

contains procedures that provide relief for State agencies from all or a part of a QC liability when a State agency can demonstrate that a part or all of an excessive error rate was due to an unusual event that had an uncontrollable impact on the State agency's payment error rate. This is referred to as the good cause process.

The approved burden for the QC system includes the burden for the QC sampling plan, the arbitration process, and the good cause process. The annual reporting burden associated with the QC sampling plan remains at 265 hours. We estimate the annual reporting burdens associated with arbitration and good cause processes to total 350 hours and 160 hours respectively. The decrease in the proposed burden from the currently approved 1643 to 350 hours for the arbitration process is due to a re-determination in the number of State agencies estimated to respond from 53 to 14 and to the estimated number of responses per State agency from 3.1 to 2.5. These decreases are a result of State agencies more frequently agreeing with FNS' findings. The proposed annual reporting burden for the good cause process is unchanged from the currently approved burden of 160 hours.

The proposed annual recordkeeping burden associated with the QC sampling plan is 1.25 hours per year. The proposed annual recordkeeping burdens associated with arbitration and good cause processes are estimated to total .83 and .0236 hours respectively. The recordkeeping burden for the arbitration process decreased from 3.89 hours to .83 hours due to a re-determination in the estimated number of affected State agencies from 53 to 14 and the estimated number of responses per State decreased from 3.1 to 2.5. The recordkeeping burden for the good cause process decreased from 1.25 to .0236 hours due to a re-determination in the estimated number of affected State agencies from 53 to 1 per year. The total annual burden for the QC system, as proposed by this notice, decreased from 2074 to 777 hours.

#### **Quality Control System Reporting Burden Associated With the Sampling Plan, Arbitration, and Good Cause**

##### *1. Sampling Plan*

*Affected Public:* State agencies.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 53.

*Estimated Number of Responses Per Respondent:* 1.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 5 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 265.

##### *2. Arbitration Process*

*Affected Public:* State agencies.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 14.

*Estimated Number of Responses Per Respondent:* 2.5.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 10 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 350.

##### *3. Good Cause Process*

*Affected Public:* State agencies.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 1.

*Estimated Number of Responses:* 1.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 160 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 160.

#### **Quality Control System Recordkeeping Burden Associated With the Sampling Plan, Arbitration, and Good Cause**

##### *1. Sampling Plan*

*Estimated Number of Recordkeepers:* 53.

*Estimated Number of Records Per Respondent:* 1.

*Estimated Staff Hours Per Recordkeeping:* .0236.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 1.25.

##### *2. Arbitration Process*

*Estimated Number of Recordkeepers:* 14.

*Estimated Number of Records Per Respondent:* 2.5

*Estimated Staff Hours Per Recordkeeping:* .0236

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* .83

##### *3. Good Cause Process*

*Estimated Number of Recordkeepers:* 1.

*Estimated Number of Records:* 1.

*Estimated Staff Hours Per Recordkeeping:* .0236.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* .0236.

*The Combined Quality Control System Burden (includes the burdens associated with the Sampling Plan, Arbitration and Good Cause):* 777 hours.

Dated: March 16, 2007.

**George A. Braley,**

*Acting Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service.*

[FR Doc. E7-5333 Filed 3-22-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-30-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

### **Foreign Agricultural Service**

#### **WTO Agricultural Safeguard Trigger Levels**

**AGENCY:** Foreign Agricultural Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of product coverage and trigger levels for safeguard measures provides for in the World Trade Organization (WTO) Agreement on Agriculture.

