

operations, and the socioeconomic environment.

**DATES:** The Draft GMP/EIS will be available for public review for 60 days from the date of publication of the EPA notice of availability in the **Federal Register**. The National Park Service will hold a public meeting during the public review period to solicit comments. Meeting date, time, and location will be announced in local media in advance of the meeting date. Comments on the Draft GMP/EIS must be received at one of the addresses below no later than 60 days from the date of publication of the EPA notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on the Draft GMP/EIS should be mailed to: Superintendent, Sagamore Hill National Historic Site, 20 Sagamore Hill Road, Oyster Bay, NY 11771-1809, or sent by e-mail to: [ellen\\_carlson@nps.gov](mailto:ellen_carlson@nps.gov). Comments may also be submitted at the park's Web site: <http://www.nps.gov/sahi>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Copies of the Draft GMP/EIS are available upon request by writing to: Ellen Carlson, Project Planner, National Park Service, Northeast Region, 15 State Street, Boston, MA 02109; e-mailing [ellen\\_carlson@nps.gov](mailto:ellen_carlson@nps.gov), or calling (617) 223-5048. The Draft GMP/EIS also is available for pick up in person at Sagamore Hill National Historic Site at 20 Sagamore Hill Road, Oyster Bay, New York, at the park's administrative offices in the Old Orchard Museum during regular business hours. It is also available on the park's Web site at <http://www.nps.gov/sahi>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** NPS planning staff, staff at Sagamore Hill, and key park partners collaborated in the development of the Draft GMP/EIS. A previous master plan was prepared for the park in 1963 but was not approved. Project scoping for the GMP began in May 2003. Formal public scoping sessions were held in Oyster Bay and NYC in April 2004. A preliminary alternatives newsletter was prepared and distributed in April 2005 followed by two community meetings.

The Draft GMP/EIS addresses the following issues—improving the visitor experience, broadening and diversifying the park audience, improving operational efficiency, and enhancing resource protection. Key park partners closely involved in the development of planning alternatives include the Theodore Roosevelt Association, the Friends of Sagamore Hill, and the park's Volunteer Advisory Board.

In addition to *Alternative 1—Status Quo*, which describes the continuation of current management practices, the plan includes two action alternatives. *Alternative 2—Building Capacity* emphasizes building the park's capacity to address its basic visitor services and operational needs. Alternative 3 is the National Park Service's preferred alternative. *Alternative 3—Past Meets Present* emphasizes rehabilitation of the park's cultural resources and improvements to its visitor services and facilities to offer expanded opportunities for visitors to explore the site's contemporary relevance in the same context in which they explore its history.

After public review of the Draft GMP/EIS, the National Park Service will consider public comments, and a Final GMP/EIS will be prepared. The Final GMP/EIS is scheduled for completion in 2007. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: February 26, 2007.

**Dennis Reidenbach,**  
*Acting Regional Director, Northeast Region.*  
[FR Doc. E7-4134 Filed 3-7-07; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4310-D9-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-739 (Second Review)]

### Clad Steel Plate From Japan; Determination

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines,<sup>2</sup> pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on clad steel plate from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

<sup>2</sup> Commissioners Jennifer A. Hillman and Irving A. Williamson not participating.

## Background

The Commission instituted this review on October 2, 2006 (71 FR 57996), and determined on January 5, 2007, that it would conduct an expedited review (72 FR 2554, January 19, 2007).

The Commission's public report Clad Steel Plate from Japan (Inv. No. 731-TA-739) (Second Review), USITC Publication 3907, March 2007) will contain the views of the Commission developed during the review.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 5, 2007.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**  
*Secretary to the Commission.*  
[FR Doc. E7-4144 Filed 3-7-07; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-1088 (Preliminary) (Remand)]

### Polyvinyl Alcohol From Taiwan

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of remand proceedings.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. International Trade Commission ("Commission") hereby gives notice of the court-ordered remand of its preliminary determination in the antidumping Investigation No. 731-TA-1088 concerning polyvinyl alcohol from Taiwan. For further information concerning the conduct of this proceeding and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subpart A (19 CFR part 207).

