

Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20581.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. 552a, and the Commission's implementing regulations, 17 CFR part 146, the Commission is publishing a description of a new system of records. The new system contains records related to visitors to the Commission.

The new system of records, as required by 5 U.S.C. 552a(r) of the Privacy Act, will be submitted to the Committee on Government Oversight and Reform of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the U.S. Senate, and the Office of Management and Budget, pursuant to Appendix I to OMB Circular A-130, "Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals," dated February 20, 1996 (61FR6435). Accordingly, the Commission is giving notice of the establishment of the following system of records:

#### **CFTC-43**

##### **SYSTEM NAME:**

Visitor Information System.

##### **SYSTEM LOCATION:**

The system is located in the Office of the Executive Director, Office of Management Operations, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20581.

##### **CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:**

Visitors to the CFTC.

##### **CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:**

Information from personal identity records, such as driver's license, passport, or Federal/Military ID; the number of the printed badge issued; location, date, and time of entry; company affiliation of visitor; name and phone number of the employee visited; and the purpose of the visit.

##### **AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:**

The Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (63 Stat. 377), as amended; Homeland Security Presidential Directive 12 (HSPD-12), Policy for a Common Identification Standard for Federal Employees and Contractors, August 27, 2004.

##### **PURPOSE:**

The purpose of this information is to verify the identify of visitors in order to protect the employees and property of

the Commission, verify that visitors entering the property are authorized to do so, and track the time, date, and location of the visitor so that, in the event of emergency, the agency can account for all the people in its space.

##### **ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM:**

Information in this system may be disclosed in accordance with the General Statement of Routine Uses.

##### **POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, SAFEGUARDING ACCESS, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:**

##### **STORAGE:**

Computer records are stored in a stand-alone database. Paper reports from the system are kept in a locked file.

##### **RETRIEVABILITY:**

By date, and by visitor name.

##### **SAFEGUARDS:**

In addition to general building security, access to the visitor database is protected by password. The system, as a stand-alone database, is not accessible through the CFTC network. Reports are kept in a locked file with limited key access.

##### **RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:**

Records will be retained for three months and then purged.

##### **SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:**

Tammy Semega, Deputy Director, Office of the Executive Director, Office of Management Operations, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20581.

##### **NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:**

Individuals seeking to determine whether this system of records contains information about themselves, or seeking access to records about themselves in the system of records, or contesting the content of records about themselves contained in this system of records should address written inquiry to the FOI Privacy and Sunshine Acts Compliance Staff, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20581.

##### **RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:**

The individual on whom the record is maintained.

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 25, 2007.

**Eileen Donovan,**

*Acting Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 07-2117 Filed 4-30-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6351-01-M**

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### **Department of the Army**

#### **Preparation of a Real Property Exchange Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), West Los Angeles, CA**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Army Reserve (USAR) will prepare an EIS to analyze the impacts of a real property exchange. This Real Property Exchange Program is conducted under the authority of 10 U.S.C. 18240, as amended. This legislation permits DoD to convey certain real property assets of reserve components to a State, local government, local authority, or private parties in exchange for land and real property improvements, existing and/or to be constructed, including utilities, equipment, and furnishings for the replacement facilities. Approximately 10 acres with two, two-story USAR Center buildings (Holderman and Munemori Halls) and a detached maintenance building will be exchanged for new construction or real property improvements at the USAR facilities located at (1) Bell; (2) Miramar Marine Corps Air Station, located in San Diego; and (3) March USAR Center located in Riverside. The exchange property being offered by the Federal Government for development by the private sector is located at the Southeast corner of Wilshire Boulevard and Federal Avenue in the Southwestern portion of Los Angeles County and is approximately 13 miles west of downtown Los Angeles. The parcel is bounded on all sides by the city of Los Angeles, and is centrally located in the western part of Los Angeles County. It is immediately surrounded by the communities of Westwood, Brentwood, Sawtelle, and West Los Angeles, which are all part of the city of Los Angeles. Additionally, Santa Monica, Beverly Hills, and Pacific Palisades are all within 5 miles of the subject property. The EIS will discuss in detail the 10-acre parcel to be exchanged and all reasonable alternatives including, but not limited to, the (1) No Action Alternative and (2) Army Exchange with Non-Governmental Development alternatives on the exchanged property. New construction or real property improvements that will occur at the three USAR facilities will be covered by separate National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation.

##### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Patricia Ryan at (562) 795-2356 or by e-mail at [patricia.e.ryan@usar.army.mil](mailto:patricia.e.ryan@usar.army.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** An EIS will be prepared in accordance with NEPA to address any environmental or socioeconomic impacts associated with the real property exchange. The EIS will examine a wide range of resource areas, including, but not limited to, land use, air quality, traffic, noise, biological resources, cultural resources, socioeconomic, utilities, hazardous and toxic substances, and cumulative environmental effects. Air quality and traffic effects are believed at this time to be the areas with greatest potential for impact.