**SUMMARY:** This notice lists the updated quantity trigger levels for products, which may be subject to additional import duties under the safeguard provisions of the WTO Agreement on Agriculture. This notice also includes the relevant period applicable for the trigger levels on each of the listed products.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 23, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lana Bennett, Import and Trade Support Programs Division, Foreign Agricultural Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Stop 1047, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-1047, telephone at (202) 720-0638, or e-mail [itspd@fas.usda.gov](mailto:itspd@fas.usda.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Article 5 of the WTO Agreement on Agriculture provides that additional import duties may be imposed on imports of products subject to tariffication as a result of the Uruguay Round if certain conditions are met. The agreement permits additional duties to be charged if the price of an individual shipment of imported products falls below the average price for similar goods imported during the years 1986-88 by a specified percentage. It also permits additional duties to be imposed if the volume of imports of an article exceeds the average of the most recent 3 years for which data are available by 5, 10, or 25 percent, depending on the article. These additional duties may not be imposed on quantities for which minimum or current access commitments were made during the Uruguay Round negotiations, and only one type of safeguard, price or quantity, may be applied at any given time to an article. Section 405 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act requires that the President cause to be published in the **Federal Register** information regarding the price and quantity safeguards, including the quantity trigger levels, which must be updated annually based upon import levels during the most recent 3 years. The President delegated this duty to the Secretary of Agriculture in Presidential Proclamation No. 6763, dated December

23, 1994, 60 FR 1005 (Jan. 4, 1995). The Secretary of Agriculture further delegated the duty to the Administrator of the Foreign Agricultural Service (7 CFR 2.43(a)(2) (2007)). The Annex to this notice contains the updated quantity trigger levels.

Additional information on the products subject to safeguards and the additional duties which may apply can be found in subchapter IV of Chapter 99 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of

the United States (2007) and in the Secretary of Agriculture's Notice of Uruguay Round Agricultural Safeguard Trigger Levels, published in the **Federal Register** at 60 FR 427, (Jan. 4, 1995).

*Notice:* As provided in section 405 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act, consistent with Article 5 of the Agreement on Agriculture, the safeguard quantity trigger levels previously notified are superceded by the levels indicated in the Annex to this notice.

The definitions of these products were provided in the Notice of Uruguay Round Agricultural Safeguard Trigger Levels, published in the **Federal Register** at 60 FR 427, (Jan. 4, 1995).

Dated: March 15, 2007.