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 2, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** George Deyman, Office of Investigations, telephone 202-205-3197, or Mary Jane Alves, Office of General Counsel, telephone 202-708-2969, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record of Investigation No. 731-TA-1088 may be

viewed on the Commission's electronic docket ("EDIS") at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Background.**—In October 2004, the Commission determined that there was no reasonable indication that a U.S. industry was materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of imports of certain polyvinyl alcohol from Taiwan that were allegedly sold in the United States at less than fair value. The Commission's determination was appealed to the CIT. The CIT issued an opinion in the matter on January 29, 2007. *Celanese Chemicals, Ltd. v. United States*, Slip Op. 07–16 (Ct. Int'l Trade Jan. 29, 2007). In its opinion, the CIT remanded the matter to the Commission for further proceedings not inconsistent with that opinion.

**Participation in the proceeding.**—Only those persons who were interested parties to the original investigation (*i.e.*, persons listed on the Commission Secretary's service list) and were parties to the appeal may participate in the remand proceeding. Such persons need not make any additional filings with the Commission to participate in the remand proceeding. Business proprietary information ("BPI") referred to during the remand proceeding will be governed, as appropriate, by the administrative protective order issued in the original investigation.

**Written submissions.**—The Commission is not reopening the record in this proceeding for submission of new factual information. The Commission will, however, permit the parties to file comments solely pertaining to the inquiries that are the subject of the CIT's remand instructions. Comments should be limited to no more than twenty (20) double-spaced and single-sided pages of textual material. The parties may not submit any new factual information and may not address any issue other than the inquiries that are the subject of the CIT's remand instructions. Any such comments must be filed with the Commission no later than March 12, 2007.

All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (Nov. 8, 2002).

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the Commission's rules,

each document filed by a party to the investigation must be served on all other parties to the investigation (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Parties are also advised to consult with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subpart A (19 CFR part 207) for provisions of general applicability concerning written submissions to the Commission.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 2, 2007.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E7–4145 Filed 3–7–07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Judgment Pursuant to Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on February 28, 2007, a proposed Consent Judgment in *United States v. AGI-VR Wesson Co. et al.*, Civil Action No. CV–07–825, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York.

The proposed Consent Judgment will settle the United States' claims on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") brought against defendants AGI-VR/Wesson Co., Alloy Carbide Company, Chi Mei Corporation, Climax Molybdenum Company, Climax Molybdenum Marketing Corporation, County of Nassau, New York, Cyprus Amax Minerals Company, General Electric Company, GTE Corporation, H.C. Starck, Inc., Kennametal Inc., M&R Industries, Inc., Minmetals Inc., Osram Sylvania Inc., Philips Electronics North America Corporation, Sandvik, AB, TDY Holding, LLC; and TDY Industries, Inc., (along with Adamas Carbide Corporation and Kulite Tungsten Corp.) pursuant to Sections 106 and 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607, with respect to the Li Tungsten Superfund Site in Glen Cove, New York.

Pursuant to the Consent Judgment, a total of \$5.11 million will be paid by settling defendants. Of this amount, \$1.5 million will be denominated as a civil penalty for failure to comply with an

administrative order. In addition, TDY will perform the remaining work at the Site (other than that which EPA has reserved to perform itself), which is estimated by EPA at \$10.7 million. The Consent Decree also resolves claims against four agencies of the United States, the Department of Commerce, the Department of Defense, the Department of the Treasury, and the General Services Administration ("Settling Federal Agencies"). Pursuant to the Consent Judgment, the Settling Federal Agencies shall pay \$25 million to EPA and \$1 million to TDY, and also receive contribution protection.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed Consent Judgment. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to [pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov](mailto:pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov) or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *United States v. AGI-VR Wesson Co., et al.*, Civil Action No. CV–07–835, D.J. Ref. 90–11–3–09093.

The proposed Consent Judgment may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Eastern District of New York, One Pierrepont Plaza, 14th Fl., Brooklyn, New York 11201, and at the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region II, 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007–1866. During the public comment period, the proposed Consent Judgment may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, [http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent\\_Decree.html](http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decree.html). A copy of the proposed Consent Judgment may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood ([tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov](mailto:tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov)), fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. If requesting a copy by mail from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$208.00 (\$0.25 per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury or, if requesting by e-mail or fax, forward the check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address. If requesting a copy exclusive of exhibits, please enclose a check in the amount of \$32.25 (\$0.25