

The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in title 40 of the CFR, after appearing in the **Federal Register** when approved, are listed in 40 CFR part 9, and displayed either by publication in the **Federal Register** or by other appropriate means, such as on the related collection instrument or form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers in certain EPA regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR part 9.

**Abstract:** The New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) for Storage Vessels for Petroleum Liquids for which Construction, Reconstruction or Modification Commenced after June 11, 1973, and prior to May 19, 1978 were proposed on June 11, 1973, and promulgated on March 8, 1974. These regulations apply to facilities that store petroleum liquids in storage vessels with a storage capacity greater than 151,416 liters (40,000 gallons) including: storage vessels with capacity greater than 151,416 liters (40,000 gallons) but not exceeding 246,052 liters (65,000 gallons), and where construction or modification commenced after March 8, 1974, and prior to May 19, 1978; and storage vessels that have a capacity greater than 246,052 liters (65,000 gallons), and where construction or modification commenced after June 11, 1973, and prior to May 19, 1978. Facilities that are not subject to these standards are storage vessels of petroleum or condensate stored, processed, and/or treated at a drilling and production facility prior to custody transfer. This information is being collected to assure compliance with 40 CFR part 60, subpart K.

In general, all NSPS standards require initial notifications, performance tests, and periodic reports. Affected facilities report only if a storage vessel is no longer subject to 40 CFR part 60, subpart K and will become subject to the current storage vessel standard, 40 CFR part 60, subpart Kb. The NSPS subpart K standards also require the owner/operator to document (recordkeeping) the activities of the storage period, the maximum true vapor pressure, and the type of petroleum liquid stored. This information is recorded only when a petroleum liquid is changed in the storage vessel. Owners or operators are also required to maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative. These notifications, reports, and records are essential in determining compliance, and required of all sources subject to NSPS.

Any owner or operator subject to the provisions of this part shall maintain a file of these measurements, and retain the file for at least two years following the date of such measurements, maintenance reports, and records. All reports are sent to the delegated state or local authority. In the event that there is no such delegated authority, the reports are sent directly to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regional office.

**Burden Statement:** The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.5 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

**Respondents/Affected Entities:** Owners or operators of facilities that store petroleum liquids for which construction, reconstruction or modification commenced after June 11, 1973, and prior to May 19, 1978.

**Estimated Number of Respondents:** 220.

**Frequency of Response:** Initially, on Occasion.

**Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:** 769 hours.

**Estimated Total Annualized Cost:** \$65,142, which includes \$0 annualized capital startup costs, \$0 Operating and Maintenance Costs (O&M) and \$65,142 annual labor costs.

**Changes in the Estimates:** There is a small increase of 100 in the labor hours and no change in the annualized cost, which includes capital/startup and operation and maintenance costs, in this ICR compared to the previous ICR. This is due to two considerations. First, the regulations have not changed over the past three years and are not anticipated to change over the next three years. Secondly, the growth rate for the industry is very low, negative or non-existent, so there is no significant change in the overall burden. Since there are no changes in the regulatory

requirements and there is no significant industry growth, the slight increase in the labor hours is only due to the inclusion of managerial and clerical hours and updating of the technical labor rate. In addition, there is no change in the annualized cost in this renewal when compared to the previous ICR.

Dated: March 7, 2007.

**Oscar Morales,**

*Director, Collection Strategies Division.*

[FR Doc. E7-4857 Filed 3-15-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6685-1]

### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202-564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 7, 2006 (71 FR 17845).

#### Draft EISs

*EIS No. 20060431, ERP No. D-COE-E67005-NC, PCS Phosphate Mine Continuation, Proposes Continued Mining of the Phosphate Reserve in an Economically Viable Fashion, Beaufort County, NC*

**Summary:** EPA objected to issuance of a permit for the applicant-preferred alternative, which would impact 2,408 acres of waters/wetlands of the U.S. and 7.3 miles of streams. EPA noted that the applicant-preferred alternative is the most environmentally damaging of the alternatives that were evaluated and would represent one of the largest wetland acreage loss ever permitted in North Carolina. EPA encouraged the Corps to determine if there may be another alternative that would have less environmental impact and still be practicable, and recommended specific refinements to other alternatives. EPA requested information in the Final EIS, including additional information about the economic analyses, determination of appropriate stream mitigation ratios, clarification of phosphogypsum stack impacts on surficial aquifers, and

mitigation of elevated cadmium in reclamation sites.

Rating EO2.

*EIS No. 20060471, ERP No. D-FHW-F40812-IL*, Prairie Parkway Study, Transportation System Improvement between Interstate 80 (I-80) and Interstate 88 (I-88) Grundy, Kendall and Kane Counties, IL

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about direct and indirect impacts to wetlands, streams and forests, as well as cumulative impacts.

Rating EC2.

*EIS No. 20060542, ERP No. D-FRC-L05239-00*, Spokane River and Post Falls Hydroelectric Project, Applications for two New Licenses for Existing 14.75 (mw) Post Falls No. 12606 and 122.9 (mw) Spokane River No. 2545, Kootenai and Benewah Counties, ID and Spokane, Lincoln and Stevens Counties, WA

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about degradation of water quality from the proposed relicensing, and requested additional information on metal contaminants and sediment supply/transport in the project area.