All reasonable alternatives, including a No Action Alternative (as required by NEPA), will be developed and analyzed in the EIS. The range of alternatives will include several redevelopment scenarios. During the scoping process, other alternatives may be developed for consideration. The EIS will analyze each alternative's impact on the natural and cultural environments at the West Los Angeles facility and the surrounding area. Mitigation measures will also be considered in the EIS.

Tribal, federal, state and local agencies, and the public are invited to participate in the scoping process for the preparation of the EIS. The scoping process will help identify additional possible alternatives, potential environmental impacts, and key issues of concern to be analyzed in the EIS. Scoping meetings will be held in convenient locations near the West Los Angeles facility. Notification of the times and locations for the scoping meetings will be published in local newspapers. To ensure scoping comments are fully considered in the draft EIS, comments and suggestions should be received within the 30-day scoping period.

Dated: April 17, 2007.

**Addison D. Davis, IV,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army  
(Environment, Safety and Occupational Health).*

[FR Doc. 07-2135 Filed 4-30-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-07-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

#### Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement With an Integrated Feasibility Report for the Chesapeake Bay Shoreline Erosion Study, MD

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Baltimore District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), will conduct a review of the 1990 *Chesapeake Bay Shoreline Erosion Study* to investigate shoreline erosion and related sediment management measures which could be undertaken to protect the water and land resources of the Chesapeake Bay watershed and achieve the water quality conditions necessary to protect the Bay's living resources. USACE will prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and integrated feasibility report documenting study findings and plan formulation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Questions about the proposed DEIS with integrated feasibility report can be addressed to Mr. Kevin Luebke, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, ATTN: CENAB-PL-P, 10 South Howard Street, P.O. Box 1715, Baltimore, MD 21203-1715, telephone 410-962-6141; e-mail address: [Kevin.Luebke@usace.army.mil](mailto:Kevin.Luebke@usace.army.mil); or Mr. Christopher Spaur, same address, telephone 410-962-6134; e-mail address:

[Christopher.C.Spaur@usace.army.mil](mailto:Christopher.C.Spaur@usace.army.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** USACE is conducting this review in partnership with the Maryland Department of Natural Resources (DNR). The 1990 study evaluated erosive conditions along the Chesapeake Bay shoreline where publicly owned property and/or infrastructure were threatened. The 1990 study also investigated sources of sediment from shoreline that contribute to shoaling of public navigation channels.

Several efforts have been completed to date in the review. Conditions of the Maryland shoreline have been inventoried by the Virginia Institute of Marine Science and summary data and maps are now available on the worldwide Web at <http://ccrm.vims.edu/gisdatabases.html>. Wave energy has been modeled regionally for the study area shoreline by USACE. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service prepared a planning aid report identifying shoreline-dependent animal and plant species and important habitats. Efforts currently underway include: (1) Conducting a statistical analysis of historic shoreline change rates, modeled shoreline wave energy, and current shoreline to correlate relationships between these variables; (2) Forecasting shoreline areas likely to be vulnerable to future erosion; (3) Characterizing tidal tributary shoreline segments vulnerable to boat wake erosion; and (4)

Formulating shoreline ecosystem restoration and public property and infrastructure storm/flood damage reduction projects for implementation by USACE, DNR, and other agencies or organizations. Engineering feasibility, economic costs and benefits, and environmental impacts will be considered in formulating projects. Additionally, the study partners, in conjunction with Md. Dept. of the Environment (MDE), initiated preparation of an updated shoreline erosion control handbook for waterfront property owners.

Study products will comprise components of a shoreline management master plan DNR and MDE are developing for the state of Maryland. The master plan would address issuance of stabilization permits for shoreline property owners, shoreline habitat restoration and conservation, and coastal flood and erosion hazard reduction. The USACE EIS and integrated feasibility report will provide an overview of the master plan and include environmental compliance documentation for specific projects USACE may pursue. Projects to be pursued independently by others would require separate environmental compliance efforts to be undertaken by other agencies and organization.

The study will be conducted in compliance with Sections 401 and 404 of the Clean Water Act, Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, the Clean Air Act, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, Prime and Unique Farmlands, the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Act. All appropriate documentation (*i.e.*, coordination letters and public and agency comments) will be obtained and included as part of the EIS. As part of the EIS process, recommendations of projects will be based on an evaluation of the probable impact of the proposed activity on the public interest. The decision will reflect the national concern for the protection and utilization of important resources. The benefit, which may reasonably be expected to accrue from the proposal, will be balanced against its reasonably foreseeable detriments.

It is expected that public release of the DEIS and integrated feasibility report will occur in Summer 2009.

**Brenda S. Bowen,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 07-2114 Filed 4-30-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-41-M**