**Michael W. Yost,**

*Administrator, Foreign Agricultural Service.*

**Annex**

#### QUANTITY-BASED SAFEGUARD TRIGGER

Product	Trigger level	Period
Beef .....	469,450 mt .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Mutton .....	2,919 mt .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Cream .....	3,005,322 liters .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Evaporated or Condensed Milk .....	6,890,725 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Nonfat Dry Milk .....	585,072 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Dried Whole Milk .....	3,872,863 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Dried Cream .....	22,149 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Dried Whey/Buttermilk .....	48,044 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Butter .....	11,501,939 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Butter Oil and Butter Substitutes .....	8,426,033 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Dairy Mixtures .....	52,446,272 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Blue Cheese .....	5,151,516 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Cheddar Cheese .....	12,656,523 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
American-Type Cheese .....	12,208,739 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Edam/Gouda Cheese .....	8,526,313 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Italian-Type Cheese .....	23,435,224 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Swiss Cheese with Eye Formation .....	33,850,685 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Gruyere Process Cheese .....	7,783,826 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Lowfat Cheese .....	2,554,432 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
NSPF Cheese .....	55,415,198 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Peanuts .....	15,699 mt .....	April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007.
	15,634 mt .....	April 1, 2007 to March 31, 2008.
Peanut Butter/Paste .....	3,738 mt .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Raw Cane Sugar .....	1,172,199 mt .....	October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2007.
	1,411,011 mt .....	October 1, 2007 to September 30, 2008.
Refined Sugar and Syrups .....	73,889 mt .....	October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2007.
	158,544 mt .....	October 1, 2007 to September 30, 2008.
Blended Syrups .....	36 mt .....	October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2007.
	29 mt .....	October 1, 2007 to September 30, 2008.
Articles Over 65% Sugar .....	358 mt .....	October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2007.
	593 mt .....	October 1, 2007 to September 30, 2008.
Articles Over 10% Sugar .....	18,297 mt .....	October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2007.
	17,655 mt .....	October 1, 2007 to September 30, 2008.
Sweetened Cocoa Powder .....	1,008 mt .....	October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2007.
	1,083 mt .....	October 1, 2007 to September 30, 2008.
Chocolate Crumb .....	8,391,217 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Lowfat Chocolate Crumb .....	274,366 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Infant Formula Containing Oligosaccharides .....	33,896 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Mixes and Doughs .....	101 mt .....	October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2007.
	111 mt .....	October 1, 2007 to September 30, 2008.
Mixed Condiments and Seasonings .....	0 mt .....	October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2007.
	0 mt .....	October 1, 2007 to September 30, 2008.
Ice Cream .....	1,700,229 liters .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Animal Feed Containing Milk .....	71,519 kilograms .....	January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
Short Staple Cotton .....	29,945 kilograms .....	September 20, 2006 to September 19, 2007.
	128,095 kilograms .....	September 20, 2007 to September 19, 2008.
Harsh or Rough Cotton .....	0 kilograms .....	August 1, 2006 to July 31, 2007.
	0 kilograms .....	August 1, 2007 to July 31, 2008.
Medium Staple Cotton .....	2,361,931 kilograms .....	August 1, 2006 to July 31, 2007.
	2,113,814 kilograms .....	August 1, 2007 to July 31, 2008.
Extra Long Staple Cotton .....	8,109,615 kilograms .....	August 1, 2006 to July 31, 2007.
	7,045,601 kilograms .....	August 1, 2007 to July 31, 2008.
Cotton Waste .....	7,692 kilograms .....	September 20, 2006 to September 19, 2007.
	5,128 kilograms .....	September 20, 2007 to September 19, 2008.
Cotton, Processed, Not Spun .....	26,833 kilograms .....	September 11, 2006 to September 10, 2007.
	19,473 kilograms .....	September 11, 2007 to September 10, 2008.

[FR Doc. 07-1423 Filed 3-22-07; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3410-10-M

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### Hawaii Experimental Tropical Forest

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of Establishment.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 606(b) of the International Forestry Cooperation Act of 1990, as amended, the following lands in the State of Hawaii are designated as units of the Hawaii Experimental Tropical Forest:

1. Laupāhoehoe Experimental Forest Unit comprising approximately 12,343 acres on the Island of Hawaii, and

2. Pu'u Wa'awa'a Experimental Forest Unit comprising approximately 38,885 acres on the Island of Hawaii.

Research on these experimental forest units shall be administered in accordance with applicable laws and the Cooperative Agreement between the United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, and the State of Hawaii, Board of Land and Natural Resources, entered into on December 12, 2006.

**DATES:** This establishment is effective on the date of this notice.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** J. Boone Kauffman, Director, Institute of Pacific Islands Forestry, Pacific Southwest Research Station, USDA Forest Service, 60 Nowelo Street, Hilo, Hawaii 96720. Phone: (808) 933-8121. E-mail: [boonekauffman@fs.fed.us](mailto:boonekauffman@fs.fed.us).

Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, Monday through Friday.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In 1992, Congress enacted the Hawaii Tropical Forest Recovery Act (Pub. L. 102-574). That enactment added section 606(b) to the International Forestry Cooperation Act of 1990 providing that, at the request of the Governor of Hawaii, the Secretary of Agriculture shall establish and administer in the State of Hawaii a Hawaii Experimental Tropical Forest to be managed for the purposes outlined in the Hawaii Tropical Forest Recovery Act. The Governor of Hawaii has requested the establishment of two units of an experimental tropical forest on State managed lands on the island of Hawaii. The Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, and the State of Hawaii, Board of Land and Natural Resources, have agreed on the conduct of

cooperative research activities on the two units.

Dated: February 15, 2007.

