

flanges to the United States. Therefore, we find that Pradeep is not a new shipper pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act, and that Pradeep's request for new shipper review does not meet the requirements of 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv)(A),(B) and (C). Accordingly, we are rescinding the new shipper review of Pradeep.

Assessment

The Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For Pradeep, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(I). The Department will issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of this notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to any parties that are subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO material or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanctions.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(f)(3).

Dated: March 23, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-5934 Filed 3-29-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-831]

Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limits for the Final Results of the 11th Administrative Review and New Shipper Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 30, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Walker, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0413.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 11, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the preliminary results of the administrative review and new shipper review of fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), covering the period November 1, 2004, through October 31, 2005. *See Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Partial Rescission and Preliminary Results of the Eleventh Administrative Review and New Shipper Reviews*, 71 FR 71510 (December 11, 2006).

Extension of Time Limit of Final Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), and section 351.213(h)(1) of the Department's regulations, the Department shall issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the antidumping duty order. The Act further provides that the Department shall issue the final results of a review within 120 days after the date on which the notice of the preliminary results was published in the **Federal Register**. However, if the Department determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations allow the Department to extend the 245-day period to 365 days and the 120-day period to 180 days. Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act also provides that we may extend the deadlines in a new shipper review if we

determine that the case is extraordinarily complicated.

The Department determines that it would not be practicable to complete the final results of the aligned administrative review and new shipper reviews within the statutory time period. The Department requires additional time to analyze voluminous comments regarding the nine companies involved in the instant reviews. This includes several issues the Department considers to be extraordinarily complicated, including, but not limited to, the intermediate valuation of the garlic bulb. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for issuing the final results of this review by 60 days until June 9, 2007. However, since June 9th falls on a Saturday, the actual due date is June 11, 2007.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(c)(3)(A) and 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, and section 351.214(h)(i)(1) of the Department's Regulations.

Dated: March 19, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-5861 Filed 3-29-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-421-807]

Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Netherlands: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from Nucor Corporation (Nucor), Mittal Steel USA Inc. (Mittal) and United States Steel Corporation (USSC) (collectively, petitioners), the U.S. Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from the Netherlands for Corus Staal BV (Corus) for the period November 1, 2005, through October 31, 2006. No other interested party requested a review of Corus for this period of review. For the reasons discussed below, the Department is rescinding this administrative review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 30, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Cordell or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0408 or at (202) 482-0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On November 1, 2006, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from the Netherlands, (71 FR 64240). On November 30, 2006, we received requests from USSC, Mittal and Nucor to conduct an administrative review of Corus' sales of certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products to the United States during the period November 1, 2005, through October 31, 2006. On December 27, 2006, the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from the Netherlands for the period November 1, 2005 through October 31, 2006, in order to determine whether merchandise imported into the United States was sold at less than fair value by Corus. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 71 FR 77720 (December 27, 2006).

On February 27, 2007 USSC Mittal and Nucor withdrew their requests for review. On March 9, 2007, Corus submitted comments in regards to the withdrawal requests. These comments are summarized and addressed in an accompanying memorandum, which is being released in conjunction with this notice. *See* memorandum to Richard Weible, Office Director, through Robert James, Program Manager, from David Cordell, entitled "Comments on Domestic Interested Parties Requests for Withdrawal."

Rescission of Review

Section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations provide that the Department will rescind an administrative review if the party that requested the review withdraws its request for review within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, or withdraws at a later date if the Department determines that it is reasonable to extend the time limit for withdrawing the request. As all parties that requested this review have withdrawn those requests within 90 days of the date of publication of the

notice of initiation of the requested review, this review is rescinded. The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to Customs and Border Protection (CBP) 15 days after the date of the publication of this notice. The Department will direct CBP to assess antidumping duties for Corus Staal BV at the cash deposit rate in effect on the date of entry for entries during the period November 1, 2005, through October 31, 2006.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under section 351.402(f) of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's assumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 351.305(a)(3) of the Department's regulations. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: March 23, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-5864 Filed 3-29-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-412-822]

Stainless Steel Bar from the United Kingdom: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to a timely request by Firth Rixson Ltd., the Department of Commerce (the

Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from the United Kingdom with respect to Enpar Special Alloys Ltd. (Enpar). The period of review (POR) is March 1, 2005, through February 28, 2006.

We preliminarily determine that sales have been made below normal value (NV). If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of administrative review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries.

In addition, the Department has received information sufficient to warrant a successor-in-interest analysis in this administrative review. Based on this information, we preliminarily determine that Enpar is the successor-in-interest to Firth Rixson Special Steels Ltd. for purposes of determining antidumping duty liability. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 30, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kate Johnson or Rebecca Trainor, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4929 or (202) 482-4007, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On March 7, 2002, the Department published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from the United Kingdom. *See Antidumping Duty Order: Stainless Steel Bar from the United Kingdom*, 67 FR 10381 (March 7, 2002).

In response to timely requests by manufacturer/exporters, Firth Rixson Ltd.¹ and Corus Engineering Steels (Corus), the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review with respect to these companies. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 71 FR 25145 (April 28, 2006). The POR is March 1, 2005, through February 28, 2006.

On April 25, 2006, we issued antidumping duty questionnaires to the above-mentioned companies. On May 16, 2006, Enpar requested that the Department allow it to limit its reporting of home market sales and cost of production information in this

¹ Firth Rixson Ltd. is the parent company of Enpar, the respondent in this review, which was formerly known as Firth Rixson Special Steels Ltd.