oppositions to these petitions must be filed by June 21, 2007. See Section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed

within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions have expired.

Subject: In the Matter of Section 68.4(a) of the Commission's Rules Governing Hearing Aid-Compatible Telephones (WT Docket No. 01-309).

Petitions for Waiver of Section 20.19 of the Commission's Rules.

Number of Petitions Filed: 6.

Subject: In the Matter of Section 73.202(b), Table of Allotments, FM Broadcast Stations (Coal Run, Kentucky and Clinchco, Virginia) (MB Docket No. 04-319).

Number of Petitions Filed: 1.

Subject: In the Matter of Universal Service Contribution Methodology (WC Docket No. 06-122).

Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service (CC Docket No. 96-45).

Number of Petitions Filed: 1.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7-10720 Filed 6-5-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 2817]

Petition for Reconsideration of Action in Rulemaking Proceeding

May 30, 2007.

A Petition for Reconsideration has been filed in the Commission's Rulemaking proceeding listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR 1.429(e). The full text of this document is available for viewing and copying in Room CY-B402, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc. (BCPI) (1-800-378-3160). Oppositions to this petition must be filed by June 21, 2007. See Section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions have expired.

Subject: In the Matter of Implementation of Sections 309(j) and 337 of Commissions Act of 1934 as Amended (WT Docket No. 99-87).

Promotion of Spectrum Efficient Technologies on Certain Part 90 Frequencies (RM-9332).

Number of Petitions Filed: 1.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7-10900 Filed 6-5-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P