**Mike Johanns,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E7-5302 Filed 3-22-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### Proposed Land Management Plan Availability and 90-Day Comment Period Commencement

**AGENCY:** Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre, and Gunnison National Forests, Rocky Mountain Region, Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability of the proposed Land Management Plan for the Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre, and Gunnison National Forests and Commencement of the 90-Day Comment Period (per 36 CFR 219.9(b)(3)(ii)).

**DATES:** The comment period will run 90 days from publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or newspaper of record The Daily Sentinel, Grand Junction, Colorado) whichever occurs later. We currently estimate the period to end on or about June 20, 2007. Check the GMUG Web site for the actual date.

**SUMMARY:** The Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre, and Gunnison National Forests (GMUG) has made its proposed Land Management Plan and associated documents available for review. Interested parties are invited to review and comment on the proposed Plan and supporting documents, which include:

1. The proposed Land Management Plan, including maps and appendices (approximately 300 pages).
2. The proposed Land Management Plan Newsletter including Key Changes from current plan (16 pages).
3. The Comprehensive Evaluation Report summary of social, economic, and ecological conditions, trends, and management implications (approximately 280 pages).

The Comprehensive Assessments of conditions and trends which include volumes on terrestrial resources, watershed and aquatic resources, geologic and mineral resources, and human uses of the forest (4 volumes totaling approximately 2,500 pages).

The proposed Forest Plan, and Comprehensive Evaluation Report can be accessed, viewed, and downloaded from our planning Web site at [http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/gmug/policy/plan\\_rev/](http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/gmug/policy/plan_rev/), or by obtaining a CD from forest headquarters. The Comprehensive

Assessments will be available on CD only and sent to those who request it. Paper copies of the proposed Forest Plan newsletter and readers guide are available. Additionally, Geographic Information System (GIS) data used in the development of the proposed Plan can be viewed, and maps can be produced, on the Internet using ArcIMS. With this option you can view single date layers or overlay different layers. You can view the data forest-wide or zoom to your area of interest. To use this option, navigate to <http://maps.fs.fed.us> and scroll to the Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre, and Gunnison National Forests (GMUG) project.

**Public Involvement:** Current information and details of upcoming public participation opportunities are posted on our Web site: [http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/gmug/policy/plan\\_rev/](http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/gmug/policy/plan_rev/). Contact Anne Janik (970) 874-6637, or e-mail [ajanik@fs.fed.us](mailto:ajanik@fs.fed.us) to be placed on our mailing list.

**ADDRESSES:** Physical location: GMUG Forest Planning, 2250 Highway 50, Delta CO, 81416; or by e-mail [r2\\_GMUG\\_planning@fs.fed.us](mailto:r2_GMUG_planning@fs.fed.us).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gary Shellhorn, Analysis Team Leader, GMUG National Forest, (970) 874-6666 or e-mail [gshellhorn@fs.fed.us](mailto:gshellhorn@fs.fed.us) or view our Web site at [http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/gmug/policy/plan\\_rev/](http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/gmug/policy/plan_rev/).

#### Responsible Official

Charles S. Richmond, Forest Supervisor, Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre, and Gunnison National Forests, 2250 Highway 50, Delta, CO 81416.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre, and Gunnison National Forests (GMUG) are managed as a single administrative unit. The National Forest Management Act (NFMA) requires that all forest land management plans (Plans) be revised every 10-15 years. The current GMUG Plan was completed in 1983, and subsequently amended numerous times. The Plan needs to be updated to address changes that have occurred in and around the GMUG during the past two decades. There have been substantial changes in social, economic, and ecological conditions. Some of these include major population growth, dramatic increases in OHV use, the spread of invasive species, and the encroachment of development into the wildland interface. In addition, there are new laws, policies, and regulations and scientific research and technical developments that need to be incorporated into the Plan. Once finalized, the Plan will guide future programs and projects on the Forest.