

Transportation Co., Ltd., Apiarist Co., Hangzhou Golden Harvest Health Industry Co., Ltd., Shanghai Taiside Trading Co., Ltd., Wuhan Bee Healthy Co., Ltd., Wuhan Shino-Food Trade Co., Ltd., China Ocean Shipping Agency Beijing, Rich Shipping Company, M&H Shipping (Shanghai) Corporation, United Logistics Group Inc., Beijing World Trade Co., Ltd., Hangzhou Golden Dragon Group Corporation Ltd., Kunshan Xinrui Co., Ltd., Qingdao Aolan Trade Co., Ltd., Sichuan-Dujiangyan Dubao Bee Industrial Co., Ltd., Eurasia Bee's Products Co., Ltd., Anhui Honghui Foodstuff (Group) Co., Ltd., Jiangsu Kanghong Natural Healthfoods Co., Ltd., and Tianjin Eulia Honey Co., Ltd. Petitioners were the only party to request a review of the entries of subject merchandise exported by these companies.

Partial Rescission

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party who requested the review withdraws the request within ninety days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review.

Because the Petitioners' withdrawal of requests for review was timely and no other party requested a review of the aforementioned companies, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding this review with respect to Cheng Du Wai Yuan Bee Products Co., Ltd., Chiangmai Healthyproduct Co., Ltd., Hangzhou Xinsheng (or Xinyun) Shipping Agency Co., Ltd., Shanghai Xinyun International Transportation Co., Ltd., Apiarist Co., Hangzhou Golden Harvest Health Industry Co., Ltd., Shanghai Taiside Trading Co., Ltd., Wuhan Bee Healthy Co., Ltd., Wuhan Shino-Food Trade Co., Ltd., China Ocean Shipping Agency Beijing, Rich Shipping Company, M&H Shipping (Shanghai) Corporation, United Logistics Group Inc., Beijing World Trade Co., Ltd., Hangzhou Golden Dragon Group Corporation Ltd., Kunshan Xinrui Co., Ltd., Qingdao Aolan Trade Co., Ltd., Sichuan-Dujiangyan Dubao Bee Industrial Co., Ltd., Eurasia Bee's Products Co., Ltd., Anhui Honghui Foodstuff (Group) Co., Ltd., Jiangsu Kanghong Natural Healthfoods Co., Ltd., and Tianjin Eulia Honey Co., Ltd.

Assessment Rates

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For those companies for which this review has been rescinded and which have a

separate rate, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(2). The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of this notice. For those companies for which this review has been rescinded but do not have a separate rate at this time (and thus remain part of the PRC-wide entity), the Department will issue assessment instructions upon the completion of this administrative review.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers for whom this review is being rescinded, as of the publication date of this notice, of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding APOs

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: April 26, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-8479 Filed 5-2-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-817]

Oil Country Tubular Goods from Mexico: Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 3, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Drury, or Angelica Mendoza, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0195, or (202) 482-3019, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 29, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of initiation of an antidumping duty administrative review for, oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from Mexico for the August 1, 2005, through July 31 2006, period of review (POR) covering producers/exporters Hylsa, S.A. de C.V. (Hylsa) and Tubos de Acero de Mexico, S.A. (TAMSA). *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 71 FR 57465 (September 29, 2006). On November 1, 2006, TAMSA submitted a certification that it had not shipped OCTG to the United States during the POR and requested that the Department rescind the review with respect to TAMSA. *See Letter from TAMSA to the Department*, November 1, 2006.

On November 15, 2006, Hylsa submitted a letter to the Department stating that shares of Hylsa's parent, Hylsamex, had been acquired by a company affiliated with TAMSA. Accordingly, Hylsa and TAMSA had common owners and were affiliated during the POR. As a result, Hylsa requested clarification from the Department as to whether the Department would require Hylsa to submit TAMSA's sales and/or cost information for the POR. *See Letter from Hylsa to the Department*, November 15, 2006. The Department issued a supplemental questionnaire on February 16, 2007, requesting more information on this issue. Hylsa submitted a response on March 16, 2007.

The preliminary results for this administrative review are currently due no later than May 3, 2007.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to complete the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the 245 day time period for the preliminary results to 365 days.

