

received did not distort Pidilite's financial ratios; (3) re-examine the surrogate values for benzene sulfonyl chloride, calcium chloride and steam; (4) either include terminal charges and brokerage fees in movement costs, or precisely and reasonably explain its decision not to include such costs; and (5) re-open the record and allow parties to submit new information as necessary.

On October 16, 2006, the Department issued to the CIT its final results of redetermination pursuant to remand. In the remand redetermination the Department: (1) Applied partial AFA to Hanchem; (2) explained how the subsidies Pidilite received did not distort Pidilite's financial ratios; (3) recalculated the surrogate values for benzene sulfonyl chloride, calcium chloride and steam; (4) explained why it is not appropriate to include terminal charges and brokerage fees in movement costs; and (5) calculated a surrogate value for steam. Thus, the Department recalculated the antidumping duty rates applicable to Goldlink Industries Co., Ltd., Trust Chem Co., Ltd., Hanchem, Nantong Haidi Chemicals Co., Ltd., and the PRC-wide entity. On December 8, 2006, the CIT sustained the Department's final redetermination. See *Goldlink Industries Co., Ltd., Trust Chem Co., Ltd., Tianjin Hanchem International Trading Co., Ltd. v. United States, and Nation Ford Chemical Company and Sun Chemical Corporation, and Clariant Corporation*, Ct. No. 05-00060, Slip Op. 06-65 (CIT December 8, 2006).

Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in *Timken Company v. United States and China National Machinery and Equipment Import and Export Corporation*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990), on January 4, 2007, the Department published a notice announcing that the CIT's final judgement was not in harmony with the Department's *Final Determination*. No party appealed the CIT's decision. Therefore, there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this case.

**Amended Final Determination**

As the litigation in this case has concluded, the Department is amending the Final Determination. The revised dumping margins are as follows:

Exporter/Manufacturer	Margin (percent)
Goldlink Industries Co., Ltd. ....	12.46
Trust Chem Co., Ltd. ....	39.29
Tianjin Hanchem International Trading Co., Ltd. ....	85.41
Nantong Haidi Chemicals Co., Ltd. ....	57.07

Exporter/Manufacturer	Margin (percent)
PRC-Wide Rate .....	241.32

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: March 22, 2007.  
**David M. Spooner**,  
*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*  
 [FR Doc. E7-5859 Filed 3-29-07; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration  
 A-122-840**

**Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Canada**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.  
**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the "Department") has determined that (1) Ivaco Rolling Mills 2004 L.P. is the successor-in-interest to Ivaco Rolling Mills L.P.; and (2) Sivaco Ontario, a division of Sivaco Wire Group 2004 L.P., is the successor-in-interest to Ivaco Inc. As a result, Ivaco Rolling Mills 2004 L.P., and Sivaco Ontario, a division of Sivaco Wire Group 2004 L.P., (collectively "Ivaco") should receive the same antidumping duty treatment with respect to carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Canada as Ivaco Rolling Mills L.P. and Ivaco Inc. as of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 30, 2007.  
**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Damian Felton or Brandon Farlander, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0133 and (202) 482-0182, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

In its January 12, 2006 response to Section A of the Department's questionnaire in the 3rd administrative review, Ivaco notified the Department that the assets of Ivaco, Inc. and all of its divisions (e.g., Sivaco Ontario, and Sivaco Quebec) had been purchased on December 1, 2004. As a result, the Department self-initiated a changed circumstances review of the

antidumping duty order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Canada. See *Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Canada*, 71 FR 64921 (November 6, 2006). On June 1, 2006, and October 27, 2006, the Department issued Ivaco supplemental questionnaires requesting further details on Ivaco's successor-in-interest claims. The company's responses were received by the Department on July 6, 2006, and November 20, 2006.

On December 14, 2006, the Department published the preliminary results of this changed circumstances review and preliminarily determined that (1) Ivaco Rolling Mills 2004 L.P. is the successor-in-interest to Ivaco Rolling Mills L.P.; and (2) Sivaco Ontario, a division of Sivaco Wire Group 2004 L.P., is the successor-in-interest to Ivaco Inc. See *Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Canada*, 71 FR 75229 (December 14, 2006) ("*Preliminary Results*"). As a result, Ivaco Rolling Mills 2004 L.P., and Sivaco Ontario, a division of Sivaco Wire Group 2004 L.P., should receive the same antidumping duty treatment with respect to carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Canada as Ivaco Rolling Mills L.P. and Ivaco Inc. In the *Preliminary Results*, we stated that interested parties could request a hearing or submit case briefs and/or written comments to the Department no later than 30 days after publication of the *Preliminary Results* notice in the **Federal Register**, and submit rebuttal briefs, limited to the issues raised in the case briefs, five days subsequent to the due date of the case briefs. See *Preliminary Results*, 71 FR at 75231. We did not receive any hearing requests or comments on the *Preliminary Results*.

**Scope of the Order**

The merchandise subject to this order is certain hot-rolled products of carbon steel and alloy steel, in coils, of approximately round cross section, 5.00 mm or more, but less than 19.00 mm, in solid cross-sectional diameter.

