

intended beneficiaries and/or the public.

(6) Goal(s). Describe the overall goal(s) in one or two sentences for each project.

(7) Work Plan. Explain briefly how each goal and measurable outcome will be accomplished for each project. Be clear about who will do the work.

Include appropriate time lines.

Expected measurable outcomes may be long term that exceed the grant period. If so, provide a timeframe when long term outcome measure will be achieved.

(8) Project Oversight. Describe the oversight practices that provide sufficient knowledge of grant activities to ensure proper and efficient administration.

(9) Project Commitment. Describe how all grant partners commit to and work toward the goals and outcome measures of the proposed project(s).

(10) Multi-State Projects. If a project is a multi-state project, describe how the States are going to collaborate effectively with related projects. Each State participating in the project should submit the project in their State plan indicating which State is taking the coordinating role and the percent of the budget covered by each State.

Each State department of agriculture that submits an application that is reviewed and approved by AMS is to receive \$100,000 to enhance the competitiveness of specialty crops. In addition, AMS will allocate the remainder of the grant funds based on the proportion of the value of specialty crop production in the state in relation to the national value of specialty crop production using the latest available (2005 National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) cash receipt data for the 50 States and the District of Columbia and 2002 Census of Agriculture data for the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico) specialty crop production data in all states whose applications are accepted.

After USDA administrative costs, the amount of the base grant plus value of production available to each State department of agriculture shall be:

- (1) Alabama, \$108,926.78
- (2) Alaska, \$100,520.67
- (3) Arizona, \$133,290.44
- (4) Arkansas, \$102,675.16
- (5) California, \$652,477.92
- (6) Colorado, \$116,139.35
- (7) Connecticut, \$107,934.62
- (8) Delaware, \$102,403.75
- (9) District of Columbia, \$100,000.00
- (10) Florida, \$253,750.10
- (11) Georgia, \$129,864.25
- (12) Hawaii, \$109,201.37
- (13) Idaho, \$121,388.06
- (14) Illinois, \$111,450.21
- (15) Indiana, \$109,567.29

- (16) Iowa, \$103,249.43
- (17) Kansas, \$102,197.15
- (18) Kentucky, \$102,827.56
- (19) Louisiana, \$104,950.42
- (20) Maine, \$105,806.75
- (21) Maryland, \$111,602.37
- (22) Massachusetts, \$107,596.35
- (23) Michigan, \$136,342.33
- (24) Minnesota, \$113,274.97
- (25) Mississippi, \$103,626.70
- (26) Missouri, \$104,289.46
- (27) Montana, \$102,726.15
- (28) Nebraska, \$104,133.83
- (29) Nevada, \$101,478.01
- (30) New Hampshire, \$102,244.91
- (31) New Jersey, \$117,036.97
- (32) New Mexico, \$108,507.39
- (33) New York, \$129,212.32
- (34) North Carolina, \$136,155.66
- (35) North Dakota, \$109,135.59
- (36) Ohio, \$122,689.29
- (37) Oklahoma, \$107,188.11
- (38) Oregon, \$148,320.35
- (39) Pennsylvania, \$128,893.21
- (40) Puerto Rico, \$106,053.13
- (41) Rhode Island, \$101,417.97
- (42) South Carolina, \$110,424.99
- (43) South Dakota, \$100,850.02
- (44) Tennessee, \$111,629.63
- (45) Texas, \$156,488.66
- (46) Utah, \$103,135.47
- (47) Vermont, \$101,397.90
- (48) Virginia, \$111,797.84
- (49) Washington, \$182,441.82
- (50) West Virginia, \$100,286.87
- (51) Wisconsin, \$120,305.36
- (52) Wyoming, \$100,695.09.

Applicants submitting hard copy applications should submit one unstapled original and one unstapled copy of the application package. The SF-424 must be signed (with an original signature) by an official who has authority to apply for Federal assistance. Hard copy applications should be sent only via express mail to AMS at the address noted at the beginning of this notice because USPS mail sent to Washington, DC headquarters is sanitized, resulting in possible delays, loss, and physical damage to enclosures. AMS will send an email confirmation when applications arrive at the AMS office.

Applicants who submit hard copy applications are also encouraged to submit electronic versions of their application directly to AMS via email addressed to sbblockgrants@usda.gov in one of the following formats: Word (*.doc); or Adobe Acrobat (*.pdf). Alternatively, a standard 3.5 " HD diskette or a CD may be enclosed with the hard copy application.

Applicants also have the option of submitting SCBGP applications electronically through the central Federal grants web site, <http://www.grants.gov> instead of mailing hard

copy documents. Applicants considering the electronic application option are strongly urged to familiarize themselves with the Federal grants web site and begin the application process well before the application deadline.

SCBGP is listed in the "Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance" under number 10.169 and subject agencies must adhere to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which bars discrimination in all federally assisted programs.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1621 note.

Dated: April 5, 2007.

Lloyd C. Day,

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. E7-6841 Filed 4-10-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation

Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation Board; Regular Meeting

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the regular meeting of the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation Board (Board).

DATE AND TIME: The meeting of the Board will be held at the offices of the Farm Credit Administration in McLean, Virginia, on April 12, 2007, from 10 a.m. until such time as the Board concludes its business.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roland E. Smith, Secretary to the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation Board, (703) 883-4009, TTY (703) 883-4056.

ADDRESSES: Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation, 1501 Farm Credit Drive, McLean, Virginia 22102.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Parts of this meeting of the Board will be open to the public (limited space available) and parts will be closed to the public. In order to increase the accessibility to Board meetings, persons requiring assistance should make arrangements in advance. The matters to be considered at the meeting are:

Open Session

A. Approval of Minutes

- January 11, 2007 (Open and Closed).