The Department has determined it is not practicable to complete this review within the statutory time limit because additional time is required to determine whether it will be necessary to request sales and/or cost information from TAMSA as part of the Department's review of sales by Hylsa during the POR. Accordingly, the Department is extending the time limits for completion of the preliminary results of this administrative review until no later than August 31, 2007, which is 365 days from the last day of the anniversary month of this order. We intend to issue the final results in this review no later than 120 days after publication of the preliminary results notice.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 27, 2007.

Stephen Claeyes,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-8480 Filed 5-2-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-201-817

Oil Country Tubular Goods from Mexico; Final Results of the Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 1, 2006, the Department ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods ("OCTG") from Mexico. On the basis of the notice of intent to participate, adequate substantive responses, and rebuttal comments filed on behalf of the

petitioners and respondent interested parties, the Department conducted a full sunset review of the antidumping duty order pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(2)(i). As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 3, 2007

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Drury or Angelica Mendoza, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: 202-482-0195 or 202-482-3019, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 1, 2006, the Department published its notice of initiation of the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on OCTG from Mexico, in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act. *See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 71 FR 31153 (June 1, 2006) ("*Notice of Initiation*").

The Department received notices of intent to participate on behalf of United States Steel Corporation and IPSCO Tubulars Inc., Lone Star Steel Company, Koppel Steel (NS Group), Maverick Tube Corporation, Newport Steel (NS Group) and V&M Star LP (collectively "petitioners"), within the 15-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). Petitioners claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as manufacturers of a domestic-like product in the United States.

The Department received complete substantive responses to the notice of initiation from the interested parties Hylsa S.A. de CV ("Hylsa") and Tubos de Aceros de Mexico, S.A. ("TAMSA") (collectively "respondent interested parties") within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department received rebuttal responses from petitioners to the substantive responses from the respondent interested parties on July 5, 2006, and July 14, 2006, respectively.

Section 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(A) provides that the Secretary normally will conclude that respondent interested parties have provided adequate response to a notice of initiation where the Department receives complete substantive responses from respondent

interested parties accounting on average for more than 50 percent, by volume, or value, if appropriate, of the total exports of the subject merchandise to the United States over the five calendar years preceding the year of publication of the notice of initiation. On July 21, 2006, the Department found that respondent interested parties accounted for more than 50 percent of exports by volume of the subject merchandise from Mexico to the United States. *See Memorandum to Stephen J. Claeyes, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, from John K. Drury* entitled, "Adequacy Determination: Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Oil Country Tubular Goods from Mexico," (July 21, 2006). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(e)(2)(i), the Department determined to conduct a full sunset review of this antidumping duty order. On September 25, 2006, in accordance with section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Act, the Department extended the deadlines for the preliminary and final results of this sunset review by 90 days. *See Oil Country Tubular Goods from Mexico; Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary and Final Results of Full Five-year ("Sunset") Review of Antidumping Duty Order*, 71 FR 55774.

The Department published the preliminary results of this sunset review on December 26, 2006. *See Oil Country Tubular Goods from Mexico; Preliminary Results of the Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order*, 71 FR 77372 (December 26, 2006). In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department found that revocation of the order would likely result in continuation or recurrence of dumping with net margins of 21.70 percent for TAMSA and "all others," and 0.62 percent for Hylsa.

On February 14, 2007, within the deadline specified in 19 CFR § 351.309(c)(1)(i), the Department received case briefs on behalf of both TAMSA and Hylsa. On February 20, 2007, the Department rejected the case brief on behalf of Hylsa under 19 CFR § 351.302(d), as the Department determined that the brief contained new factual information submitted subsequent to the deadline for new factual information as proscribed in 19 CFR § 351.301(b)(3). The Department requested that Hylsa re-file the case brief no later than February 22, 2007, and extended the deadline for rebuttal briefs to February 28, 2007. On February 20, 2007, the Department received a rebuttal brief on behalf of petitioner IPSCO. On February 22, 2007, the Department received the corrected case brief on behalf of Hylsa. On February 28, 2007, the Department received rebuttal briefs on behalf of petitioner U.S. Steel.