

Innovation in Regional Economic Development initiative and other relevant issues; (2) Indian and Native American Program Update; (3) Workgroup Structures and Activities; (4) Fiscal Year 08 Funding; and (5) Council Recommendations.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Craig Lewis, DFO, Indian and Native American Programs, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S-4206, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210. Telephone: (202) 693-3384 (VOICE) (this is not a toll-free number).

Signed at Washington, DC, this 30th day of March 2007.

**Emily Stover DeRocco,**

*Assistant Secretary, Employment and Training Administration.*

[FR Doc. E7-6222 Filed 4-2-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Submission for OMB review; comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The National Science Foundation (NSF) has submitted the following information collection requirement to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. This is the second notice for public comment; the first was published in the **Federal Register** at 72 FR 3167, and no substantial comments were received.

NSF is forwarding the proposed renewal submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance simultaneously with the publication of this second notice. Comments regarding (a) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for National Science

Foundation, 725-17th Street, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, and to Suzanne H. Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 295, Arlington, Virginia 22230 or send e-mail to [splimpto@nsf.gov](mailto:splimpto@nsf.gov).

Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of this notification. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling 703-292-7556.

NSF may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title of Collection:* International Cover Page Addendum.

*OMB Control No.:* 3145-New.

*Expiration Date of Approval:* Not applicable.

*Abstract:* The Office of International Science and Engineering within the Office of the NSF Director will use the International Cover Page Addendum. Principal Investigators submitting proposals to this Office will be asked to complete an electronic version of the International Cover Page Addendum. The Addendum requests foreign counterpart investigator/host information and participant demographics not requested elsewhere in NSF proposal documents.

The information gathered with the International Cover Page Addendum serves four purposes. The first is to enable proposal assignment to the program officer responsible for activity with the primary countries involved. No current component of a standard NSF proposal requests this information. (The international cooperative activities box on the standard NSF Cover Page applies only to one specific type of activity, not the wide range of activities supported by OISE.) NSF proposal assignment applications are program element-based and therefore can not be used to determine assignment by country. The second use of the information is program management. OISE is committed to investing in activities in all regions of the world. With data from this form, the Office can determine submissions by geographic region. Thirdly, funding decisions can not be made without details for the international partner not included in

any other part of the submission process. The fourth section, counts of scientists and students to be supported by the project, are also not available elsewhere in the proposal since OISE budgets do not include participant support costs. These factors are all important for OISE program management.

*Estimated Number of Annual Respondents:* 600.

*Burden on the Public:* 150 hours (15 minutes per respondent).

Dated: March 28, 2007.

**Suzanne H. Plimpton,**

*Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.*

[FR Doc. E7-6095 Filed 4-2-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-305]

### Dominion Energy Kewaunee, Inc.; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of Dominion Energy Kewaunee, Inc. (the licensee) to withdraw its April 6, 2006, application for proposed amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-43 for the Kewaunee Power Station, located in Kewaunee County, Wisconsin.

The proposed amendment would have revised the facility Updated Safety Analysis Report to allow the use of a different methodology for determining the design requirements necessary for protecting safety-related equipment from damage by tornado generated missiles.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the **Federal Register** on May 23, 2006 (71 FR 29673). However, by letter dated March 19, 2007, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated April 6, 2006, and the licensee's letter dated March 19, 2007, which withdrew the application for license amendment. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and

Management Systems (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, or 301-415-4737 or by e-mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of March 2007.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Robert F. Kuntz,**

*Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch III-1, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. E7-6138 Filed 4-2-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 52-011-ESP; ASLBP No. 07-850-01-ESP-BD01]

**Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel; Before the Licensing Board: G. Paul Bollwerk, III, Chairman, Nicholas G. Trikouros, Dr. James F. Jackson; In the Matter of Southern Nuclear Operating Co. (Early Site Permit for Vogtle ESP Site); Notice of Hearing (Application for Early Site Permit)**

March 28, 2007.

