

8. Section 3633(a)(2) requires each competitive product to cover its “costs attributable,” which are defined as “the direct and indirect postal costs attributable to such product through reliably identified causal relationships.” § 3631(b). The Commission has historically used attributable costs to develop recommended rates under the Postal Reorganization Act. Enactment of the PAEA raises issues concerning the need, if any, to modify the Commission’s historic approach as well as the classification of costs arising under the PAEA.

Regarding the term “costs attributable”—

a. Identify any costs currently classified as attributable that, in light of PAEA, should be classified as institutional. The rationale for the proposed change should be explained.

b. Identify any costs currently classified as institutional that, in light of PAEA, should be classified as attributable. The rationale for the proposed change should be explained.

c. How should Retiree Health Benefit costs be classified?

9. The PAEA establishes a rate floor for each competitive product, *i.e.*, each competitive product must cover its attributable costs. § 3633(a)(2). Product is defined as “a postal service with a distinct cost or market characteristic for which a rate or rates are, or may reasonably be, applied[.]” § 102(6).

Regarding the term “product”—

a. Is each International Customized Agreement a competitive product?

b. Is each Negotiated Service Agreement a product?

c. Is each special classification a product?

d. Is each class not of general applicability a product?

IV. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. Interested persons may submit comments on the questions contained herein on or before June 18, 2007.

2. Reply comments are due on or before July 3, 2007.

3. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this Advance Notice in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Issued May 17, 2007.

Signed May 21, 2007.

Steven W. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–10095 Filed 5–24–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R04–OAR–2006–0130–200714(b); FRL–8317–7]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans: State of Florida; Prevention of Significant Deterioration Requirements for Power Plants Subject to the Florida Power Plant Siting Act

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: On February 3, 2006, the State of Florida, through a State Implementation Plan (SIP) submittal addressing New Source Review Reform (NSR) requirements, requested that EPA grant it full approval to implement the State’s Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) Prevention of Significant Deterioration program for electric power plants subject to the Florida Electrical Power Plant Siting Act. EPA is proposing to approve this specific request under section 110 of the Act. EPA intends to take action on all other portions of Florida’s February 3, 2006, NSR Reform SIP submittal in a future rulemaking. In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State’s request as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before June 25, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R04–OAR–2006–0130, by one of the following methods:

1. *www.regulations.gov*: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

2. *E-mail*: Fortin.Kelly@EPA.gov.

3. *Fax*: 404–562–9066.

4. *Mail*: “EPA–R04–OAR–2006–0130”, Air Permits Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960.

5. *Hand Delivery or Courier*: Ms. Kelly Fortin, Air Permits Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office’s normal hours of operation. The Regional Office’s official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 4:30, excluding federal holidays.

Please see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register** for detailed instructions on how to submit comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Kelly Fortin, Air Permits Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The telephone number is (404) 562–9117. Ms. Fortin can also be reached via electronic mail at fortin.kelly@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: May 16, 2007.

Russell L. Wright, Jr.,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. E7–10063 Filed 5–24–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 63

[EPA–HQ–OAR–2006–0859; FRL–8318–5]

RIN 2060–AN85

Risk and Technology Review, Phase II, Group 2

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM); extension of public comment period.

SUMMARY: EPA is announcing that the comment period for the advanced notice for proposed rulemaking for the Risk and Technology Review, Phase II, Group 2 published on March 29, 2007, is being extended until June 29, 2007, for all source categories except Petroleum Refineries.