Specifically excluded are steel products possessing the above-noted physical characteristics and meeting the HTSUS definitions for (a) stainless steel; (b) tool steel; (c) high nickel steel; (d) ball bearing steel; and (e) concrete reinforcing bars and rods. Also excluded are (f) free machining steel products (i.e., products that contain by weight one or more of the following elements:

0.03 percent or more of lead, 0.05 percent or more of bismuth, 0.08 percent or more of sulfur, more than 0.04 percent of phosphorus, more than 0.05 percent of selenium, or more than 0.01 percent of tellurium).

Also excluded from the scope are 1080 grade tire cord quality wire rod and 1080 grade tire bead quality wire rod. This grade 1080 tire cord quality rod is defined as: (i) grade 1080 tire cord quality wire rod measuring 5.0 mm or more but not more than 6.0 mm in cross-sectional diameter; (ii) with an average partial decarburization of no more than 70 microns in depth (maximum individual 200 microns); (iii) having no non-deformable inclusions greater than 20 microns and no deformable inclusions greater than 35 microns; (iv) having a carbon segregation per heat average of 3.0 or better using European Method NFA 04-114; (v) having a surface quality with no surface defects of a length greater than 0.15 mm; (vi) capable of being drawn to a diameter of 0.30 mm or less with 3 or fewer breaks per ton, and (vii) containing by weight the following elements in the proportions shown: (1) 0.78 percent or more of carbon, (2) less than 0.01 percent of aluminum, (3) 0.040 percent or less, in the aggregate, of phosphorus and sulfur, (4) 0.006 percent or less of nitrogen, and (5) not more than 0.15 percent, in the aggregate, of copper, nickel and chromium.

This grade 1080 tire bead quality rod is defined as: (i) grade 1080 tire bead quality wire rod measuring 5.5 mm or more but not more than 7.0 mm in cross-sectional diameter; (ii) with an average partial decarburization of no more than 70 microns in depth (maximum individual 200 microns); (iii) having no non-deformable inclusions greater than 20 microns and no deformable inclusions greater than 35 microns; (iv) having a carbon segregation per heat average of 3.0 or better using European Method NFA 04-114; (v) having a surface quality with no surface defects of a length greater than 0.2 mm; (vi) capable of being drawn to a diameter of 0.78 mm or larger with 0.5 or fewer breaks per ton; and (vii) containing by weight the following elements in the proportions shown: (1) 0.78 percent or more of carbon, (2) less than 0.01 percent of soluble aluminum, (3) 0.040 percent or less, in the aggregate, of phosphorus and sulfur, (4) 0.008 percent or less of nitrogen, and (5) either not more than 0.15 percent, in the aggregate, of copper, nickel and chromium (if chromium is not

specified), or not more than 0.10 percent in the aggregate of copper and nickel and a chromium content of 0.24 to 0.30 percent (if chromium is specified).

For purposes of the grade 1080 tire cord quality wire rod and the grade 1080 tire bead quality wire rod, an inclusion will be considered to be deformable if its ratio of length (measured along the axis - that is, the direction of rolling - of the rod) over thickness (measured on the same inclusion in a direction perpendicular to the axis of the rod) is equal to or greater than three. The size of an inclusion for purposes of the 20 microns and 35 microns limitations is the measurement of the largest dimension observed on a longitudinal section measured in a direction perpendicular to the axis of the rod. This measurement methodology applies only to inclusions on certain grade 1080 tire cord quality wire rod and certain grade 1080 tire bead quality wire rod that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after July 24, 2003.

The designation of the products as "tire cord quality" or "tire bead quality" indicates the acceptability of the product for use in the production of tire cord, tire bead, or wire for use in other rubber reinforcement applications such as hose wire. These quality designations are presumed to indicate that these products are being used in tire cord, tire bead, and other rubber reinforcement applications, and such merchandise intended for the tire cord, tire bead, or other rubber reinforcement applications is not included in the scope. However, should petitioners or other interested parties provide a reasonable basis to believe or suspect that there exists a pattern of importation of such products for other than those applications, end-use certification for the importation of such products may be required. Under such circumstances, only the importers of record would normally be required to certify the end use of the imported merchandise.

All products meeting the physical description of subject merchandise that are not specifically excluded are included in this scope.

The products under the order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7213.91.3010, 7213.91.3090, 7213.91.4510, 7213.91.4590, 7213.91.6010, 7213.91.6090, 7213.99.0031, 7213.99.0038, 7213.99.0090, 7227.20.0010, 7227.20.0020, 7227.20.0090, 7227.20.0095, 7227.90.6051, 7227.90.6053, 7227.90.6058, and

7227.90.6059 of the HTSUS. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

### Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

Based on the information provided by Ivaco, and the fact that the Department did not receive any comments during the comment period following the preliminary results of this review, the Department hereby determines that (1) Ivaco Rolling Mills 2004 L.P. is the successor-in-interest to Ivaco Rolling Mills L.P.; and (2) Sivaco Ontario, a division of Sivaco Wire Group 2004 L.P., is the successor-in-interest to Ivaco Inc. for antidumping duty cash deposit purposes.

### Instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to suspend liquidation of all shipments of the subject merchandise produced and exported by Ivaco entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, on or after the publication date of this notice and apply a cash deposit rate of 3.08 percent (*i.e.*, Ivaco Rolling Mills L.P. and Ivaco Inc.'s cash deposit rate). This deposit rate shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the ongoing administrative review, in which Ivaco is participating.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APOs") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.306. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is in accordance with sections 751(b) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and section 351.216(e) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: March 22, 2007.

**David M. Spooner,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E7-5866 Filed 3-29-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**