relevant, such persons should contact
staff in advance to discuss the matter.
For further information about these
conferences, please contact:
W. Mason Emnett, Office of the General
Counsel—Energy Markets, Federal
Energy Regulatory Commission, 888
First Street, NE., Washington, DC
20426, (202) 502–6540,
Mason.Emnett@ferc.gov.
Daniel Hedberg, Office of Energy
Markets and Reliability, Federal
Energy Regulatory Commission, 888
First Street, NE., Washington, DC
20426, (202) 502–6243,
Daniel.Hedberg@ferc.gov.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.
[FR Doc. E7–8973 Filed 5–9–07; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission

Notice of Commission Staff
Attendance at Midwest Iso-Related
Meetings


The Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission hereby gives notice that
members of the Commission and
Commission staff may attend the
following Midwest ISO-related
meetings:

• Reliability First and Midwest
  Reliability Organization Resource
  Adequacy Conference (9 a.m.–4:30
  p.m., ET)
  Marriott Downtown Indianapolis, 350
  West Maryland Street, Indianapolis,
  Indiana.

• Midwest ISO Supply Adequacy
  Working Group/OMS Resource
  Adequacy Working Group (1 p.m.–
  5 p.m., ET)
  Lakeside Conference Center, 630 West
  Carmel Drive, Carmel, IN 46032.

Further information may be found at
www.rfirst.org.

The discussions at each of the
meetings described above may address
matters at issue in the following
proceedings:

Docket No. ER02–2595, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER04–375, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER04–458, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

Docket Nos. ER04–691 and ER04–106,
Midwest Independent Transmission
System Operator, Inc.

Docket No. EL04–104, Public Utilities
With Grandfathered Agreements In
the Midwest ISO Region

Docket Nos. ER05–6, EL04–135, EL02–
111 and EL03–212, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER05–752, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc. and PJM
Interconnection, L.L.C.

Docket No. ER05–1083, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER05–1085, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER05–1138, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER05–1201, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER05–1230, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

Docket No. EL05–103, Northern Indiana
Power Service Co. v. Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc. and PJM
Interconnection, L.L.C.

Docket No. EL05–128, Quest Energy,
L.L.C. v. Midwest Independent
Transmission System Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER06–18, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER06–27, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

Docket Nos. EC06–4 and ER06–20, E.ON
U.S., LLC

Docket No. ER06–1308, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

Docket Nos. ER06–360, ER06–360,
ER06–361, ER06–362, ER06–363,
ER06–372 and ER06–373, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER06–356, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER06–532, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER06–313, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

Docket No. EL06–31, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

Docket No. EL06–49, Midwest
Independent Transmission
Systemerator, Inc.

Docket No. ER06–56, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER07–478, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER07–550, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER07–701, Midwest
Independent Transmission System
Operator, Inc.

These meetings are open to the
public.

For more information, contact Patrick
Clarey, Office of Energy Markets and
Reliability, Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission at (317) 249–5937 or
patrick.clarey@ferc.gov, or Christopher
Miller, Office of Energy Markets and
Reliability, Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission at (317) 249–5936 or
christopher.miller@ferc.gov.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.
[FR Doc. E7–8935 Filed 5–9–07; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY

[AMS–FRL–8311–3]

California State Motor Vehicle
Pollution Control Standards; Request
for Waiver of Federal Preemption;
Opportunity for Public Hearing

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice announcing an
additional hearing and hearing
locations.

SUMMARY: EPA previously announced
the opportunity for public hearing and
written comment on the California Air
Resources Board’s request for a waiver
of preemption for its Greenhouse Gas
Emission (GHG) regulations for
passenger cars, light-duty trucks and
medium-duty passenger vehicles
beginning with the 2009 model year
(MY). This previous announcement
occurred on April 30, 2007 at 72 FR
21260. By this notice EPA is
announcing the location of the May 22,
2007 hearing which commences at 9
a.m. EPA is also announcing an
additional hearing, and location, for
May 30, 2007 which will commence at
9 a.m. If you wish to present testimony
at the May 22, 2007 hearing please
follow the directions provided at 72 FR
21260. If you wish to present testimony
at the May 30, 2007 hearing please
follow the contact directions below.
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Office of Research and Development; Ambient Air Monitoring Reference Method and Equivalent Methods: Designation of a New Equivalent Method

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of the designation of a new equivalent method for monitoring ambient air quality.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has designated, in accordance with 40 CFR Part 53, a new equivalent method for measuring concentrations of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) in the ambient air.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Hunike, Human Exposure and Atmospheric Sciences Division (MD-D205–03), National Exposure Research Laboratory, U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711. Phone: (919) 541–3737, e-mail: Hunike.Elizabeth@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with regulations at 40 CFR Part 53, the EPA evaluates various methods for monitoring the concentrations of those ambient air pollutants for which EPA has established National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQSs) as set forth in 40 CFR Part 50. Monitoring methods that are determined to meet specific requirements for adequacy are designated by the EPA as either reference methods or equivalent methods (as applicable), thereby permitting their use under 40 CFR Part 58 by States and other agencies for determining attainment of the NAAQSs.

The EPA hereby announces the designation of a new equivalent method for measuring concentrations of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) in the ambient air. This designation is made under the provisions of 40 CFR Part 53, as amended on December 18, 2006 (71 FR 61271).

A test analyzer representative of this method has been tested in accordance with the applicable test procedures specified in 40 CFR Part 53 (as amended on December 18, 2006). After reviewing the results of those tests and other information submitted by the applicant in the application, EPA has determined, in accordance with Part 53, that this method should be designated as an equivalent method. The information submitted by the applicant in the application will be kept on file, either at EPA’s National Exposure Research Laboratory, Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27711 or in an approved archive storage facility. That information will be made available for inspection (upon request and with advance notice) to the extent consistent with 40 CFR Part 2 (EPA’s regulations implementing the Freedom of Information Act).

As a designated reference or equivalent method, this method is acceptable for use by States and other air monitoring agencies under the requirements of 40 CFR Part 58, Ambient Air Quality Surveillance. For such purposes, the method must be used in strict accordance with the operation or instruction manual associated with the method and subject to any specifications and limitations (e.g., configuration or operational settings) specified in the applicable designation method description (see the identifications of the method above).


Provisions concerning modification of such methods by users are specified under Section 2.8 (Modifications of Methods by Users) of Appendix C to 40 CFR Part 58.

In general, a method designation applies to any sampler or analyzer which is identical to the sampler or analyzer described in the application for designation. In some cases, similar samplers or analyzers manufactured prior to the designation may be upgraded or converted (e.g., by minor modification or by substitution of the approved operation or instruction manual) so as to be identical to the designated method and thus achieve designated status. The manufacturer should be consulted to determine the feasibility of such upgrading or conversion.

Part 53 requires that sellers of designated reference or equivalent method analyzers or samplers comply with certain conditions. These conditions are specified in 40 CFR Part 53.9 and are summarized below:

(a) A copy of the approved operation or instruction manual must accompany the sampler or analyzer when it is delivered to the ultimate purchaser.

(b) The sampler or analyzer must not generate any unreasonable hazard to operators or to the environment.

(c) The sampler or analyzer must function within the limits of the applicable performance specifications given in 40 CFR 50 and 53 for at least one year after delivery when maintained and operated in accordance with the operation or instruction manual.

(d) Any sampler or analyzer offered for sale as part of a reference or equivalent method must bear a label or sticker indicating that it has been designated as part of a reference or equivalent method in accordance with Part 53 and showing its designated method identification number.