This proceeding concerns the August 15, 2006 application of Southern Nuclear Operating Company (SNC) for a 10 CFR Part 52 early site permit (ESP). The ESP application seeks approval for use of the existing Vogtle Electric Generating Plant site near Waynesboro, Georgia, for the possible construction of two new nuclear reactors. In response to an October 5, 2006 notice of hearing and opportunity to petition for leave to intervene, 71 FR 60,195 (Oct. 12, 2006), on December 11, 2006, the Center for a Sustainable Coast, Savannah Riverkeeper, Southern Alliance for Clean Energy, Atlanta Women's Action for New Directions, and Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League (collectively the Joint Petitioners) filed a timely request for hearing and petition to intervene contesting the SNC ESP application. On December 13, 2006, the Commission referred the petition to the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel to conduct any subsequent adjudication. On December 15, 2006, a three-member Atomic Safety and Licensing Board was established to adjudicate this ESP proceeding. See 71 FR 77,071 (Dec. 22, 2006).

On February 13, 2007, the Board conducted a one-day initial prehearing conference in Waynesboro, Georgia, during which it heard oral presentations regarding the standing of the Joint Petitioners and the admissibility of their seven proffered contentions. Thereafter, in a March 12, 2007 issuance, finding that each of the Joint Petitioners had established the requisite standing to intervene in this proceeding and that they had submitted two admissible contentions concerning the SNC ESP application, the Board admitted them as parties to this proceeding. See *Southern Nuclear Operating Co.* (Early Site Permit for Vogtle ESP Site), LBP-07-03, 65 NRC (Mar. 12, 2006).

In light of the foregoing, please take notice that a hearing will be conducted in this proceeding. Subject to any Board determination regarding any request to utilize formal hearing procedures under 10 CFR part 2, Subpart G, see 10 CFR 2.310(d), the hearing on contested matters will be governed by the informal hearing procedures set forth in 10 CFR part 2, subparts C and L, 10 CFR 2.300-2.390, 2.1200-12.1213. Further, in accordance with the October 2006 notice regarding the SNC ESP application, 71 FR at 60,195, and 10 CFR 52.21, in the context of a hearing on uncontested matters, the Licensing Board will:

(1) Consider whether the issuance of an ESP will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public (Safety Issue 1);

(2) Determine whether, taking into consideration the site criteria contained in 10 CFR part 100, a reactor, or reactors, having characteristics that fall within the parameters for the site, can be constructed and operated without undue risk to the health and safety of the public (Safety Issue 2); and

(3) Consider whether, in accordance with the requirements of subpart A of 10 CFR part 51, the ESP should be issued as proposed.

Additionally, in accord with the October 2006 notice, the Board will:

(1) Determine whether the requirements of sections 102(2)(A), (C), and (E) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and 10 CFR Part 51, Subpart A, have been complied with in the proceeding;

(2) Independently consider the final balance among conflicting factors contained in the record of proceeding with a view to determining the appropriate action to be taken; and

(3) Determine, after considering reasonable alternatives, whether a license should be issued, denied, or

appropriately conditioned to protect environmental values.

During the course of this proceeding, the Board may conduct an oral argument, as provided in 10 CFR 2.331, may hold additional prehearing conferences pursuant to 10 CFR 2.329, and may conduct evidentiary hearings in accordance with 10 CFR 2.327-2.328, 2.1206-2.1208. The public is invited to attend any oral argument, prehearing conference, or evidentiary hearing. Notices of those sessions will be published in the **Federal Register** and/or made available to the public at the NRC Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, and through the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov>.

Additionally, as provided in 10 CFR 2.315(a), any person not a party to the proceeding may submit a written limited appearance statement. Limited appearance statements, which are placed in the docket for the hearing, provide members of the public with an opportunity to make the Board and/or the participants aware of their concerns about matters at issue in the proceeding. A written limited appearance statement can be submitted at any time and should be sent to the Office of the Secretary using one of the methods prescribed below:

*Mail to:* Office of the Secretary, Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

*Fax to:* (301) 415-1101 (verification (301) 415-1966).

*E-mail to:* [hearingdocket@nrc.gov](mailto:hearingdocket@nrc.gov).

In addition, a copy of the limited appearance statement should be sent to the Licensing Board Chairman using the same method at the address below:

*Mail to:* Administrative Judge G. Paul Bollwerk, III, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, Mail Stop T-3F23, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

*Fax to:* (301) 415-5599 (verification (301) 415-7550).

*E-mail to:* [gpb@nrc.gov](mailto:gpb@nrc.gov).

At a later date, the Board may entertain oral limited appearance statements at a location, or locations, in the vicinity of the proposed Vogtle ESP site. Notice of any oral limited appearance sessions will be published in the **Federal Register** and/or made available to the public at the NRC PDR and on the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov>.

Documents relating to this proceeding are available for public inspection at the Commission's PDR or electronically from the publicly available records