

deficient equipment and practices. What sort of recognition, if any, would be most desirable?

D. Measures of Success

If the Agency decides to develop a policy for tailored incentives for new owners, EPA intends to develop a three-year pilot program to test the effectiveness of such incentives. In order to objectively, effectively and promptly evaluate the pilot program and this approach, EPA must have already identified clearly measurable outcomes and efficient assessment methodologies. The main goal of this program, and the most important measure of success, would be to show that compliance with environmental laws and regulations has improved, and that significant environmental benefit has been attained. However, there are different approaches for determining how well these goals have been met.

What measures of success should the Agency adopt for the evaluation of a pilot program? Important outcomes to consider could be the number of disclosures made under the pilot program, the significance of the violations involved, and the significance of the pollutant reductions that can be attributed to or associated with these disclosures. Transparency of the program, efficiency in administration, and low transaction costs are also issues to be considered in evaluating the tailored incentive approach. EPA is seeking comment on any potential measures, and on the methodologies necessary to accurately measure them.

III. Public Process

As part of EPA's effort to obtain input on whether to offer tailored incentives for new owners self-disclosing under the Audit Policy, the Agency is planning to hold two public comment sessions. At those two meetings, interested parties may attend and provide oral and written comments on the issues. The first meeting is scheduled for Washington, DC at the J.W. Marriott Hotel, 1331 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., on June 12, 2007. The second one is scheduled for San Francisco at the Palace Hotel, 2 New Montgomery St., on June 20, 2007. Both meetings will begin at 10 a.m. and end at 4 p.m.

The Agency is especially interested in comments relating to the issues specified in this Notice. After the comment period closes, the Agency plans to review and consider all comments. If EPA decides to develop a pilot program offering tailored incentives to new owners beyond those currently available under the Audit

Policy, the Agency would then publish a second **Federal Register** notice to seek comment on such a proposed pilot program. After a second round of public comment, the Agency would publish in the **Federal Register**: The final description of the pilot program; an announcement of its start date; and a description of how its success in achieving increased self-auditing and disclosure and significant improvement to the environment will be evaluated. EPA encourages parties of all interests, including State and local government, industry, not-for-profit organizations, municipalities, public interest groups and private citizens to comment, so that the Agency can hear from as broad a spectrum as possible.

IV. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

1. *Submitting CBI.* Do not submit this information to EPA through www.regulations.gov or e-mail. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR Part 2.

2. *Tips for Preparing Your Comments.* When submitting comments, remember to:

- Identify the Notice; Request for Comments by docket number and other identifying information (subject heading, **Federal Register** date and page number).
- Follow directions—The Agency may ask you to respond to specific questions.
- Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and language.
- Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/or data that you used.
- If possible, provide any pertinent information about the context for your comments (e.g., the size and type of acquisition transaction you have in mind).
- If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.

- Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns, and suggest alternatives.

- Explain your views as clearly as possible.

- Submit your comments on time.

Dated: April 30, 2007.

Granta Y. Nakayama,

Assistant Administrator, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance.

[FR Doc. E7-9197 Filed 5-11-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Notice of Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: 72 FR 26115, Tuesday, May 8, 2007.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED DATE AND TIME OF MEETING: Wednesday, May 16, 2007, 9:30 a.m. Eastern Time.

CHANGE IN THE MEETING:

Open Session:

Item Nos. 3. Full-Service Publication Storage and Distribution Center Contract has been removed from the Agenda.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Stephen Llewellyn, Acting Executive Officer, on (202) 663-4070.

Dated: May 10, 2007.

Stephen Llewellyn,

Acting Executive Officer, Executive Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 07-2386 Filed 5-10-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6570-01-M

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Assessment Rate Adjustment Guidelines for Large Institutions and Insured Foreign Branches in Risk Category I

AGENCY: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

ACTION: Final guidelines.

SUMMARY: The FDIC is publishing the guidelines it will use for determining how adjustments of up to 0.50 basis points would be made to the quarterly assessment rates of insured institutions defined as large Risk Category I institutions, and insured foreign branches in Risk Category I, according to the Assessments Regulation. These guidelines are intended to further clarify the analytical processes, and the