B. Business Reports

- FCSIC Financial Report.
- Report on Insured Obligations.
- Quarterly Report on Annual Performance Plan.

C. New Business

- Presentation of 2006 Audit Results.

Closed Session

- FCSIC Report on System Performance.
- Executive Session of the FCSIC Board Audit Committee. with the External Auditor.

Dated: April 5, 2007.

Roland E. Smith,

Secretary, Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation Board.

[FR Doc. E7-6845 Filed 4-10-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6710-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**Forest Service**

Newspapers Used for Publication of Legal Notice of Appealable Decisions for the Northern Region; Northern Idaho, Montana, North Dakota, and Portions of South Dakota and Eastern Washington

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice lists the newspapers that will be used by all Ranger Districts, Forests, Grasslands, and the Regional Office of the Northern Region to publish legal notices for public comment and decisions subject to appeal and predecisional administrative review under 36 CFR 215, 217, and 218. The intended effect of this action is to inform interested members of the public which newspapers will be used to publish legal notices for public comment or decisions; thereby allowing them to receive constructive notice of a decision, to provide clear evidence of timely notice, and to achieve consistency in administering the appeals process.

DATES: Publication of legal notices in the listed newspapers will begin with decisions subject to appeal that are made on or after April 6, 2007. The list of newspapers will remain in effect until another notice is published in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Appeals and Litigation Group Leader, Northern Region, P.O. Box 7669, Missoula, Montana 59807. Phone: (406) 329-3696.

The newspapers to be used are as follows:

Northern Regional Office

Regional Forester decisions in Montana: The Missoulian, Great Falls Tribune, and The Billings Gazette.

Regional Forester decisions in Northern Idaho and Eastern Washington: Coeur d'Alene Press and Lewiston Tribune.

Regional Forester decisions in North Dakota: Bismarck Tribune.

Regional Forester decisions in South Dakota: Bismarck Tribune.

Beaverhead/Deerlodge NF—Montana Standard.

Bitterroot NF—Ravalli Republic.

Clearwater NF—Lewiston Tribune.

Custer NF—Billings Gazette (Montana).

Rapid City Journal (South Dakota).

Dakota Prairie Grasslands—Bismarck Tribune (North and South Dakota).

Flathead NF—Daily Inter Lake.

Gallatin NF—Bozeman Chronicle.

Helena NF—Independent Record.

Idaho Panhandle NFs—Coeur d'Alene Press.

Kootenai NF—Daily Inter Lake.

Lewis & Clark NF—Great Falls Tribune.

Lolo NF—Missoulian.

Nez Perce NF—Lewiston Tribune.

Supplemental notices may be placed in any newspaper, but time frames/deadlines will be calculated based upon notices in newspapers of record listed above.

Dated: April 2, 2007.

Kathleen A. McAllister,

Deputy Regional Forester.

[FR Doc. 07-1782 Filed 4-10-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**Forest Service**

Intermountain Region, Boise, Payette, and Sawtooth National Forests; Supplement to the Environmental Impact Statement for the Revised Land and Resource Management Plans and Amendment to the Revised Payette Land and Resource Management Plan

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare a supplement to the Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Southwest Idaho Ecogroup (SWIEG) Revised Land and Resource Management Plans (LRMP) that may result in an amendment to the Payette LRMP.

SUMMARY: The Forest Service will prepare a supplement to the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the Southwest Idaho Ecogroup (SWIEG) Revised Land and Resource Management Plans and may amend the Payette Revised LRMP. This supplement is being conducted in order to comply

with the Chief's appeals decision of March 9, 2005. It is intended to present additional information for the Payette National Forest portion of the SWIEG concerning: (1) The viability of Rocky Mountain bighorn sheep (bighorn sheep) at the planning unit scale; (2) compliance with the Hells Canyon National Recreation Area Act (HCNRA); (3) 36 CFR 292.48; (4) compliance with the National Forest Management Act (NFMA); and (5) 36 CR 219.19. The amendment would add direction to the Payette Revised LRMP to address the viability concerns for bighorn sheep.

DATES: Comments on the draft supplement to the FEIS and amendment to the Payette Revised LRMP must be received within 90 days after publication of the draft supplement to the FEIS for the Southwest Idaho Ecogroup Revised Land and Resource Management Plans (SWIEG FLRMPs) and the draft amendment to the Payette LRMP. The draft supplement to the SWIEG FLRMPs EIS and the draft amendment to the Payette LRMP are expected in June 2007 and the final supplement and amendment are expected in December 2007.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Pattie Soucek, Land Management Planner, Payette National Forest, 800 W. Lakeside Avenue, McCall, Idaho 83638-3602; or via telephone at (208) 634-0700; or you may hand-deliver your comments to the Payette Forest Supervisor's Office, located at 800 W. Lakeside Avenue in McCall during normal business hours from 7:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays. Electronic comments must be submitted in a format such as an e-mail message, plain text (.txt), rich text format (.rtf), and Word (.doc) to: comments-intermtn-Payette@fs.fed.us.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pattie Soucek, Land Management Planner, Payette National Forest, at the address above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 9, 2005, the Chief of the Forest Service made the decision on the administrative appeals for the Payette Revised LRMP. The Chief reversed the Regional Forester's decision to approve management direction for the Hells Canyon Management Area (MA) as it pertains to bighorn sheep and its habitat. The Regional Forester was instructed to reanalyze bighorn sheep viability within the Payette NF, amend the SWIEG FEIS accordingly, and evaluate and adopt as necessary, changes in the management direction for the Hells Canyon MA and adjacent areas. The analysis and evaluation must