Rating EC2.

*EIS No. 20070008, ERP No. D-AFS-K61165-00*, Great Basin Creek South Rangeland Management Projects, Management of 12 Livestock Grazing Allotments, Bridgeport Ranger District, Lyon and Mineral Counties, NV and Mono County, CA

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about the proposed management actions and the potential environmental impacts of these actions. EPA requested additional site- and allotment-specific information and an expedited implementation of corrective management measures where ecosystem and rangeland functions are known to be impaired.

Rating EC2.

#### Final EISs

*EIS No. 20060537, ERP No. F-COE-K36143-CA*, American River Watershed, Lower American River Common Features Mayhew Levee Project, Reconstruction, Sacramento County, CA

*Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

*EIS No. 20060544, ERP No. F-FRC-C05149-NY*, Niagara Project, Hydroelectric Relicensing Application FERC No. 2216, Niagara River, Niagara County, NY

*Summary:* The Final EIS addressed EPA's earlier concerns regarding

potential cumulative impacts to water levels; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed relicensing.

*EIS No. 20070017, ERP No. F-NRC-C06016-NJ*, GENERIC—License Renewal of Nuclear Plants (GEIS) Regarding Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Supplement 28 to NUREG-1437, Located adjacent to Barnegat Bay, Lacy and Ocean Townships, Ocean County, NJ

*Summary:* EPA continues to have environmental concerns about the use of outdated ichthyoplankton and zooplankton studies. EPA is also concerned about the lack of a complete evaluation of the environmental effects from the continued operation of the facility based on these studies.

*EIS No. 20070027, ERP No. F-FRC-K05061-CA*, Lake Elsinore Advanced Pumped Storage (LEAPS) Project, Construction and Operation, Application for Hydroelectric License, Special-Use-Permit, FERC No.11858, City of Lake Elsinore, Riverside County, CA

*Summary:* EPA continues to have environmental concerns about the potential significant adverse impacts to air, water, and habitat resources; and recommended a number of mitigation measures to minimize the potential impacts.

*EIS No. 20070031, ERP No. F-AFS-K39101-CA*, Lake Davis Pike Eradication Project, To Eradicate Pike and Re-Establish Trout Fishery in the Tributaries, Special-Use-Permit, Plumas National Forest, Plumas County, CA

*Summary:* EPA continues to have environmental concerns about the tradeoffs from the neutralization process and the mechanisms that will avoid reintroduction of the species.

*EIS No. 20070045, ERP No. F-FHW-J40174-UT*, Riverdale Road Project (UT-26), Improvement Mobility and Safety between 1900 West in Roy, UT and U.S. Highway 89 (Washington Boulevard) in Ogden, UT, Cities of Roy, Riverdale, South Ogden and Ogden, Weber County, UT

*Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: March 13, 2007.

**Robert W. Hargrove,**  
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E7-4859 Filed 3-15-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6684-9]

### Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

*Responsible Agency:* Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/>. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements. Filed 03/05/2007 through 03/09/2007. Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

*EIS No. 20070088, Draft EIS, AFS, WY*, Eagle Prospect Exploratory Wells Project, Proposes to Drill up to 3 Exploratory Oil and Gas Wells, Big Piney Ranger District, Bridger-Teton National Forest, Sublette County, WY, *Comment Period Ends:* 04/30/2007, *Contact:* Teresa Trulock 307-367-4326.

*EIS No. 20070089, Draft EIS, MMS, 00*, Programmatic—Alternative Energy Development and Production and Alternate Use of Facilities on the Outer Continental Shelf, Implementation, Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, Pacific and Alaska, *Comment Period Ends:* 05/15/2007, *Contact:* Mary Boatman 703-787-1662.

*EIS No. 20070090, Final EIS, AFS, SD*, Dakota Prairie Grasslands Noxious Weed Management Project, Implementation, Billings, Slope, Golden Valley, Sioux, Grant, McHenry, Ransom and Richland Counties, ND and Corson, Perkins, and Ziebach Counties, SD, *Wait Period Ends:* 04/16/2007, *Contact:* Sheila McNee 701-250-4443.

*EIS No. 20070091, Draft EIS, NPS, MN*, Pipestone National Monument General Management Plan, Implementation, Pipestone County, MN, *Comment Period Ends:* 05/15/2007, *Contact:* Nick Chevance 402-661-1844.

*EIS No. 20070092, Draft Supplement, COE, FL*, Central and Southern Florida Project, Tamiami Trail Modifications, Modified Water Deliveries to Everglades National Park, Acquisition of Real Estate Rights to Portions of Privately Owned Properties along the Tamiami Trail, Dade County, FL, *Comment Period Ends:* 05/07/2007, *Contact:* Barbara Cintron 904-232-1692.

*EIS No. 20070093, Final EIS, CGB, CA*, Cabrillo Port Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Deepwater Port, Construction and Operation an Offshore Floating Storage and Regasification Unit (FSRU), Application for License, Ventura and Los Angeles Counties, CA, *Wait Period Ends:* 04/30/2007, *Contact:* Ray Martin 202-372-1449