

*Estimate of Burden:* Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average .28 hours per response.

*Respondents:* Producers, handlers, processors, cooperatives, and public members.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 16,043

*Estimated Number of Responses:* 30,604

*Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* 1.91

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* 8,419 hours.

*Comments:* Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of the information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments should reference this docket number and the appropriate marketing order, and be mailed to the Docket Clerk, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, 1400 Independence Ave., SW., STOP 0237, room 1406-S, Washington, DC 20250-0237; Fax (202) 720-8938; or E-mail: [moab.docketclerk@usda.gov](mailto:moab.docketclerk@usda.gov) or [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). Comments should reference the docket number and the date and page number of this issue of the **Federal Register**. All comments received will be available for public inspection in the Office of the Docket Clerk during regular USDA business hours at 1400 Independence Ave., SW., STOP 0237, Washington, DC, room 1406-S, or can be viewed at: <http://www.ams.usda.gov/fv/moab.html>.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: May 1, 2007.

**Lloyd C. Day,**  
Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 07-2203 Filed 5-1-07; 2:23 pm]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Agricultural Marketing Service

[Docket # AMS-2006-0111; FV-06-315]

#### United States Standards for Grades of Summer Squash

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice; withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** The Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) is withdrawing the notice soliciting comments on its proposal to amend the voluntary United States Standards for Grades of Summer Squash. After reviewing and considering the comments received, the agency has decided not to proceed with this action.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 4, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Vincent J. Fusaro, Standardization Section, Fresh Products Branch, (202) 720-2185. The United States Standards for Grades of Summer Squash are available by accessing the Fresh Products Branch Web site at: <http://www.ams.usda.gov/standards/stanfprv.htm>.

#### Background

AMS had identified the United States Standards for Grades of Summer Squash for possible revisions. The standards were last revised on January 6, 1984.

On August 6, 2006, AMS published a notice in the **Federal Register** (71 FR 44607) soliciting comments on a possible revision to the United States Standards for Grades of Summer Squash. The comments are available by accessing AMS, Fresh Products Branch Web site at: <http://www.ams.usda.gov/fv/fpbdoctlist.htm>. The comment period ended October 7, 2006.

During that sixty-day comment period, one comment was submitted opposing the revisions. The commentor stated, "the different varietal characteristics in each type could stop what would work as a "fancy" being packed for one area and put undue strain on the "medium" market because a particular variety of squash does not have the genetics to meet size criteria." The commentor also stated, "some regions can only successfully grow certain seed varieties and with the characteristics of some varieties, size restrictions could make it prohibitive for some areas to produce summer squash all together."

After reviewing and considering the comments received, AMS has decided not to proceed with the proposed revisions to the standards.

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 1621-1627.

Dated: May 1, 2007.

**Lloyd C. Day,**

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. E7-8574 Filed 5-3-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

#### COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

##### Procurement List Proposed Additions and Deletions

**AGENCY:** Committee for Purchase from People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

**ACTION:** Proposed Additions to and Deletions from Procurement List.

**SUMMARY:** The Committee is proposing to add to the Procurement List a product to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities, and to delete products and services previously furnished by such agencies.

*Comments Must Be Received On Or Before:* June 3, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, 1421 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202.

##### Additions

If the Committee approves the proposed addition, the entities of the Federal Government identified in this notice for the product will be required to procure the product listed below from nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

##### Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

1. If approved, the action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the product to the Government.

2. If approved, the action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the product to the Government.

3. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46-48c) in connection with the product proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

Comments on this certification are invited. Commenters should identify the statement(s) underlying the certification